Table 42: Clinical evidence profile: Comparison 3.3. Colistin versus tobramycin

Quality as	sessment						No of pa	atients	Effect			
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsiste ncy	Indirectn ess	Imprecisi on	Other considerations	Colisti n	Tobram ycin	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	Qualit y	Importar ce
	tion: mean versus TO			edicted (follo	ow-up: 1 to 3	months; range	of score	s: 0-100; E	Better indic	ated by high	ner values	s) [COLI
1 (Hodson 2002)	randomi sed trials	very serious ¹	no serious inconsisten cy	no serious indirectne ss	serious ²	none	59	50	-	MD 6.33 lower (12.7 lower to 0.04 higher)	VERY LOW	CRITICA L
_	tion: mean BI nebulise		in FEV ₁ % pro	edicted (follo	ow-up: 4 wee	eks; range of so	ores: 0-1	00; Better	indicated I	oy higher va	lues) [CO	LI DPI
1 (COLO/D PI/02/06)	randomi sed trials	serious ³	no serious inconsisten cy	no serious indirectne ss	serious ²	none	183	191	-	MD 1.67 lower (5.43 lower to 2.09 higher)	LOW	CRITICA L
	tion: mean		in FEV ₁ % pre	edicted (follo	ow-up: 12 we	eeks; range of s	cores: 0-	100; Bette	r indicated	by higher v	alues) [C	OLI DPI
1 (COLO/D PI/02/06)	randomi sed trials	serious ³	no serious inconsisten cy	no serious indirectne ss	serious ²	none	183	191	-	MD 2.63 lower (6.67 lower to 1.41	LOW	CRITICA L

Quality ass	sessment						No of pa	atients	Effect			
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsiste ncy	Indirectn ess	Imprecisi on	Other considerations	Colisti n	Tobram ycin	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	Qualit y	Importan ce
2 (COLO/D PI/02/06, Schuster 2013)	randomi sed trials	very serious ⁴	no serious inconsisten cy	no serious indirectne ss	No serious imprecisio n	none	306	352	-	MD 0.99 lower (0.95 to 1.03 higher)	LOW	CRITICA L
		vith 1 or mo	ore exacerbati	ions								
NMA outco												
Time to ne TOBI nebu		ary exaceri	oation: time to	o first additio	onal anti-pse	eudomal treatm	ent (Bette	er indicated	d by highe	r values) [C0	OLI DPI v	ersus
1 (COLO/D PI/02/06)	randomi sed trials	serious ³	no serious inconsisten cy	no serious indirectne ss	very serious ⁵	none	183	191	-	MD 3.49 higher (5.14 lower to 12.12 higher)	VERY LOW	CRITICA L
Suppression nebulised				tum PA dens	sity Log10 C	FU/ml (follow-u	p 4 week	s; Better ir	ndicated by	higher valu	ies) [COL	.I
1 (Hodson 2002)	randomi sed trials	very serious1	no serious inconsisten cy	no serious indirectne ss	no serious imprecisio n	none	37	42	-	MD 0.32 higher (0.32 lower to 0.96 higher)	LOW	IMPORT ANT
Nutritional	status: BN	/II change (follow-up 24	weeks; meas	sured with: I	g; Better indica	ated by h	igher value	es)			
1 (COLO/D PI/02/06)	randomi sed trials	serious ³	no serious inconsisten cy	no serious indirectne ss	serious ⁶	none	183	191	-	MD 0.09 lower (0.26 lower to 0.88 higher)	LOW	IMPORT ANT

