Table 77: Economic evidence profiles for intensive rehabilitation programme

Study and country	Limitations	Applicability	Other comments	Incremental costs	Incremental effects	ICER	Uncertainty
Guideline economic analysis	Potentially serious limitations1	Partially applicable2	Cost-utility analysis Time horizon: 3 years Primary measure of outcome: QALYs	P1 (inpatient intensive rehabilitation) P2 (inpatient intensive rehabilitation)	P1 (inpatient intensive rehabilitation) P2 (inpatient intensive rehabilitation)	P1 (inpatient intensive rehabilitation) plus SC vs. SC only: £26,400 P2 (inpatient intensive	Depending on the assumptions made the ICERs ranged as follows: P1 – £15,600- £67,500 P2 – £14,700 -
				P3 (outpatient intensive rehabilitation) P4 (outpatient intensive rehabilitation	P3 (outpatient intensive rehabilitation) P4 (outpatient intensive rehabilitation	rehabilitation): £24,800 P3 (outpatient intensive rehabilitation): £2,800 P4 (outpatient intensive rehabilitation plus accommodation and counselling costs): £7,200	£63,400 P3 – £500 - £7,200 P4 – £4,300- £18,400
				plus accommodation and counselling costs)	plus accommodation and counselling costs)		

Insert abbreviations: ICER: incremental cost-effectiveness ratio; QALY: quality-adjusted life year; SC: standard care

^{1.} Effectiveness based on expert opinion; cost data from muscoleskeletal and police physical rehabilitaion services

^{2.} QALYs; utility scores from a single small study