

## J.14 Cancer

Study	[Chalayer 2016 <sup>165</sup> ]			
Study details	Population & interventions	Costs	Health outcomes	Cost-effectiveness
<p><b>Economic analysis:</b> CUA (health outcome: QALYs)</p> <p><b>Study design:</b> Decision analytic model</p> <p><b>Approach to analysis:</b> A decision tree based on results of Palumbo 2011 clinical trial<sup>724</sup>.</p> <p><b>Perspective:</b> France National Health Insurance System</p> <p><b>Time horizon:</b> 6 months</p> <p><b>Treatment effect duration:</b><sup>(a)</sup> 6 months</p> <p><b>Discounting:</b> Costs: n/a ; Outcomes: n/a</p>	<p><b>Population:</b> Patients newly diagnosed with multiple myeloma treated with protocols including thalidomide</p> <p><b>Cohort settings:</b> Start age: NR Male: NR</p> <p><b>Intervention 1:</b> Aspirin (100mg/day) for 3 months.</p> <p><b>Intervention 2:</b> LMWH standard dose, standard duration) (Enoxaparin 40mg/day) for 6 months.</p>	<p><b>Total costs (mean per patient):</b> Intervention 1: £230 Intervention 2: £1,283 Incremental (2–1): £1,053 (95% CI: NR; p=NR)</p> <p><b>Currency &amp; cost year:</b> 2013 Euros (presented here as 2013 UK pounds<sup>(b)</sup>)</p> <p><b>Cost components incorporated:</b> Hospitalisation GP visits Home nursing Laboratory investigation Radiologic procedures Drugs</p>	<p><b>QALYs (mean per patient):</b> Intervention 1: 0.300 Intervention 2: 0.299 Incremental (2–1): -0.001 (95% CI: NR; p=NR)</p>	<p><b>ICER (Intervention 2 versus Intervention 1):</b> Intervention 1 dominant (less costly and more effective)(pa) 95% CI: n/a Probability Intervention 2 cost-effective (£20K/30K threshold): NR</p> <p><b>Analysis of uncertainty:</b> None of the sensitivity analyses undertaken changed the conclusion.</p>
<b>Data sources</b>				
<p><b>Health outcomes:</b> data on baseline risks and relative treatment effects are based on a single RCT (Palumbo 2011<sup>724</sup>). These outcomes included DVT, PE, stroke, acute MI, major bleeding and sudden death. <b>Quality-of-life weights:</b> EQ-5D index values were used. <b>Cost sources:</b> National unit cost sources were used including National reimbursement database and Vidal drug compendium.</p>				
<b>Comments</b>				
<p><b>Source of funding:</b> None. <b>Limitations:</b> Some uncertainty regarding the applicability of unit costs from France in 2013 to current NHS context. The model does not incorporate any long-term consequences such as CTEPH or PTS. Baseline risk and relative treatment effects are based on a single open-label trial, so by definition, does not reflect all available evidence. Costs of LMWH administration might be underestimated.</p>				

**Overall applicability:**<sup>(c)</sup> Partially applicable **Overall quality**<sup>(d)</sup> potentially serious limitations

*Abbreviations: 95% CI: 95% confidence interval; CTEPH: chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension; CUA: cost-utility analysis; da: deterministic analysis; EQ-5D: Euroqol 5 dimensions (scale: 0.0 [death] to 1.0 [full health], negative values mean worse than death); ICER: incremental cost-effectiveness ratio; n/a: not applicable; NR: not reported; pa: probabilistic analysis; PTS: post-thrombotic syndrome; QALYs: quality-adjusted life years*

*(a) For studies where the time horizon is longer than the treatment duration, an assumption needs to be made about the continuation of the study effect. For example, does a difference in utility between groups during treatment continue beyond the end of treatment and if so for how long.*

*(b) Converted using 2013 purchasing power parities<sup>715</sup>*

*(c) Directly applicable / Partially applicable / Not applicable*

*(d) Minor limitations / Potentially serious limitations / Very serious limitations*