Quality as	sessment						No of pa	atients	Effect			
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsiste ncy	Indirectn ess	Imprecisi on	Other considerations	Colisti n	Tobram ycin	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	Qualit y	Importan ce
Quality of nebulised		e in CFQ-R	physical (fol	ow-up 24 wo	eeks; range	of scores: 0-10	0; Better	indicated I	oy higher v	alues) [COL	I DPI vers	sus TOBI
1 (COLO/D PI/02/06)	randomi sed trials	serious ³	no serious inconsisten cy	no serious indirectne ss	Not calculable	none	183	191	P=0.353	MD 1.82 higher (0 to 0 higher)	MODE RATE	IMPORT ANT
Quality of nebulised		e in CFQ-R	vitality (follo	w-up 24 we	eks; range o	of scores: 0-100	; Better ir	ndicated by	y higher va	lues) [COLI	DPI versi	ıs TOBI
1 (COLO/D PI/02/06)	randomi sed trials	serious ³	no serious inconsisten cy	no serious indirectne ss	Not calculable	none	183	191	P=0.293	MD 2.27 higher (0 to 0 higher)	MODE RATE	IMPORT ANT
Quality of nebulised		e in CFQ-R	emotion (foll	ow-up 24 we	eeks; range	of scores: 0-100); Better i	indicated k	y higher v	alues) [COL	I DPI vers	us TOBI
1 (COLO/D PI/02/06)	randomi sed trials	serious ³	no serious inconsisten cy	no serious indirectne ss	Not calculable	none	183	191	P=0.244	MD 1.75 higher (0 to 0 higher)	MODE RATE	IMPORT ANT
Quality of nebulised		e in CFQ-R	eating (follow	v-up 24 weel	ks; range of	scores: 0-100;	Better in	dicated by	higher val	ues) [COLI [PI versu	s TOBI
1 (COLO/D PI/02/06)	randomi sed trials	serious ³	no serious inconsisten cy	no serious indirectne ss	Not calculable	none	181	191	P=0.925	MD 0.19 lower (0 to 0 higher)	MODE RATE	IMPORT ANT
	life: chang Bl nebulise		treatment bu	rden (follow	-up 24 week	s; range of sco	res: 0-10	0; Better in	idicated by	higher valu	ies) [COL	I DPI
1 (COLO/D PI/02/06)	randomi sed trials	serious ³	no serious inconsisten cy	no serious indirectne ss	Not calculable	none	183	191	P=0.091	MD 2.87 higher (0 to 0 higher)	MODE RATE	IMPORT ANT

Quality as:	sessment						No of pa	atients	Effect			
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsiste ncy	Indirectn ess	Imprecisi on	Other considerations	Colisti n	Tobram ycin	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	Qualit y	Importan ce
Quality of versus TO			health perce	ption (follow	v-up 24 week	s; range of sco	res: 0-10	0; Better ir	ndicated by	higher valu	ies) [COL	I DPI
1 (COLO/D PI/02/06)	randomi sed trials	serious ³	no serious inconsisten cy	no serious indirectne ss	Not calculable	none	183	191	P=0.159	MD 2.96 higher (0 to 0 higher)	MODE RATE	IMPORT ANT
Quality of nebulised)		e in CFQ-R	social (follow	v-up 24 weel	ks; range of	scores: 0-100;	Better inc	dicated by	higher valu	ues) [COLI D	PI versus	тові
1 (COLO/D PI/02/06)	randomi sed trials	serious ³	no serious inconsisten cy	no serious indirectne ss	Not calculable 7	none	183	191	P=0.153	MD 0.92 higher (0 to 0 higher)	MODE RATE	IMPORT ANT
Quality of TOBI nebu	•	e in CFQ-R	body image	(follow-up 2	4 weeks; rai	nge of scores: (0-100; Be	tter indicat	ted by high	er values) [COLI DPI	versus
1 (COLO/D PI/02/06)	randomi sed trials	serious ³	no serious inconsisten cy	no serious indirectne ss	Not calculable	none	183	191	P=0.385	MD 1.85 higher (0 to 0 higher)	MODE RATE	IMPORT ANT
Quality of nebulised)		e in CFQ-R	role (follow-u	up 24 weeks	; range of so	ores: 0-100; Be	etter indic	ated by hi	gher value	s) [COLI DP	l versus 1	ОВІ
1 (COLO/D PI/02/06)	randomi sed trials	serious ³	no serious inconsisten cy	no serious indirectne ss	Not calculable	none	183	191	P=0.607	MD 1.22 lower (0 to 0 higher)	MODE RATE	IMPORT ANT
Quality of nebulised)		e in CFQ-R	weight (follo	w-up 24 wee	ks; range of	scores: 0-100;	Better in	dicated by	higher val	ues) [COLI	DPI versu	s TOBI
1 (COLO/D PI/02/06)	randomi sed trials	serious ³	no serious inconsisten cy	no serious indirectne ss	Not calculable	none	183	191	P=0.461	MD 2.81 higher (0 to 0 higher)	MODE RATE	IMPORT ANT

