OSAHS

Table 22: Clinical evidence profile: Auto-CPAP versus fixed level CPAP for improving usage of continuous positive airway pressure machines in adults with OSAHS- severe OSAHS

			Quality ass	essment			No of pati	ents		Effect		Importance		
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Auto-CPAP versus fixed CPAP	Control	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	Quality			
Machine	achine usage (hours/night) (Better indicated by higher values)													
31	randomised trials	serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	serious indirectness ⁵	no serious imprecision	None	1075	377	-	MD 0.21 higher (0.11 to 0.31 higher)	⊕⊕OO LOW	IMPORTAN ⁻		
Number	of participan	ts who used	I CPAP therapy >	4 hours per niç	jht									
2	randomised trials	serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	serious indirectness ⁵	no serious imprecision	None	113/173 (65.3%)	44.8%	RR 1.06 (0.9 to 1.24)	27 more per 1000 (from 45 fewer to 108 more)	⊕⊕OO LOW	IMPORTAN'		
Symptoms (Epworth Sleepiness Scale) (Better indicated by lower values)														

25	randomised trials	serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	serious indirectness ⁵	no serious imprecision	None	957	328	-	MD 0.44 lower (0.72 to 0.16 lower)		IMPORTAN ⁻
Vithdra	wals (parallel	group trials	s/first arm crosso	over trials)		'		•				
13	randomised trials	serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	serious indirectness ⁵	serious ²	None	79/668 (11.8%)	8%	RR 0.91 (0.67 to 1.24)	7 fewer per 1000 (from 26 fewer to 19 more)	⊕000 VERY LOW	IMPORTAN ⁻
Quality	of life (Function	onal Outcor	me of Sleep Ques	stionnaire) (Bett	er indicated by	higher values)						
3	randomised trials	serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	serious indirectness ⁵	no serious imprecision	none	193	159	-	MD 0.12 higher (0.21 lower to 0.46 higher)	⊕000 VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Quality	of life (Sleep A	Association	Quality of Life I	ndex) (Better inc	licated by high	er values) (scale	1-7)					
2	randomised trials	serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	serious indirectness ⁵	no serious imprecision	None	67	30	-	MD 0.14 lower (0.54 lower to 0.27 higher)	⊕000 VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Quality	of life (SF-36 o	questionna	ire) - Physical fur	nctioning (Bette	r indicated by h	nigher values)						
3	randomised trials	serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ²	None	30	30	-	MD 0.76 higher (3.5 lower to 5.01 higher)	⊕⊕OO LOW	CRITICAL
Quality		questionna	ire) - Role physic		ted by higher v	values)					2000	

2	randomised trials	serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ²	None	30	30	-	MD 3.73 lower (13.46 lower to 6.01 higher)	⊕⊕OO LOW	CRITICAL
Quality	of life (SF-36 o	questionnai	re) - Bodily pain	(Better indicated	d by higher val	ues)						
2	randomised trials	serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ²	None	30	30	-	MD 4.21 higher (4.23 lower to 12.64 higher)	⊕⊕OO LOW	CRITICAL
Quality	of life (SF-36 o	questionnai	re) - General hea	Ith (Better indic	ated by higher	values)						
2	randomised trials	serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ²	None	30	30	-	MD 2.49 higher (4.99 lower to 9.97 higher)	⊕⊕OO LOW	CRITICAL
Quality	of life (SF-36 o	questionnai	re) - Vitality (Bett	er indicated by	higher values)							
6	randomised trials	serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	serious indirectness ⁵	serious ²	None	149	149	-	MD 1.32 higher (1.25 lower to 3.88 higher)	⊕OOO VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Quality	of life (SF-36 o	questionnai	re) - Social funct	ioning (Better in	ndicated by hig	her values)						
2	randomised trials	serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ²	None	30	30	-	MD 3.31 higher (4.29 lower to 10.92 higher)	⊕⊕OO LOW	CRITICAL
Quality	of life (SF-36 o	questionnai	re) - Role emotio	nal (Better indic	cated by higher	values)			_		_	L

