

Table 96: Clinical evidence profile: Comparison 7. Complete cohort segregation versus incomplete cohort segregation

Quality assessment							No of patients		Effect		Quality	Importance
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Complete cohort segregation	Incomplete cohort segregation	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute		
Annual incidence of <i>Burkholderia</i> species (percentages) (follow-up 1 year)												
1 (France 2008)	observational studies	very serious ¹	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	Not calculable ²	none	< 3% (for all but 1 year) ³	16.3% ³	-	-	VERY LOW	CRITICAL

Abbreviations: CI: confidence interval

1 The quality of the evidence was downgraded by 2 because high risk of bias in relation to sample selection, the comparability between the groups and the outcome reporting and assessment.

2 Imprecision cannot be calculated with the data reported

3 Intervention group: data after 1993; comparison group: data from 1992. Intervention implemented in November 1993.