Quality as	sessment						No of pa	atients	Effect			
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsiste ncy	Indirectn ess	Imprecisi on	Other considerations	Colisti n	Tobram ycin	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	Qualit y	Importan ce
Quality of TOBI nebu		e in CFQ-R	respiratory (follow-up 24	weeks; ran	ge of scores: 0-	100; Bett	er indicate	d by highe	r values) [C	OLI DPI v	ersus
1 (COLO/D PI/02/06)	randomi sed trials	serious ³	no serious inconsisten cy	no serious indirectne ss	Not calculable	none	183	191	P=0.756	MD 0.53 lower (0 to 0 higher)	MODE RATE	IMPORT ANT
Quality of nebulised	_	e in CFQ-R	digestion (fo	llow-up 24 v	veeks; range	e of scores: 0-1	00; Bettei	rindicated	by higher	values) [CO	LI DPI ve	rsus TOBI
1 (COLO/D PI/02/06)	randomi sed trials	serious ³	no serious inconsisten cy	no serious indirectne ss	Not calculable	none	183	191	P=0.077	MD 3.22 higher (0 to 0 higher)	MODE RATE	IMPORT ANT
Minor adv	erse event	s: sputum ((follow-up 4 w	reeks) [COLI	nebulised v	ersus TOBI nel	oulised]					
1 (Hodson 2002)	randomi sed trials	very serious ¹	no serious inconsisten cy	no serious indirectne ss	very serious ⁸	none	8/62 (12.9%)	6/53 (11.3%)	RR 1.14 (0.42 to 3.08)	16 more per 1000 (from 66 fewer to 235 more)	VERY LOW	IMPORT ANT
Minor adv	erse event	s: pharyng	itis (follow-up	4 weeks) [C	OLI nebulis	ed versus TOB	nebulise	d]				
1 (Hodson 2002)	randomi sed trials	very serious ¹	no serious inconsisten cy	no serious indirectne ss	very serious ⁸	none	3/62 (4.8%)	7/53 (13.2%)	RR 0.37 (0.1 to 1.35)	83 fewer per 1000 (from 119 fewer to 46 more)	VERY LOW	IMPORT ANT
Minor adv	erse event	s: cough (f	ollow-up 4 we	eks) [COLI r	nebulised ve	rsus TOBI nebu	ılised]					
1 (Hodson	randomi sed trials	very serious ¹	no serious inconsisten cy	no serious indirectne	very serious ⁸	none	11/62 (17.7%	5/53 (9.4%)	RR 1.88 (0.7 to 5.07)	83 more per 1000 (from 28	VERY LOW	IMPORT ANT

Quality ass	sessment						No of pa	atients	Effect			
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsiste ncy	Indirectn ess	Imprecisi on	Other considerations	Colisti n	Tobram ycin	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	Qualit y	Importan ce
Minor adve	rse events	: producti	ve cough (foll	ow-up 24 we	eks) [COLI	DPI versus TOE	BI nebulis	ed)				
1 (COLO/D PI/02/06)	randomi sed trials		no serious inconsisten cy	no serious indirectne ss	very serious ⁸	none	38/187 (20.3%)	44/193 (22.8%)	RR 0.89 (0.61 to 1.31)	25 fewer per 1000 (from 89 fewer to 71 more)	VERY LOW	IMPORT ANT
Minor adve	erse events	: chest dis	comfort (follo	w-up 24 we	eks) [COLI [PI versus TOB	l nebulise	ed)				
1 (COLO/D PI/02/06)	randomi sed trials	serious ³	no serious inconsisten cy	no serious indirectne ss	very serious ⁸	none	26/187 (13.9%)	34/193 (17.6%)	RR 0.79 (0.49 to 1.26)	37 fewer per 1000 (from 90 fewer to 46 more)	VERY LOW	IMPORT ANT
Minor adve	erse events	: vomiting	(follow-up 24	weeks) [CO	LI DPI versu	us TOBI nebulis	ed)					
1 (COLO/D PI/02/06)	randomi sed trials	serious ³	no serious inconsisten cy	no serious indirectne ss	very serious ⁸	none	6/187 (3.2%)	8/193 (4.1%)	RR 0.77 (0.27 to 2.19)	10 fewer per 1000 (from 30 fewer to 49 more)	VERY LOW	IMPORT ANT
Serious ad	verse ever	nts: patient	s with >1 seri	ous AE (foll	ow-up 4 wee	eks) [COLI nebu	lised ver	sus TOBI ı	nebulised]			
1 (Hodson 2002)	randomi sed trials	very serious ¹	no serious inconsisten cy	no serious indirectne ss	very serious ⁸	none	7/62 (11.3%)	8/53 (15.1%)	RR 0.75 (0.29 to 1.93)	38 fewer per 1000 (from 107 fewer to 140 more)	VERY LOW	IMPORT ANT
Serious ad	verse ever	nts: patient	s withdrawn (follow-up 24	weeks) [CC	OLI DPI versus	TOBI neb	ulised)				
1 (COLO/D PI/02/06)	randomi sed trials	serious ³	no serious inconsisten cy	no serious indirectne ss	no serious imprecisio n	none	22/187 (11.8%)	5/193 (2.6%)	RR 4.54 (1.76 to 11.74)	92 more per 1000 (from 20 more to 278 more)	MODE RATE	IMPORT ANT