3	randomised trials	serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ²	None	30	30	-	MD 0.7 higher (4.19 lower to 5.59 higher)	⊕⊕OO LOW	CRITICAL
Quality	of life (SF-36	questionna	ire) - Mental healt	h (Better indica	ted by higher v	ralues)						
3	randomised trials	serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	None	30	30	-	MD 0.2 higher (1.88 lower to 2.27 higher)	⊕⊕OO LOW	CRITICAL
Apnoea	ı Hypopnoea lı	ndex (event	s/hr) (Better indi	cated by lower v	ralues)							
26	randomised trials	serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	serious indirectness ⁵	no serious imprecision	None	886	370	-	MD 0.48 higher (0.16 to 0.8 higher)	⊕⊕OO LOW	IMPORTANT
Arousa	ls (events/hr) (Better indi	cated by lower va	lues)								
4	randomised trials	serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	serious indirectness ⁵	no serious imprecision	None	99	37	-	MD 0.66 lower (2.9 lower to 1.58 higher)	⊕⊕OO LOW	IMPORTAN ⁻
Pressu	re of CPAP tre	atment (cm	H2O) (Better ind	icated by lower	values)							
24	randomised trials	serious ¹	very serious ⁴	serious indirectness ⁵	no serious imprecision	None	883	288	-	MD 1.49 lower (2.12 to 0.85 lower)	⊕000 VERY LOW	IMPORTANT
Systolic	blood pressu	ıre (Better i	ndicated by lowe	r values)	1			ļ		!		

2	randomised trials	serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	None	176	177	-	MD 1.87 higher (1.08 lower to 4.82 higher)	⊕⊕OO LOW	IMPORTANT
Diastoli	c blood press	ure (Better i	ndicated by low	er values)								
2	randomised trials	serious ¹	very serious⁴	no serious indirectness	serious ²	None	176	177	-	MD 4.01 higher (1.46 lower to 9.49 higher)	⊕000 VERY LOW	IMPORTANT
24 hour	mean BP (Be	ter indicate	d by lower value	s)								
2	randomised trials	no serious risk of bias	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	None	274	256	-	MD 0.59 higher (1.05 lower to 2.22 higher)	⊕⊕⊕⊕ HIGH	IMPORTANT
24 hour	systolic BP (I	Better indica	ated by lower val	ues)								
2	randomised trials	no serious risk of bias		no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	None	274	256	-	MD 0.15 lower (2.21 lower to 1.91 higher)	⊕⊕⊕⊕ HIGH	IMPORTANT
24 hour	diastolic BP (Better indic	ated by lower va	lues)								
2	randomised trials		no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	None	274	256	-	MD 0.9 higher (0.65 lower to 2.44 higher)	⊕⊕⊕⊕ HIGH	IMPORTANT
Tolerability outcomes - Intolerable treatment pressure												

			no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ²	None	42/91 (46.2%)	51.3%	RR 0.9 (0.66 to 1.23)	51 fewer per 1000 (from 174 fewer to 118 more)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE	IMPORTANT		
Tolerabil	Folerability outcomes - Mask Leak													
1	randomised trials no serious inconsistency in serious indirectness risk of bias inconsistency indirectness risk of bias risk of bias inconsistency indirectness risk of bias													
Tolerabil	Tolerability outcomes - Dry mouth													
1			no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ²	None	42/91 (46.2%)	56.3%	RR 0.82 (0.61 to 1.1)	101 fewer per 1000 (from 220 fewer to 56 more)		IMPORTANT		
Tolerabil	ity outcomes	- Stuffy nos	se											
1			no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	very serious ²	None	28/91 (30.8%)	31.3%	RR 0.98 (0.63 to 1.54)	6 fewer per 1000 (from 116 fewer to 169 more)	⊕⊕OO LOW	IMPORTANT		
Patient p	reference (au	to-CPAP/no	ot auto-CPAP)											
14	randomised trials	serious ¹	very serious ⁴	serious indirectness ⁵	serious ²	None	255/541 (47.1%)	47.5%	RR 0.99 (0.64 to 1.56)	5 fewer per 1000 (from 171 fewer to 266 more)	⊕OOO VERY LOW	IMPORTANT		
Mortality														
Outcome	not reported													

Table 23: Clinical evidence profile: Non-invasive ventilation (NIV) versus fixed level CPAP for improving usage of continuous positive airway pressure machines in adults with OSAHS- severe OSAHS

