Quality assessment							No of patients		Effect			
No of studi es	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsisten cy	Indirectne ss	Imprecisi on	Other consideratio ns	2 IV antibiotic + inhaled antibiotic	2 IV witho ut inhale d antibi otic	Relativ e (95% CI)	Absolut e	Quali ty	Importance
	1	1				/ amikacin + inh		1			1	
1(Sch aad 1987)	randomise d trials	serious 1	no serious inconsistenc y	no serious indirectnes s	no serious imprecisio n	none	30/40 (75%)	18/44 (40.9 %)	RR 1.83 (1.23 to 2.73)	340 more per 1000 (from 94 more to 708 more)	MOD ERA TE	CRITICAL
	se effects: ra dime + IV am		transaminases	(follow-up: 4	to 6 weeks)	[IV ceftazidime	+ IV amikac	in + inha	led amika	icin versus	versus l	IV
1 (Scha ad 1987)	randomise d trials	serious 1	no serious inconsistenc y	no serious indirectnes s	very serious <sup>2</sup>	none	5/30 (16.7%)	6/24 (25%)	RR 0.67 (0.23 to 1.92)	82 fewer per 1000 (from 192 fewer to 230 more) 82 fewer per 1000 (from 192 fewer to 230 more)	VER Y LOW	IMPORTAN T

Table 34:	Clinical evidence profile: Comparison 5. Combination of 2 IV antibiotics + inhaled antibiotic versus 2 IV antibiotics						
without inhaled antibiotic for pulmonary exacerbations with <i>P aeruginosa</i>							

Abbreviations: CI: confidence interval; IV: intravenous; RR: risk ratio 1 The quality of the evidence was downgraded by 1 as 18 participants were recruited twice and 6 participants enrolled 3 times.

2 The quality of the evidence was downgraded by 2 due to serious imprecision as 95% CI crossed 2 default MIDs.