Quality as	sessment						No of pa	atients	Effect			
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsiste ncy	Indirectn ess	Imprecisi on	Other considerations	Colisti n	Tobram ycin	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute	Qualit y	Importan ce
Serious ac	lverse ever	nts: haemo	ptysis (follow	-up 24 week	s) [COLI nek	oulised versus	TOBI neb	ulised]				
1 (Hodson 2002)	randomi sed trials	very serious ¹	no serious inconsisten cy	no serious indirectne ss	serious ⁶	none	20/187 (10.7%)	13/193 (6.7%)	RR 1.59 (0.81 to 3.1)	40 more per 1000 (from 13 fewer to 141 more)	VERY LOW	IMPORT ANT
Serious ac	lverse ever	nts: dyspno	oea (follow-up	4 weeks) [C	OLI nebulis	ed versus TOB	l nebulise	ed]				
1 (Hodson 2002)	randomi sed trials	very serious ¹	no serious inconsisten cy	no serious indirectne ss	very serious ⁸	none	7/62 (11.3%)	5/53 (9.4%)	RR 1.2 (0.4 to 3.55)	19 more per 1000 (from 57 fewer to 241 more)	VERY LOW	IMPORT ANT
Serious ac	lverse ever	nts: dyspno	oea (follow-up	24 weeks) [COLI DPI ve	ersus TOBI neb	ulised)					
1 (COLO/D PI/02/06)	randomi sed trials	serious ³	no serious inconsisten cy	no serious indirectne ss	very serious ⁸	none	49/187 (26.2%)	52/193 (26.9%)	RR 0.97 (0.7 to 1.36)	8 fewer per 1000 (from 81 fewer to 97 more)	VERY LOW	IMPORT ANT
Emergenc nebulised		int organis	ms: emergend	ce of highly	tobramycin-	resistant <i>P aer</i>	uginosa (follow-up 2	24 weeks)	COLI nebuli	sed vers	us TOBI
1 (Hodson 2002)	randomi sed trials	very serious ¹	no serious inconsisten cy	no serious indirectne ss	Not calculable	none	0/62 (0%)	0/53 (0%)	-	-	LOW	IMPORT ANT

Abbreviations: CFQ-R: cystic fibrosis questionnaire revised; CI: confidence interval; COLI: colistin; DPI: dry powder for inhalation; FEV₁: forced expiratory volume in 1 second; MD: mean difference; RR: risk ratio; TOBI: tobramycin

¹ The quality of the evidence was downgraded by 2 because this is an open trial, and risk of bias for randomisation and allocation concealment was unclear

² The quality of the evidence was downgraded by 1 as the 95% CI crossed 1 clinical MID

³ The quality of the evidence was downgraded by 1 because this is an open trial, and risk of bias for randomisation was unclear

⁴ The quality of the evidence was downgraded by 2 because both studies were open trials, and risk of bias for randomisation and allocation concealment was unclear

⁵ The quality of the evidence was downgraded by 2, as the 95% CI is very large and crossed the line of no effect

6 The quality of the evidence was downgraded by 1 as the 95% CI crossed 1 default MID 7 Not calculable, p-value > 0.05 8 The quality of the evidence was downgraded by 2 as the 95% CI crossed 2 default MIDs