Evidence Profile 5.2.2. Comparison of Bisphosphonates

			Certainty asse	essment			№ of patients		Effect			
№ of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Clodronate Ibandronate	Pamidronate Zoledronate	Clodronate Ibandronate	Pamidronate Zoledronate	Certainty	Importance
Pain relief	(categorical) (fol	low up: range 6 mo	inths to 2 years)	'		'		•	*			
2 1,2	RCT	serious A	not serious	not serious	not serious	sparse ^B	C 212 (1 study)	P 171 (2 studies)	C 56/212 (26%)	P 40/171 (22% °)	Low	CRITICAL
							I 65 (1 study)	Z 60 (1 study)	I 4/65 (6%)	Z 9/60 (15%)		
Pain relief	(continuous) (fol	low up: range 6 mo	nths to 3 years; as	sessed with: BPI, V	'AS; Scale: 0 to 10	0 [worst]*)	<u>, </u>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
3 2,3,4	RCT	serious ^D	not serious	not serious	not serious	sparse ^B	C 68 (1 study)	P 62 (1 study)	Difference: C -3.6 (-4.5, -2.7)	Difference: P -4.2 (-4.9, -3.5)	Low	CRITICAL
							I 731 (2 studies)	Z 774 (3 studies)	I -3.3 (-4.2, -2.4)	Z -5.0 (-5.5, -4.4)		
Pain relief	speed											
0									not estimable	not estimable		IMPORTANT
Pain reduc	tion maintenanc	e (follow up: range	6 months to 3 year	rs)								
2 2,3	RCT	serious ^D	not serious	not serious	serious ^E	sparse ^B	C 68 (1 study)	P 62 (1 study)	Difference: C 13 (nd) mo	Difference: P 5.2 (4.7, 5.7) mo	Very Low	CRITICAL
							I 65 (1 study)	Z 129 (2 studies)	I 5.5 (4.9, 6.0) mo	Z 7.4 (4.1, 10.6) F		
Skeletal-re	elated events, an	y (follow up: range	3 months to 3 year)								
2 3,6	RCT	serious ^D	not serious	not serious	serious ^G	sparse ^B	C 68 (1 study)	P 0	C 14/68 (21%)	P nd	Very Low	IMPORTANT
							I 27 (1 study)	Z 95 (2 studies)	I 7/27 (26%)	Z 71/95 (18% °)		
Skeletal-re	lated events, fra	cture (follow up: rai	nge 3 months to 3	year)	•			1	•			
4 1,2,3,4	RCT	serious ^D	not serious	not serious	serious ^G	sparse ^B	C 280 (2 studies)	P 171 (2 studies)	C 38/280 (11% °)	P 37/171 (27% ^c) ^H	Very Low	IMPORTANT
							I 796 (2 studies)	Z 826 (3 studies)	I 119/769 (21% °)	Z 109/826 (10% °)		
Skeletal-re	lated events, spi	inal cord compressi	ion (follow up: rang	e 3 months to 3 year	ar)			1	•	<u>'</u>		
3 2,3,4	RCT	serious ^D	not serious	not serious	serious ^G	sparse B	C 68 (1 study)	P 62 (1 study)	C 1/68 (1.5%) ¹	P 7/62 (11%)	Very Low	IMPORTANT
							I 769 (2 studies)	Z 826 (3 studies)	I 23/769 (2.9% °)	Z 27/826 (3.1% °)		
Skeletal-re	elated events, bo	ne radiation (follow	up: range 3 month	s to 3 year)		I .	1		l .			1
2 3,4	RCT	serious ^D	not serious	not serious	serious ^G	sparse ^B	C 68 (1 study)	P 0	C 7/68 (10%) J	P nd	Very Low	IMPORTANT
							I 704 (1 study)	Z 766 (2 studies)	I 210/704 (30%)	Z 194/766 (18% °)		

