Table 95: Clinical evidence profile: Comparison 6. Cohort segregation versus no cohort segregation

| Quality | / assessment | | | | | | No of patier | nts | Effect | | | |
|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|---|-------------|-----------------------------|--------------|-------------|------------|
| No of studi es | Design | Risk of bias | Inconsistenc y | Indirectnes s | Imprecisio n | Other consideration s | Cohort segregatio n into pathogens | Contro I | Relativ e (95% CI) | Absolut e | Quali ty | Importance |
| Month | ly incidence of | f multiply | resistant P ael | ruginosa stra | in (follow-up | 1 month) | patriogono | | 01) | | ., | |

| Quality | Quality assessment | | | | | | | No of patients | | Effect | | |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|-----------------|------------|
| No of studi es | Design | Risk of bias | Inconsistenc y | Indirectnes s | Imprecisio n | Other consideration s | Cohort segregatio n into pathogens | Contro I | Relativ e (95% CI) | Absolut e | Quali ty | Importance |
| 1 (Hoib y & Pede rsen 1989) | observation al studies | serious 1 | no serious inconsistenc y | no serious indirectnes s | no serious imprecisio n | none | 5/77 (6.5%) ² | 22/107 (20.6 %) ² | OR 0.27 (0.1 to 0.74) | 140 fewer per 1000 (from 45 fewer to 180 fewer) | VER Y LOW | CRITICAL |
| Annual incidence of intermittent <i>P aeruginosa</i> (follow-up 1 year) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 (Fred eriks en 1999) | observation al studies | serious 3 | no serious inconsistenc y | no serious indirectnes s | very serious ⁴ | none | 9/40 (22.5%) ⁵ | 15/45 (33.3 %) ⁵ | OR 0.58 (0.22 to 1.53) | 109 fewer per 1000 (from 234 fewer to 100 more) | VER Y LOW | CRITICAL |
| Annua | l incidence of | chronic F | <i>aeruginosa</i> (f | ollow-up 1 ye | ar) | | | | | | | |
| 1 (Fred eriks en 1999) | observation al studies | serious 3 | no serious inconsistenc y | no serious indirectnes s | serious ⁶ | none | 7/69 (10.1%)⁵ | 15/75 (20%)⁵ | OR 0.45 (0.17 to 1.19) | 99 fewer per 1000 (from 159 fewer to 29 more) | VER Y LOW | CRITICAL |

 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{\sc online \sc on$

| Quality No of studi es | / assessment Design | Risk of bias | Inconsistenc y | Indirectnes s | Imprecisio n | Other consideration s | No of patien Cohort segregatio n into pathogens | nts Contro I | Effect Relativ e (95% CI) | Absolut e | Quali ty | Importance |
|--|---|---|---|--|---|-----------------------------|---|----------------------------------|---|--|-----------------|------------|
| 1 (Whit eford 1995) | observation al studies | very serious 7 | no serious inconsistenc y | no serious indirectnes s | very serious ⁴ | none | 1/93 (1.1%) ⁸ | 5/109 (4.6%) ⁸ | OR 0.23 (0.03 to 1.97) | 35 fewer per 1000 (from 44 fewer to 41 more) | VER Y LOW | CRITICAL |
| Annua 1 (Fran ce 2008) | I incidence of observation al studies | Burkhold very serious | <mark>eria species in</mark> no serious inconsistenc y | fection (perc no serious indirectnes s | entages) (fol Not calculable ¹⁰ | low-up 1 year) none | 16.3% ¹¹ | 3-5% ¹¹ | - | - | VER Y LOW | CRITICAL |
| Month 1 (Hoib y 1989) | ly prevalence of observation al studies | of multipl serious 1 | e resistant <i>P a</i> no serious inconsistenc y | eruginosa str no serious indirectnes s | ain (percent very serious ⁴ | ages) (follow-uj none | 37% (44/119) ² | 33% (39/11 9) ² | OR 1.02 (0.60 to 1.76) | 4 more per 1000 (from 101 fewer to 134 more) | VER Y LOW | CRITICAL |
| Preval 1 (Griffi ths 2005) | ence of AES-1 observation al studies | P aerugin no serious risk of bias | nosa epidemic no serious inconsistenc y | strain (follow no serious indirectnes s | r-up: 2 years serious ⁶ |) none | - | - | adjRR 0.64 (0.47 to 0.87) ¹² | - | VER Y LOW | CRITICAL |