			Quality as	sessment			No of patie	ents		Effect		
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Bi-level PAP versus fixed CPAP	Control	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	Quality	Importance
Machine	achine usage (hours/night) (Better indicated by lower values)											
4				no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	None	137	131	-	MD 0.14 higher (0.17 lower to 0.45 higher)	⊕⊕OO LOW	IMPORTANT
Sympton	ns (Epworth S	Sleepines	s Scale) (Better in	ndicated by low	er values)							
4	randomised trials serious no seri											IMPORTANT
Withdraw	/ithdrawals (parallel group trials/first arm cross-over trials)											

¹ Downgraded by 1 increment if the majority of the evidence was at high risk of bias, and downgraded by 2 increments if the majority of the evidence was at very high risk of bias

² Downgraded by one increment if the confidence interval crossed one MID and downgraded by two increments if the confidence interval crossed both MIDs. Established MIDs for SF-36 physical/mental- 2/3; ESS- 2.5; EQ5D- 0.03; FOSQ- 2; GRADE default MID(0.5XSD) used for all other continuous outcomes.

³ Imprecision could not be assessed as control group SD not available

⁴ Downgraded by 1 or 2 increments for heterogeneity, Random effect analysis used.

⁵Downgraded by 1 or 2 increments because: The majority of the evidence included an indirect population (downgrade by one increment) or a very indirect population (downgrade by two increments). The population was deemed to be indirect when the outcome included evidence from studies with different severity OSAHS populations or when the study did not report the AHI of the population included

3	randomised trials	serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	very serious ²	None	12/117 (10.3%)	13.8%	RR 0.61 (0.33 to 1.15)	54 fewer per 1000 (from 92 fewer to 21 more)	⊕OOO VERY LOW	IMPORTANT		
Quality o	Quality of life (Functional Outcome of Sleep Questionnaire) (Better indicated by lower values)													
1	randomised trials	serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ²	none	71	80	-	MD 0.8 lower (6.08 lower to 4.48 higher)	⊕⊕OO LOW	CRITICAL		
Quality o	f life (Sleep A	Associatio	on Quality of Life	Index) (Better in	ndicated by hig	her values) scale	1-7							
1	randomised trials	serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	None	28	1		MD 0.4 higher (0.34 lower to 1.14 higher)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE	CRITICAL		
Quality o	f life (SF-36 c	questionn	aire) - Physical h	ealth (Better inc	licated by lowe	r values)								
1	randomised trials	serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	very serious ²	None	71	80		MD 0.6 higher (2.21 lower to 3.41 higher)	⊕OOO VERY LOW	CRITICAL		
Quality o	f life (SF-36 c	questionn	aire) - Mental hea	lth (Better indic	cated by lower	values)								
1	randomised trials	serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ²	None	71	80	-	MD 2.9 lower (7.09 lower to 1.29 higher)	⊕⊕OO LOW	CRITICAL		
Apnoea Hypopnoea Index (events/hr) (Better indicated by lower values)														
2	randomised trials	serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	very serious ²	None	99	80	-	MD 1.36 higher (6.92 lower to 9.63 higher)	⊕000 VERY LOW	IMPORTANT		

Patient p	oreference - B	iPAP/no բ	oreference or CP/	AP										
2	randomised trials	serious ¹	Serious ³	no serious indirectness	very serious ²	None	21/44 (47.7%)	54.5%	RR 0.88 (0.47 to 1.65)	65 fewer per 1000 (from 289 fewer to 354 more)	⊕000 VERY LOW	IMPORTANT		
Tolerability outcomes - Dry mouth														
1	randomised trials		no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	very serious ²	None	3/71 (4.2%)	7.5%	RR 0.56 (0.15 to 2.17)	33 fewer per 1000 (from 64 fewer to 88 more)	⊕000 VERY LOW	IMPORTANT		
Tolerabi	olerability outcomes - Mask intolerance													
1	randomised trials	serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	very serious ²	None	8/71 (11.3%)	10%	RR 1.13 (0.45 to 2.85)	13 more per 1000 (from 55 fewer to 185 more)	⊕000 VERY LOW	IMPORTANT		
Treatme	nt comfort sco	ore (Bette	er indicated by lov	wer values)										
1	randomised trials	serious ¹	no serious inconsistency		serious imprecision ²	None	28	-	-	MD 9 higher (3.54 lower to 21.54 higher)	⊕⊕⊕⊕ LOW	IMPORTANT		
Mortality														
Outcome	not reported													