Certainty assessment							№ of patients		Effect			
№ of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Clodronate Ibandronate	Pamidronate Zoledronate	Clodronate Ibandronate	Pamidronate Zoledronate	Certainty	Importance
Skeletal-re	ated events, bor	ne surgery (follow u	up: range 3 months	to 3 year)								
3 2,3,4	RCT	serious ^D	not serious	not serious	serious ^G	sparse ^B	C 68 (1 study)	P 62 (1 study)	C 0/68 (0%) ^I	P 4/62 (6.5%)	Very Low	IMPORTANT
							I 769 (2 studies)	Z 826 (3 studies)	I 45/769 (5.9% °)	Z 35/826 (3.8% c)		
Skeletal-re	ated events, hyp	percalcemia (follow	up: range 3 month	ns to 3 year)					•			
3 2,3,4	RCT	serious ^D	not serious	not serious	serious ^G	sparse ^B	C 68 (1 study)	P 62 (1 study)	C 2/68 (2.9%) ¹	P 31/62 (50%) K	Very Low	IMPORTANT
							I 769 (2 studies)	Z 826 (3 studies)	I 104/769 (27% °)	Z 83/826 (12% °)		
Quality of li	fe											
0									not estimable	not estimable		CRITICAL
Functional	outcomes											
0									not estimable	not estimable		IMPORTANT
Adverse ev	ents: Osteonecr	osis of jaw								•		
3 3,4,6	RCT	serious ^D	not serious	not serious	very serious ^L	none	C 68 (1 study)	P 0	C 1/68 (1.5%) M	P nd	Very Low	IMPORTANT
							I 731 (2 studies)	Z 792 (3 studies)	I 5/731 (0.7% °) м	Z 10/792 (1.2% °) M		

Abbreviations: C: clodronate; CI: confidence interval; GI: gastrointestinal; I: ibdandronate; mo: months; N/A: not applicable; nd: no data; NS: not statistically significant; P: pamidronate; RCT: randomized controlled trial(s); SRE: skeletal-related event;

Z: zolendrontate.

Explanations

- A. Incomplete data reporting.
- B. Sparse direct comparisons.
- C. Meta-analyzed value.
- D. Lack of blinding, incomplete data reporting.
- E. Incomplete variance data.
- F. Meta-analyzed value. Assumes standard deviation is the same in the study that did not report variance data as the study that did.
- G. Small sample sizes for most comparisons.
- H. von Au et al. reported significantly fewer fractures with pamidronate (7%) than ibandronate (29%) or zelendronate zeledronate (25%).
- I. In the same study, the rate in the zolendroanate group was 1/69 (1.4%), which was not significantly different.
- J. In the same study, the rate in the zolendroanate group was 6/69(8.7%), which was not significantly different.
- K. In the same study, the rate in the ibandronate group was 29/65 (45%), which was not significantly different (RR = 0.64; 95% CI 0.39, 1.03), but the rate in the zelendronate group was 17/60 (28%), which was significantly lower (RR = 0.57; 95% CI 0.39, 0.91).
- L. Imprecise estimates for each comparison. See next footnote.
- M. Ibandronate vs. zolendronatezoledronate (2 studies): RR = 0.52 (95% CI 0.19, 1.45). Clodronate vs. zolendronatezoledronate (1 study): RR = 3.09 (95% CI 0.12, 77.2).

Trials

- 1. von Au, A., Milloth, E., Diel, I., et al. Intravenous pamidronate versus oral and intravenous clodronate in bone metastatic breast cancer: a randomized, open-label, non-inferiority Phase III trial. Onco Targets Ther; 2016.
- 2. Choudhury, K. B., Mallik, C., Sharma, S., Choudhury, D. B., Maiti, S., Roy, C. A randomized controlled trial to compare the efficacy of bisphosphonates in the management of painful bone metastasis. Indian J Palliat Care; Sep 2011.

- 3. Wang, F., Chen, W., Chen, H., et al. Comparison between zoledronic acid and clodronate in the treatment of prostate cancer patients with bone metastases. Med Oncol; 2013.
- 4. Barrett-Lee, P., Casbard, A., Abraham, J., et al. Oral ibandronic acid versus intravenous zoledronic acid in treatment of bone metastases from breast cancer: a randomised, open label, non-inferiority phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol; Jan 2014.
- 5. Rosen, L. S., Gordon, D. H., Dugan, W., Jr., et al. Zoledronic acid is superior to pamidronate for the treatment of bone metastases in breast carcinoma patients with at least one osteolytic lesion. Cancer; Jan 01 2004.
 6. Francini, F., Pascucci, A., Bargagli, G., et al. Effects of intravenous zoledronic acid and oral ibandronate on early changes in markers of bone turnover in patients with bone metastases from non-small cell lung cancer. Int J Clin Oncol; Jun 2011.
 7. Body, J. J., Lichinitser, M., Tjulandin, S., Garnero, P., Bergstrom, B. Oral ibandronate is as active as intravenous zoledronic acid for reducing bone turnover markers in women with breast cancer and bone metastases. Ann Oncol; Jul 2007.