

**Review protocol for the accuracy of cystatin C-based equations to estimate GFR as a measurement of kidney function in adults, children and young people?**

ID	Field	Content
0.	PROSPERO registration number	153331
1.	Review title	What is the accuracy of cystatin C-based equations to estimate GFR as a measurement of kidney function in adults, children and young people?
2.	Review question	What is the accuracy of cystatin C-based equations to estimate GFR as a measurement of kidney function in adults, children and young people?
3.	Objective	To determine the accuracy of cystatin C-based equations to estimate GFR as a measurement of kidney function.
4.	Searches	<p>The following databases will be searched:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL)</li> <li>• Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSR)</li> <li>• Embase</li> </ul> <p>Searches will be restricted by:</p>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• From 25 November 2013 for adults</li> <li>• No limit for children and young people</li> <li>• English language</li> <li>• Human studies</li> </ul> <p>The searches will be re-run 6 weeks before final submission of the review and further studies retrieved for inclusion.</p> <p>The full search strategies for MEDLINE database will be published in the final review.</p>
5.	Condition or domain being studied	<p>The risk of progression and adverse outcomes in a person with, or at risk of, CKD is currently determined through monitoring creatinine-based estimates of GFR (eGFR<sub>creatinine</sub>) and urine albumin:creatinine ratio. Estimates of GFR based on serum cystatin C (eGFR<sub>cystatinC</sub>) have a higher specificity for significant disease outcomes than those based on serum creatinine. For people with a borderline diagnosis, eGFR<sub>cystatinC</sub> is an additional diagnostic tool that may reduce over diagnosis. New evidence suggests the use of risk equations in predicting end stage renal disease in CKD patients.</p>
6.	Population	<p>Inclusion: Adults, children and young people with suspected or diagnosed chronic kidney disease (GFR categories G1-G5).</p> <p>Exclusion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• people receiving renal replacement therapy (RRT)</li> </ul>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• people with acute kidney injury combined with rapidly progressive glomerulonephritis</li> <li>• pregnant women</li> <li>• people receiving palliative care</li> </ul>
7.	Test	Different Cystatin-C equations to estimate GFR
8.	Reference standard	<p>Measured GFR</p> <p>(urinary or plasma clearance of inulin, iohexol, iothalamate, para aminohippurate [PAH], diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid [DTPA] or ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid [EDTA]).</p>
9.	Types of study to be included	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Diagnostic cross-sectional studies</li> <li>• Systematic reviews of diagnostic cross-sectional studies<sup>1</sup></li> </ul>
10.	Other exclusion criteria	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Abstracts and conference proceedings</li> <li>• Theses</li> <li>• Non-human studies</li> <li>• Studies that do not use international standardisation for cystatin C tests (CE marked or FDA approved)</li> </ul>
11.	Context	NICE guideline CG182 chronic kidney disease in adults: assessment and management will be updated by this question. This guideline will be combined with guidelines CG157 chronic kidney disease (stage 4 or 5): management of hyperphosphataemia and NG 8

<sup>1</sup> Cohort studies were also included as a protocol deviation

		chronic kidney disease: managing anaemia. The guideline will be extended to cover the assessment and management of chronic kidney disease in children and young people.
12.	Primary outcomes (critical outcomes)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Likelihood ratios<sup>2</sup></li> </ul>
13.	Secondary outcomes (important outcomes)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Area Under Curve calculations</li> </ul> <p>If necessary we will calculate likelihood ratios from:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Specificity</li> <li>○ Sensitivity</li> <li>○ PPV</li> <li>○ NPV</li> </ul>
14.	Data extraction (selection and coding)	All references identified by the searches and from other sources will be uploaded into EPPI reviewer and de-duplicated. 10% of the abstracts will be reviewed by two reviewers, with any disagreements resolved by discussion or, if necessary, a third independent reviewer.

