Quality assessment							No of patients		Effect			
No of studie s	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecisio n	Other consideration s	CF specialist clinic	Genera I (not CF) clinic	Relativ e (95% CI)	Absolut e	Qual ity	Importan ce
Patient satisfaction with care overall (Better indicated by higher values)												
1 (Walt ers 1994)	observationa I studies	serious 1	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	Not calculable	none	N= 686 overall (not disaggregated by group)		-	MD 0.44 higher (0.29 higher to 0.58 higher)	VER Y LOW	CRITICAL

Table 5: Clinical evidence profile: Comparison 2.3. CF centre care versus general clinic (non-CF)

Abbreviations: CI: confidence interval; CF: cystic fibrosis; MD: mean difference 1 The quality of the evidence was downgraded by 1 because the authors did not control the analysis for any of the confounding factors