 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{\sc online \sc on$

| Quality | y assessment | | | | | | No of patients | | Effect | | | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|------------------------------------|--|-----------------|------------|
| No of studi es | Design | Risk of bias | Inconsistenc y | Indirectnes s | Imprecisio n | Other consideration s | Cohort segregatio n into pathogens | Contro I | Relativ e (95% CI) | Absolut e | Quali ty | Importance |
| Annua | l prevalence o | f chronic | P aeruginosa i | nfection (foll | ow-up 1 yea | r) | | | | | | |
| 1 (Jone s 2005) | observation al studies | no serious risk of bias | no serious inconsistenc y | no serious indirectnes s | serious ⁶ | none | 184/228 (80.7%) ¹³ | 156/21 6 (72.2 %) ¹³ | OR 1.61 (1.03 to 2.51) | 85 more per 1000 (from 6 more to 145 more) | VER Y LOW | CRITICAL |
| Annua | l prevalence o | f transmi | ssible <i>P aerugi</i> | nosa infectio | n (follow-up | 1 year) | | | | | | |
| 1 (Jone s 2005) | observation al studies | no serious risk of bias | no serious inconsistenc y | no serious indirectnes s | very serious ⁴ | none | 35/228 (15.4%) ¹³ | 28/216 (13%) ¹ 3 | OR 1.22 (0.71 to 2.08) | 24 more per 1000 (from 34 fewer to 107 more) | VER Y LOW | CRITICAL |
| Annua | prevalence o | f chronic | infection with | transmissible | P aerugino | sa strain (perce | entages) (follo | ow-up 1 y | vear) | | | |
| 1 (Jone s 2005) | observation al studies | no serious risk of bias | no serious inconsistenc y | no serious indirectnes s | Not calculable | none | 15.4% ¹³ | 13.0% 13 | - | - | VER Y LOW | CRITICAL |

Abbreviations: adjRR: adjusted risk ratio; ASUSP-1: Australian epidemic strain, type 1; CI: confidence interval; MRSA: methicillin-resistant staphylococcus aureus; OR: odds ratio

1 The quality of the evidence was downgraded by 1 because of high risk of bias in relation to comparability of the groups, and outcome reporting

2 Intervention group: data from May 1983; comparison group: data from March 1983. Intervention implemented in April 1983.

3 The quality of the evidence was downgraded by 1 because of high risk of bias in relation to comparability between groups, and outcome assessment

4 The quality of the evidence was downgraded by 2 because the 95% CI crossed 2 default MIDs

5 Intervention group: data from 1982; comparison group: data from 1980. Intervention implemented in 1981

6 The quality of the evidence was downgraded by 1 because the 95% CI crossed 1 default MID

7 The quality of the evidence was downgraded by 2 because of high risk of bias in relation to the comparability between groups, outcome assessment and unclear sample selection

8 Intervention group: data from December 1992; comparison group: data from May 1992. Intervention implemented in June 1992.

9 The quality of the evidence was downgraded by 2 because of high risk of bias in relation to sample selection, comparability between groups and outcome assessment 10 Imprecision cannot be calculated with the data reported

11 Intervention group: data from 1992; comparison group: data from 1983-1990. Intervention implemented in November 1991. Intervention was incomplete cohort segregation.

12 Intervention group: data from 2002; comparison group: data from 1999. Intervention implemented in January 2000.

13 Intervention group: data from 2001; comparison group: data from 1999. Intervention implemented in 2000.