<sup>2</sup> P measures were also used as primary outcomes as a protocol deviation

		<p>The full text of potentially eligible studies will be retrieved and will be assessed in line with the criteria outlined above. Data will be extracted from the included studies for assessment of study quality and evidence synthesis. Extracted information will include: study setting; study population and participant demographics and baseline characteristics; details of the test and reference standard used; study methodology; recruitment and study completion rates; outcomes and times of measurement and information for assessment of the risk of bias.</p> <p>Study investigators may be contacted for missing data where time and resources allow.</p>
15.	Risk of bias (quality) assessment	Risk of bias will be assessed using the QUADAS 2 checklist as described in Developing NICE guidelines: the manual.
16.	Strategy for data synthesis	<p>Meta-analysis of diagnostic test accuracy data will be conducted with reference to the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Diagnostic Test Accuracy (Deeks et al. 2010).</p> <p>Where five or more studies are available for all included strata, a bivariate model will be fitted using the mada package in R v3.4.0, which accounts for the correlations between positive and negative likelihood ratios, and between sensitivities and specificities. Where sufficient data are not available (2-4 studies), separate independent pooling was performed for positive likelihood ratios, negative likelihood ratios, sensitivity and specificity, using Microsoft Excel.</p>

		Random-effects models (der Simonian and Laird) will be fitted for all syntheses, as recommended in the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Diagnostic Test Accuracy (Deeks et al. 2010).
17.	Analysis of sub-groups	<p>If there is heterogeneity within pooled data for an outcome, and if the data can be disambiguated, specific consideration will be given to the following subgroups:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Age band (older people [&gt;70] and children and young people [&lt;18]).</li> <li>• Family background (ethnic group).</li> <li>• Risk (people at high risk of developing progressive CKD (for example, people with diabetes, hypertension or cardiovascular disease, or people recovering from acute kidney injury, HIV)).</li> <li>• Family history of renal disease</li> <li>• BMI (low/normal/high as defined by author)</li> <li>• Gender.</li> </ul>
18.	Type and method of review	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Intervention</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Diagnostic</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Prognostic</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Qualitative</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Epidemiologic</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Service Delivery</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Other (please specify)</li> </ul>

19.	Language	English		
20.	Country	England		
21.	Anticipated or actual start date	7 <sup>th</sup> October 2019		
22.	Anticipated completion date	December 2020		
23.	Stage of review at time of this submission	<b>Review stage</b>	<b>Started</b>	<b>Completed</b>
		Preliminary searches	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		Piloting of the study selection process	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		Formal screening of search results	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

		against eligibility criteria		
		Data extraction	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		Risk of bias (quality) assessment	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		Data analysis	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
24.	Named contact	<p><b>5a. Named contact</b> Guideline Updates Team</p> <p><b>5b Named contact e-mail</b> TBA@nice.org.uk</p> <p><b>5e Organisational affiliation of the review</b> National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE)</p>		
25.	Review team members	<p>From the Guideline Updates Team:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mr Chris Carmona</li> </ul>		



		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mr Thomas Jarratt</li> <li>• Dr Yolanda Martinez</li> <li>• Mr Gabriel Rogers</li> <li>• Ms Hannah Nicholas</li> <li>• Ms Lynda Ayiku</li> </ul>
26.	Funding sources/sponsor	This systematic review is being completed by the Guideline Updates Team which is part of NICE.
27.	Conflicts of interest	All guideline committee members and anyone who has direct input into NICE guidelines (including the evidence review team and expert witnesses) must declare any potential conflicts of interest in line with NICE's code of practice for declaring and dealing with conflicts of interest. Any relevant interests, or changes to interests, will also be declared publicly at the start of each guideline committee meeting. Before each meeting, any potential conflicts of interest will be considered by the guideline committee Chair and a senior member of the development team. Any decisions to exclude a person from all or part of a meeting will be documented. Any changes to a member's declaration of interests will be recorded in the minutes of the meeting. Declarations of interests will be published with the final guideline.
28.	Collaborators	Development of this systematic review will be overseen by an advisory committee who will use the review to inform the development of evidence-based recommendations in line with section 3 of <a href="#">Developing NICE guidelines: the manual</a> . Members of the guideline committee are available on the NICE website: <a href="#">[NICE guideline webpage]</a> .

29.	Other registration details	
30.	Reference/URL for published protocol	
31.	Dissemination plans	<p>NICE may use a range of different methods to raise awareness of the guideline. These include standard approaches such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• notifying registered stakeholders of publication</li> <li>• publicising the guideline through NICE's newsletter and alerts</li> <li>• issuing a press release or briefing as appropriate, posting news articles on the NICE website, using social media channels, and publicising the guideline within NICE.</li> </ul>
32.	Keywords	Chronic Kidney Disease, eGFR measures, Cystatin C-based equations
33.	Details of existing review of same topic by same authors	None
34.	Current review status	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ongoing  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Completed but not published

		<input type="checkbox"/> Completed and published <input type="checkbox"/> Completed, published and being updated <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinued
35.	Additional information	None
36.	Details of final publication	<a href="http://www.nice.org.uk">www.nice.org.uk</a>