## Evidence-to-Decision table 5.2.4

In adults (including older persons) and adolescents with bone metastases, what is the evidence for the use of monoclonal antibodies (monoclonals) compared to each other in order to prevent and treat pain?

POPULATION:	Adults (including older persons) and adolescents with cancer- related pain	<b>Background:</b> Bone pain is the most common type of pain from cancer and is present in approximately one out of three patients with bone metastases. <sup>129,139</sup> The pain is commonly a mixture of
INTERVENTION:	Monoclonals Monoclonals	background pain and incident/episodic pain, which is commonly associated with weight bearing or movement. <sup>130</sup> Bone metastases can weaken bone sufficiently to greatly increase patients' risk of fracture.
MAIN OUTCOMES:	<ul> <li>Pain relief</li> <li>Pain relief speed</li> <li>Pain relief maintenance</li> <li>Quality of life (QoL)</li> <li>Functional outcomes</li> <li>Skeletal-related events</li> <li>Osteonecrosis of the jaw (adverse event)</li> </ul>	There are reports that monoclonal antibodies designed to target Nerve Growth Factor (NGF) and osteoclasts reduce pain scores in patients with metastatic bone pain <sup>141</sup> or fracture risk <sup>142</sup> . <b>Current WHO recommendation</b> : None
STRATIFICATIONS:	<ul> <li>Age (adults, older persons, adolescents, children)</li> <li>History of substance abuse</li> <li>Refractory pain</li> </ul>	
SETTING:	All	
PERSPECTIVE:	Population	

	CRITERIA	SUPPORTING EVIDENCE & ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS
PROBLEM	Is the problem a priority? Yes	Research evidence         None         Additional considerations         WHO does not have recommendations for treating bone pain and should investigate the various methods by which it might be treated, monoclonal antibodies being one of these methods.
BENEFITS & HARMS	Do the desirable effects outweigh the undesirable effects? Yes No Uncertain Yes Yes	<ul> <li>No randomized controlled trial compared monoclonal antibodies.</li> <li>BENEFITS and HARMS         <ul> <li>No trial reported on pain relief.</li> <li>No trial reported on pain relief speed.</li> <li>No trial reported on pain relief maintenance.</li> <li>No trial reported on QoL.</li> <li>No trial reported on skeletal-related events.</li> <li>No trial reported on osteonecrosis of the jaw.</li> </ul> </li> <li>STRATIFICATIONS         <ul> <li>Studies conducted in adults with a wide age range, without stratification into adolescent, non-older persons, and older persons.</li> <li>Studies provide no data regarding history of substance abuse.</li> <li>Studies provide no data regarding refractory pain.</li> </ul> </li> <li>SUMMARY         <ul> <li>No eligible trials were found that address this sub-question.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

	Is there important	
	uncertainty or variability	None
	about how much people	
	value the options?	Additional considerations
(0	Major variability	None
Ü		
N		
FER	Minor variability	
PREFERENCES		
8 P		
ž	Uncertain	
	Yes	
ACCEPTABILITY		
	Is the option acceptable to	
	key stakeholders?	
-	-	
	Yes No Uncertair	
	Yes	

	How large are the resource	Research evidence
	requirements?	None
FEASIBILITY ./ RESOURCE USE	Major Minor Uncertai	Additional considerations None
	Is the option feasible to implement?	
ASIB	Yes No Uncertair	
FE/	Yes	
	Would the option improve	Research evidence
	equity in health?	None
EQUITY		Additional considerations None

Recommendation	Current recommendation: None
	New (draft) recommendation: None
Strength of Recommendation	
Quality of Evidence	None [Omitted for no data]
Justification	
Subgroup considerations	
Implementation considerations [incl. M&E]	
Research priorities	