Certainty assessment								№ of patients		Effect		Importance
№ of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Analgesics	Analgesics	Relative (95% Cl)	Absolute (95% Cl)		
Pain relief												
									See Network Meta- Analysis			CRITICAL
Pain relief speed (follow up: range 12 hours to 12 days)												
4 1.2.3.4	RCT	serious ^A	not serious	not serious	not serious	variable outcomes, poor reporting	332 across interventions		NS ^B		Low	IMPORTANT
Pain reduction maintenance (follow up: range 6 hours to 7 days)												
4 1.3.5.7	RCT	serious A	not serious	not serious	not serious	variable outcomes, poor reporting	602 across interventions		Mostly NS °		Low	CRITICAL
Quality of life												
0									not estimable			CRITICAL
Functional outcomes (follow up: range 7 days to 14 c	days; assessed with:	KPS; Scale: 0 to 10	0 [best]*)									
2 8.9	RCT	serious ^D	N/A	not serious	not serious	sparse	173 across interventions		KPS 4.9 (NS) KPS 3.0 (-0.8, 6.8)		Low	IMPORTANT
Adverse Events: Respiratory depression (14 days, re	espiratory failure)											
1 10	RCT	not serious	N/A	serious ^a	serious ^H	single study	Tapentadol 1/62 (1.6%)	Morphine SR 0/31 (0%)	RR 1.52 (0.06, 36.4)	10 more per 1000 with tapentadol (from 49 fewer to 65 more)	Very Low	IMPORTANT
Adverse Events: Sedation (follow up: range 3 days to 20 weeks)												
17.6.11.12.13.14.15.16.17.18.19.20.21.22.23.24.25.26	RCT	serious ¹	serious ^J	serious ^ĸ	none	sparse ^L	1748 across interventions		NS overall M		Very Low	IMPORTANT
2 20, 28	RCT	serious N	not serious	not serious	serious ^H	none	Fentanyl 22/142 (17% º)	Morphine SR 25/142 (21% °)	RR 0.88 (0.52, 1.48)	25 more per 1000 with morphine (from 99 fewer to 99 more)	Low	IMPORTANT

Evidence Profile 1.2.2. Comparison of Analgesics During Maintenance of Pain Management

Abbreviations: CI: confidence interval; KPS: Karnofsky Performance Status scale; NS: not statistically significant; RCT: randomized controlled trial(s).

Explanations

A. Poor reporting of outcome.

B. All 4 studies NS. Data too variable and incompletely reported to allow meta-analysis:

- Ketorolac 30 mg 1.3 hr, Ketorolac 10 mg 1.4 hr, Diclofenac 1.7 hr; P=0.209 across interventions.
- Morphine CR 2 days (range 1-9 days), Oxycodone CR 2 days (range 1-10 days).
- Codeine + Ibuprofen vs. Codeine: Difference = 12 hours (95% CI -6.4, 30.4), nominally favoring codeine + ibuprofen.
- Morphine SR vs. Morphine IR: Difference = 0.4 days (95% CI -0.5, 1.3), nominally favoring morphine SR.
- C. Data too variable and incompletely reported to allow meta-analysis.

1 study: Kadian every 24 hours had longer mean time to remedication (16 hr) than Kadian every 12 hours (9.1 hr) or Morphine CR (8.7 hr); P = 0.001. 2 studies: Ketorolac vs. Diclofenac:

- Ketorolac 4.4 days (range 0-8 days), Diclofenac 4.2 days (range 0-8 days); NS. Duration of pain reduction efficacy.
- Ketorlac 30 mg 5.4 hours, Ketorolac 10 mg 5.5 hours, Diclofenac 5.0 hours. No further data. Duration of positive analog pain intensity difference.

1 study: Codeine + Ibuprofen vs. Codeine: Difference = 1.4 hours (95% CI -1.0, 3.8), nominally favoring codeine + ibuprofen. Maintaining time.

- D. In 1 study high attrition and unblinded outcome assessors.
- E. Favoring Morphine over Methadone.
- F. Favoring Ketorolac over Dexketoprofen
- G. Unclear what is meant by respiratory failure.
- H. Wide confidence interval.

I. High attrition, lack of blinding.

- J. Highly heterogeneous rates across studies (see Explanation M).
- K. Various specific outcomes.

L. Most comparisons evaluated by only a single study.

M. All NS within study. However, data too heterogeneous to allow meta-analyses (various definitions of sedation, somnolence, drowsiness, tiredness], 10 interventions : Fentanyl TD 3 studies 6-14%, Hydromorphone CR 1 study 7%, Methadone 2 studies 15-27%, Morphine CR 6 studies 6-19%, Morphine IR 3 studies 17-70%, Oxycodone IR 2 studies 32-65%, Tapentadol 1 study 4%, Tramadol + Fentanyl TD 1 study 6%, Tramadol + Tapentadol 1 study 9%.

N. Lack of blinding.

O. Meta-analyzed value.

Trials

1. Chen Y, Zhu W, Liang H, Wu G. The analgesic effect of ibuprofen-codeine sustained release tablets on postoperative and cancer pain. Chinese Journal of Clinical Rehabilitation; 2003.

2. Mucci-LoRusso, P., Berman, B. S., Silberstein, P. T., et al. Controlled-release oxycodone compared with controlled-release morphine in the treatment of cancer pain: a randomized, double-blind, parallel-group study. Eur J Pain; 1998.

