

1.2 PHARMACOLOGICAL INTERVENTIONS FOR MANIA, HYPOMANIA AND MIXED EPISODES IN ADULTS WITH BIPOLAR DISORDER

References to included studies:

1. Bridle C, Palmer S, Bagnall AM, Darba J, Duffy S, Sculpher M, et al. A rapid and systematic review and economic evaluation of the clinical and cost-effectiveness of newer drugs for treatment of mania associated with bipolar affective disorder. *Health Technology Assessment*. 2004;8.
2. Caro JJ, Huybrechts KF, Xenakis JG, O'Brien JA, Rajagopalan K, Lee K. Budgetary impact of treating acute bipolar mania in hospitalized patients with quetiapine: an economic analysis of clinical trials. *Current Medical Research and Opinion*. 2006;22:2233-42.
3. Revicki DA, Paramore LC, Sommerville KW, Swann AC, Zajecka JM, for the Depakote Comparator Study Group. Divalproex sodium versus olanzapine in the treatment of acute mania in bipolar disorder: health-related quality of life and medical cost outcomes. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*. 2003;64:288-94.
4. Zhu B, Tunis SL, Zhao Z, Baker RW, Lage MJ, Shi L, Tohen M. Service utilization and costs of olanzapine versus divalproex treatment for acute mania: results from a randomized, 47-week clinical trial. *Current Medical Research and Opinion*. 2005;21:555-64.

Study ID Country Study type	Intervention details	Study population Study design Data sources	Costs: description and values Outcomes: description and values	Results: Cost-effectiveness	Comments
Bridle and colleagues (2004) UK Cost-effectiveness analysis	<u>Interventions:</u> Quetiapine 619.2 mg/day Olanzapine 16.2 mg/day Valproate semisodium 1,513.5 mg/day Lithium 1,417 mg/day Haloperidol 10.4 mg/day	<u>Population:</u> Adults with bipolar disorder experiencing an acute manic episode <u>Study design:</u> Decision analytic modelling <u>Source of effectiveness data:</u> Systematic literature review and network meta-analysis (seven studies included) <u>Source of resource use data:</u> Expert opinion, information from manufacturers and further assumptions <u>Source of unit cost data:</u> National sources	<u>Costs:</u> <i>Direct medical:</i> hospitalisation, drug acquisition, specific diagnostic and laboratory tests required for monitoring; costs of adverse events excluded <u>Cost per person:</u> <i>Quetiapine:</i> £3,165 <i>Olanzapine:</i> £3,161 <i>Valproate semisodium:</i> £3,139 <i>Lithium:</i> £3,162 <i>Haloperidol:</i> £3,047 <u>Primary outcome:</u> Response rates according to a ≥ 50% improvement in people’s baseline manic symptoms, measured using the Young Mania Rating Scale (YMRS) <u>Mean response rates (95% CI):</u> <i>Quetiapine:</i> 0.47 (0.38–0.55) <i>Olanzapine:</i> 0.54 (0.46–0.62) <i>Valproate semisodium:</i> 0.45 (0.37–0.54) <i>Lithium:</i> 0.50 (0.39–0.60) <i>Haloperidol:</i> 0.52 (0.41–0.62)	Lithium, valproate semisodium and quetiapine dominated by haloperidol <u>ICER of olanzapine compared with haloperidol:</u> £7,179 per additional responder <u>Probability of cost effectiveness at WTP</u> <u>£20,000 per additional responder:</u> <i>Olanzapine:</i> 0.44 <i>Haloperidol:</i> 0.37 <i>Lithium:</i> 0.16 <i>Quetiapine:</i> 0.02 <i>Valproate semisodium:</i> 0.01 Results robust under alternative scenarios including hospitalisation beyond 3 weeks for non-responders, treatment of non-responders with second- and third-line drugs, reductions in diagnostic and laboratory costs, inclusion of effectiveness data for people initially excluded from analysis according to a modified intention-to-treat approach, and inclusion of treatment costs for extrapyramidal symptoms due to haloperidol use	<u>Perspective:</u> NHS <u>Currency:</u> UK£ <u>Cost year:</u> 2001–2002 <u>Time horizon:</u> 3 weeks <u>Discounting:</u> NA. All patients assumed to be hospitalised during the total 3 weeks of time horizon examined <u>Applicability:</u> Partially applicable <u>Quality:</u> Potentially serious limitations Quetiapine and olanzapine are now available in generic form

Study ID Country Study type	Intervention details	Study population Study design Data sources	Costs: description and values Outcomes: description and values	Results: Cost- effectiveness	Comments
Caro and colleagues (2006) US Cost consequence analysis	<u>Intervention:</u> Quetiapine <u>Comparator:</u> Usual care comprising 45% monotherapy with lithium, 25% lithium plus risperidone, 25% lithium plus olanzapine, and 5% lithium plus quetiapine	<u>Population:</u> Adults with bipolar I disorder, in acute manic episode <u>Study design:</u> Decision analytic modelling (discrete event simulation) <u>Source of effectiveness data:</u> Literature review <u>Source of resource use data:</u> Administrative databases <u>Source of unit cost data:</u> National sources	<u>Costs:</u> <i>Direct medical:</i> hospitalisation and physician fees, emergency room and intensive care units, routine physician and psychiatrist visits, laboratory tests, medication, management of side effects <u>Cost results (mean ± half width 95%CI)</u> <i>Total cost per person:</i> <i>Quetiapine:</i> \$5,525 ± \$21 <i>Usual care:</i> \$6,912 ± \$20 <u>Outcomes:</u> Percentage of people responding at 21 days and remitting at 84 days <u>Percentage of people responding at 21 days (mean ± half width 95%CI):</u> <i>Quetiapine:</i> 54% ± 0.29 <i>Usual care:</i> 43% ± 0.39 <u>Percentage of people remitting at 84 days (mean ± half width 95%CI):</u> <i>Quetiapine:</i> 80% ± 0.33% <i>Usual care:</i> 74% ± 0.33%	Quetiapine dominates usual care Results sensitive to drug prices, discharge criteria and side-effect management costs	<u>Perspective:</u> Third party payer <u>Currency:</u> US\$ <u>Cost year:</u> 2004 <u>Time horizon:</u> 100 days <u>Discounting:</u> NA <u>Applicability:</u> Partially applicable <u>Quality:</u> Potentially serious limitations Quetiapine is now available in generic form

