	Table 53: Clinical evidence	profile: Compari	ison 4. Ibuprofen v	versus placebo
--	-----------------------------	------------------	---------------------	----------------

Quality assessment								No of patients		Effect		
No of studie s	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsisten cy	Indirectne ss	Imprecisi on	Other consideratio ns	lbuprof en	Place bo	Relativ e (95% Cl)	Absolut e	Quali ty	Importance
Adverse effects: increase in abdominal pain (follow-up 2 years)												
1 (Lands 2007)	randomis ed trials	no serious risk of bias	no serious inconsistenc y	no serious indirectnes s	very serious ¹	none	1/70 (1.4%)	4/72 (5.6%)	RR 0.26 (0.03 to 2.24)	41 fewer per 1000 (from 54 fewer to 69 more)	LOW	CRITICAL
Adverse	effects: in	crease in a	abdominal pain	(follow-up 4	years)							

 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{\sc online 0.5ex}}$ NICE 2017. All rights reserved. Subject to Notice of rights.

Quality	assessmen	t					No of pat	ients	Effect			
No of studie s	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsisten cy	Indirectne ss	Imprecisi on	Other consideratio ns	lbuprof en	Place bo	Relativ e (95% Cl)	Absolut e	Quali ty	Importance
1 (Konst an 1995)	randomis ed trials	serious 2	no serious inconsistenc y	no serious indirectnes s	very serious ¹	none	5/41 (12.2%)	7/43 (16.3 %)	RR 0.75 (0.26 to 2.17)	41 fewer per 1000 (from 120 fewer to 190 more)	VER Y LOW	CRITICAL
Adverse	e effects: ga	strointest	inal bleeding (f	ollow-up 2 ye	ars)							
1 (Lands 2007)	randomis ed trials	no serious risk of bias	no serious inconsistenc y	no serious indirectnes s	very serious ¹	none	1/70 (1.4%)	0/72 (0%)	RR 3.08 (0.13 to 74.46)	Not calculabl e ²	LOW	CRITICAL
Annual	rate of chan	ige in % id	leal body weigł	nt (follow-up 4	years; Bett	er indicated by	higher valu	ies)				
1 (Konst an 1995)	randomis ed trials	serious 3	no serious inconsistenc y	no serious indirectnes s	serious ⁴	none	41	43	-	MD 0.99 higher (0.17 to 1.81 higher)	LOW	IMPORTAN T
Annual	rate of chan	ige in % id	leal body weigh	nt (by age) - U	nder 13 yeai	rs at randomisat	tion (follov	/-up 4 ye	ars; Bette	r indicated	by high	er values)
1 (Konst an 1995)	randomis ed trials	serious 3	no serious inconsistenc y	no serious indirectnes s	serious ⁴	none	24	25	-	MD 1.45 higher (0.33 to 2.57 higher)	LOW	IMPORTAN T
Annual	rate of chan	ige in % id	leal body weigh	nt (by age) - 1	3 years or ol	der at randomis	ation (follo	ow-up 4 y	years; Bet	ter indicate	d by hig	her values)
1 (Konst an 1995)	randomis ed trials	serious 3	no serious inconsistenc y	no serious indirectnes s	very serious ¹	none	17	18	-	MD 0.34 higher (0.61 lower to	VER Y LOW	IMPORTAN T

 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{\sc online \sc on$

Quality	assessmen	t					No of par	tients	Effect			
No of studie s	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsisten cy	Indirectne ss	Imprecisi on	Other consideratio ns	lbuprof en	Place bo	Relativ e (95% Cl)	Absolut e	Quali ty	Importance
										1.29 higher)		

Abbreviations: CI: confidence interval; MD: mean difference; RR: risk ratio

The quality of the evidence downgraded by 2 due to serious imprecision as 95% CI crossed 2 default MIDs.
Absolute effect not calculable as there are 0 events in control (placebo) arm.
The quality of the evidence was downgraded by 1 due to reporting bias.
The quality of the evidence downgraded by 1 due to serious imprecision as 95% CI crossed 1 default MID.