3. Minotti, V., Betti, M., Ciccarese, G., Fumi, G., Tonato, M., Del Favero, A. A double-blind study comparing two single-dose regimens of ketorolac with diclofenac in pain due to cancer. Pharmacotherapy; May-Jun 1998.

- 4. Klepstad, P., Kaasa, S., Jystad, A., Hval, B., Borchgrevink, P. C. Immediate- or sustained-release morphine for dose finding during start of morphine to cancer patients: a randomized, double-blind trial. Pain; Jan 2003.
- 5. Pannuti, F., Robustelli della Cuna, G., Ventaffrida, V., Strocchi, E., Camaggi, C. M. A double-blind evaluation of the analgesic efficacy and toxicity of oral ketorolac and diclofenac in cancer pain. The TD/10 recordati Protocol Study Group. Tumori; Mar-Apr 1999.
- 6. Finn, J. W., Walsh, T. D., MacDonald, N., Bruera, E., Krebs, L. U., Shepard, K. V. Placebo-blinded study of morphine sulfate sustained-release tablets and immediate-release morphine sulfate solution in outpatients with chronic pain due to advanced cancer. J Clin Oncol; May 1993.
- 7. Broomhead, A., Kerr, R., Tester, W., et al. Comparison of a once-a-day sustained-release morphine formulation with standard oral morphine treatment for cancer pain. J Pain Symptom Manage; Aug 1997.
- 8. Ventafridda, V., Ripamonti, C., Bianchi, M., Sbanotto, A., De Conno, F. A randomized study on oral administration of morphine and methadone in the treatment of cancer pain. J Pain Symptom Manage; Fall 1986.

9. Rodriguez, M. J., Contreras, D., Galvez, R., et al. Double-blind evaluation of short-term analgesic efficacy of orally administered dexketoprofen trometamol and ketorolac in bone cancer pain. Pain; Jul 2003.

10. Poulain, P. A study to evaluate the effectiveness and safety of CG5503 (tapentadol) in the treatment of chronic tumor-related pain compared with placebo and morphine. Clinical Trials.gov; 2010.

- 11. Wilder-Smith, C. H., Schimke, J., Osterwalder, B., Senn, H. J. Oral tramadol, a mu-opioid agonist and monoamine reuptake-blocker, and morphine for strong cancer-related pain. Ann Oncol; Feb 1994.
- 12. Walsh, T. D., MacDonald, N., Bruera, E., Shepard, K. V., Michaud, M., Zanes, R. A controlled study of sustained -release morphine sulfate tablets in chronic pain from advanced cancer. Am J Clin Oncol; Jun 1992.
- 13. Kalso, E., Vainio, A. Morphine and oxycodone hydrochloride in the management of cancer pain. Clin Pharmacol Ther; May 1990.
- 14. Beaver, W. T., Wallenstein, S. L., Houde, R. W., Rogers, A. A clinical comparison of the analgesic effects of methadone and morphine administered intramuscularly, and of orally and parenterally administered methadone. Clin Pharmacol Ther, May-Jun 1967.
- 15. Arkinstall, W. W., Goughnour, B. R., White, J. A., Stewart, J. H. Control of severe pain with sustained-release morphine tablets v. oral morphine solution. Cmaj; Mar 15 1989.

16. Wong, J. O., Chiu, G. L., Tsao, C. J., Chang, C. L. Comparison of oral controlled-release morphine with transdermal fentanyl in terminal cancer pain. Acta Anaesthesiol Sin; Mar 1997.

17. Mercadante, S., Casuccio, A., Agnello, A., Serretta, R., Calderone, L., Barresi, L. Morphine versus methadone in the pain treatment of advanced-cancer patients followed up at home. J Clin Oncol; Nov 1998.

18. Heiskanen, T., Kalso, E. Controlled-release oxycodone and morphine in cancer related pain. Pain; Oct 1997.

19. Hagen, N. A., Babul, N. Comparative clinical efficacy and safety of a novel controlled-release oxycodone formulation and controlled-release hydromorphone in the treatment of cancer pain. Cancer; Apr 01 1997.

20. Bruera, E., Belzile, M., Pituskin, E., et al. Randomized, double-blind, cross-over trial comparing safety and efficacy of oral controlled-release oxycodone with controlled-release morphine in patients with cancer pain. J Clin Oncol; Oct 1998.

21. Ahmedzai, S., Brooks, D. Transdermal fentanyl versus sustained-release oral morphine in cancer pain: preference, efficacy, and quality of life. The TTS-Fentanyl Comparative Trial Group. J Pain Symptom Manage; May 1997.

22. Marinangeli, F., Ciccozzi, A., Aloisio, L., et al. Improved cancer pain treatment using combined fentanyl-TTS and tramadol. Pain Pract; Dec 2007.

23. Gabrail, N. Y., Dvergsten, C., Ahdieh, H. Establishing the dosage equivalency of oxymorphone extended release and oxycodone controlled release in patients with cancer pain: a randomized controlled study. Curr Med Res Opin; Jun 2004.

24. Kress, H. G., Koch, E. D., Kosturski, H., et al. Direct conversion from tramadol to tapentadol prolonged release for moderate to severe, chronic malignant tumour-related pain. Eur J Pain; Oct 2016.

25. Homsi, J., Walsh, D., Lasheen, W., et al. A comparative study of 2 sustained-release morphine preparations for pain in advanced cancer. Am J Hosp Palliat Care; Mar 2010.

26. Hanna, M., Thipphawong, J. A randomized, double-blind comparison of OROS(R) hydromorphone and controlled-release morphine for the control of chronic cancer pain. BMC Palliat Care; Oct 31 2008.