Study ID Country Study type	Intervention details	Study population Study design Data sources	Costs: description and values Outcomes: description and values	Results: Cost-effectiveness	Comments
Revicki and colleagues (2003) US Cost consequence analysis	<p><u>Intervention:</u> Valproate semisodium; initiated at 20 mg/kg/day, could be increased by 500 mg/day on days 3 and 6 if clinically important symptoms or mania persisted. <i>Maximum dose allowed:</i> 1000 mg/day</p> <p><u>Comparator:</u> Olanzapine; initiated at 10 mg/day, could be increased by 5 mg/day on days 3 and 6 if manic symptoms persisted. <i>Maximum dose allowed:</i> 20 mg/day</p>	<p><u>Population:</u> Adults with bipolar I disorder between 18–65 years old, experiencing an acute manic episode</p> <p><u>Study design:</u> Double-blind, multi-centre RCT (21 US sites, n = 120) (ZAJECKA2002)</p> <p><u>Source of effectiveness data:</u> RCT</p> <p><u>Source of resource use data:</u> RCT (n = 52) and further assumptions</p> <p><u>Source of unit cost data:</u> National sources</p>	<p><u>Costs:</u> <i>Direct medical:</i> hospitalisation; physicians' fee; emergency room; psychiatric, physician, psychologist or other mental health provider visits; home health service visits; medication</p> <p><u>Mean (SD) total medical costs:</u> <i>Valproate semisodium:</i> \$13,703 (\$8,708) <i>Olanzapine:</i> \$15,180 (\$16,780) (p = 0.88)</p> <p><u>Outcomes:</u> Clinical improvement based on Mania Rating Scale (MRS) from the Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia-Change Version and the Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression; health-related quality of life (HRQoL) based on the Quality of Life Enjoyment and Satisfaction Questionnaire and restricted activity days</p> <p><u>Changes in MRS scores at 3 weeks:</u> <i>Valproate semisodium:</i> -14.9 (baseline 30.8) <i>Olanzapine:</i> -16.6 (baseline 32.3) (p = 0.368)</p> <p><u>Changes in Quality of Life Enjoyment and Satisfaction Questionnaire scores (subjective feelings) at 12 weeks:</u> <i>Valproate semisodium:</i> -4.4 <i>Olanzapine:</i> -4.7 (p = 0.95)</p> <p>No statistically significant differences in other outcomes</p>	Non-applicable	<p><u>Perspective:</u> Third party payer <u>Currency:</u> US\$ <u>Cost year:</u> Not stated <u>Time horizon:</u> 12 weeks <u>Discounting:</u> NA. Participants discontinued treatment if not improved after 3 weeks, but data still collected for 12 weeks; HRQoL and resource-use data collected via telephone interviews <u>Applicability:</u> Partially applicable <u>Quality:</u> Potentially serious limitations</p> <p>Olanzapine is now available in generic form</p>

Study ID Country Study type	Intervention details	Study population Study design Data sources	Costs: description and values Outcomes: description and values	Results: Cost-effectiveness	Comments
Zhu and colleagues (2005) US Cost consequence analysis	<u>Intervention:</u> Olanzapine 5-20 mg/day <u>Comparator:</u> Valproate semisodium 500-2,500 mg/day	<u>Population:</u> Adults with bipolar I disorder aged 18-75 years, hospitalised for an acute manic or mixed episode and with a YMRS total score of ≥ 20 at both screening and baseline <u>Study design:</u> Double-blind, multi-centre RCT (48 US sites, acute phase 0-3 weeks n = 251; maintenance phase 3-47 weeks n = 147) (TOHEN2002) <u>Source of effectiveness data:</u> RCT (n = 251) <u>Source of resource use data:</u> Participants who entered the maintenance phase of the RCT (n = 147) <u>Source of unit cost data:</u> National sources	<u>Costs: Direct medical:</u> hospitalisation (full/partial), outpatient psychiatric physician and other mental health provider visits, emergency room visits, home visits by healthcare professionals, medication, laboratory tests <u>Average annual total costs per person:</u> <i>Olanzapine:</i> \$14,967 <i>Valproate semisodium:</i> \$15,801 (no statistically significant difference) <u>Outcomes:</u> Clinical improvement based on YMRS and rate of symptom remission (defined as YMRS score ≤ 12) at 3 weeks (acute phase); median time to remission of manic symptoms <u>Improvement in manic symptoms at 3 weeks:</u> Significantly greater for olanzapine <u>Percentage of symptom remission:</u> <i>Olanzapine:</i> 54.4% <i>Valproate semisodium:</i> 42.3% (p < 0.05) <u>Median time to remission:</u> <i>Olanzapine:</i> 14 days <i>Valproate semisodium:</i> 62 days	Non-applicable	<u>Perspective:</u> Third party payer <u>Currency:</u> US\$ <u>Cost year:</u> 1999-2000 <u>Time horizon:</u> 47 weeks <u>Discounting:</u> NA <u>Applicability:</u> Partially applicable <u>Quality:</u> Potentially serious limitations