¹ Downgraded by 1 increment if the majority of the evidence was at high risk of bias, and downgraded by 2 increments if the majority of the evidence was at very high risk of bias ² Downgraded by one increment if the confidence interval crossed one MID and downgraded by two increments if the confidence interval crossed both MIDs . Established MIDs for SF-36 physical/mental- 2/3; ESS- 2.5; EQ5D- 0.03; FOSQ- 2;. GRADE default MID (0.5XSD) used for all other continuous outcomes..

³ Downgraded by 1 or 2 increments for heterogeneity, . Random effect analysis used.

Table 24: Clinical evidence profile: Heated humidification + fixed level CPAP versus fixed level CPAP alone for improving usage of continuous positive airway pressure machines in adults with OSAHS- severe OSAHS

·			Quality ass	essment			No of patients		ı	Effect		
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Heated humidification + fixed pressure CPAP versus fixed pressure CPAP alone	Control	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	Quality	Importance
Machine	usage (hour	s/night) (E	Better indicated	by lower value	s)							
	randomised trials		no serious inconsistency		no serious imprecision	None	187	90	-	MD 0.37 higher (0.1 to 0.64 higher)	⊕⊕OO LOW	IMPORTANT
Symptor	ns (Epworth	Sleepines	s Scale) (Better	indicated by lo	ower values)							
	randomised trials		no serious inconsistency		no serious imprecision	None	121	63	-	MD 0.34 lower (0.93 lower to 0.26 higher)	⊕⊕OO LOW	IMPORTANT
Withdrav	vals (parallel	group tria	als/first arm cros	ss-over trials)								
	randomised trials			no serious indirectness	very serious ²	None	16/102 (15.7%)	12.8%	RR 1 (0.56 to 1.79)	0 fewer per 1000 (from 56 fewer to 101 more)	⊕OOO VERY LOW	IMPORTANT

Apnoea	Hypopnoea l	Index (eve	nts/hr) (Better ir	ndicated by lov	ver values)							
1	randomised trials		no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	very serious ²	None	44	-	-	MD 0.3 higher (0.95 lower to 1.55 higher)	⊕OOO VERY LOW	IMPORTANT
Quality (of life (SF-36	questionr	naire) (Better ind	licated by high	er values)							
2	randomised trials		no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	very serious ²	None	61	63	-	MD 0.11 higher (6.97 lower to 7.18 higher)	⊕000 VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Nasal sy	mptoms (pa	rallel grou	p trials) - Runny	nose								
1		no serious risk of bias	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious ²	None	4/39 (10.3%)	26.5%	RR 0.39 (0.13 to 1.15)	162 fewer per 1000 (from 231 fewer to 40 more)	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE	IMPORTANT
Nasal sy	mptoms (pa	rallel grou	p trials) - Conge	ested or blocke	ed nose							
1		no serious risk of bias	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	None	9/39 (23.1%)	61.8%	RR 0.37 (0.2 to 0.7)	389 fewer per 1000 (from 185 fewer to 494 fewer)	⊕⊕⊕⊕ HIGH	IMPORTANT
Nasal sy	mptoms (pa	rallel grou	p trials) - Dry no	ose (Better indi	cated by lowe	r values)						
2	randomised trials		no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	None	47	56	-	SMD 0.38 lower (0.78 lower to 0.01 higher)		IMPORTANT

¹ Downgraded by 1 increment if the majority of the evidence was at high risk of bias, and downgraded by 2 increments if the majority of the evidence was at very high risk of bias ² Downgraded by one increment if the confidence interval crossed one MID and downgraded by two increments if the confidence interval crossed both MIDs Established MIDs for SF-36 physical/mental- 2/3: ESS- 2.5: EQ5D- 0.03: FOSQ- 2:. GRADE default MID (0.5XSD) used for all other continuous outcomes.