Quality	Quality assessment							No of patients Effect				
No of studi es	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsisten cy	Indirectne ss	Imprecisi on	Other consideratio ns	Continuous oral Flucloxacilli n, antibiotic prophylaxis	Antibi otics as requir ed	Relati ve (95% CI)	Absolu te	Quality	Importan ce
Numbe	er of children	from wh	om S <i>aureus</i> i	solated at lea	st once (foll	ow-up mean 1 y	/ears)					
1 (Chat field 1991)	randomise d trials	very serious 1	no serious inconsistenc y	no serious indirectnes s	serious <sup>2</sup>	none	9/45 (20%)	19/51 (37.3 %)	RR 0.54 (0.27 to 1.06)	171 fewer per 1000 (from 272 fewer to 22 more)	VERY LOW	IMPORT ANT
Numbe	er of children	from wh	om S aureus is	solated at leas	st once (follo	ow-up mean 2 y	ears)					
2 (Chat field 1991, Weav er 1994)	randomise d trials	e very no serious serious inconsistenc <sup>3</sup> y		none	13/69 (18.8%)	34/80 (42.5 %)	RR 0.44 (0.25 to 0.77)	238 fewer per 1000 (from 98 fewer to 319 fewer)	LOW	IMPORT ANT		
								48.3%		270 fewer per 1000 (from 111		

Table 28: Clinical evidence profile: Comparison 1. Continuous oral Flucloxacillin versus antibiotics 'as required'

© NICE 2017. All rights reserved. Subject to Notice of rights.

Quality assessment							No of patients		Effect			
No of studi es	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsisten cy	Indirectne ss	Imprecisi on	Other consideratio ns	Continuous oral Flucloxacilli n, antibiotic prophylaxis	Antibi otics as requir ed	Relati ve (95% Cl)	Absolu te	Quality	Importan ce
										fewer to 362 fewer)		
Numbe	er of children	from wh	om S <i>aureus</i> i	solated at lea	ast once (fol	low-up mean 3 y	years)					
1 (Chat field 1991)	randomise d trials	very serious 1	no serious inconsistenc y	no serious indirectnes s	serious <sup>2</sup>	none	12/54 (22.2%)	28/65 (43.1 %)	RR 0.52 (0.29 to 0.91)	207 fewer per 1000 (from 39 fewer to 306 fewer)	VERY LOW	IMPORT ANT
Numbe	er of children	from wh	om P aerugino	sa isolated a	t least once	(follow-up mean	n 1 years)					
1 (Chat field 1991)	randomise d trials	very serious 1	no serious inconsistenc y	no serious indirectnes s	very serious <sup>4</sup>	none	6/44 (13.6%)	3/51 (5.9% )	RR 2.32 (0.62 to 8.73)	78 more per 1000 (from 22 fewer to 455 more)	VERY LOW	CRITICA L
		from wh		sa isolated a	t least once	(follow-up mean						
2 (Chat field 1991, Weav	randomise d trials	very serious 3	no serious inconsistenc y	no serious indirectnes s	very serious <sup>4</sup>	none	9/69 (13%)	14/80 (17.5 %)	RR 0.74 (0.34 to 1.61)	45 fewer per 1000 (from 115	VERY LOW	CRITICA L

 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{\sc online \sc on$ 

Quality	Quality assessment							No of patients		Effect		
No of studi es	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsisten cy	Indirectne ss	Imprecisi on	Other consideratio ns	Continuous oral Flucloxacilli n, antibiotic prophylaxis	Antibi otics as requir ed	Relati ve (95% Cl)	Absolu te	Quality	Importan ce
er 1994)										fewer to 107 more)		
								21.7%		56 fewer per 1000 (from 143 fewer to 132 more)		
Numbe	er of children	from wh	om <i>P aerugin</i> c	sa isolated a	t least once	(follow-up mean	n 3 years)					
1 (Chat field 1991)	randomise d trials	very serious 1	no serious inconsistenc y	no serious indirectnes s	very serious <sup>4</sup>	none	9/54 (16.7%)	14/66 (21.2 %)	RR 0.79 (0.37 to 1.67)	45 fewer per 1000 (from 134 fewer to 142 more)	VERY LOW	CRITICA L
Numbe	er of children	n requiring	g admission du	ue to pulmon	ary exacerba	ations (annualis	ed rates) (follo	w-up me	an 3 yea	rs)		
2 (Chat field 1991, Weav er 1994)	randomise d trials	very serious <sup>3</sup>	no serious inconsistenc y	no serious indirectnes s	very serious⁴	none	19/58 (32.8%)	22/66 (33.3 %)	RR 0.98 (0.59 to 1.62)	7 fewer per 1000 (from 137 fewer to	VERY LOW	CRITICA L

 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{\sc online 0.5ex}}$  NICE 2017. All rights reserved. Subject to Notice of rights.

Quality assessment							No of patients Effect					
No of studi es	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsisten cy	Indirectne ss	Imprecisi on	Other consideratio ns	Continuous oral Flucloxacilli n, antibiotic prophylaxis	Antibi otics as requir ed	Relati ve (95% Cl)	Absolu te	Quality	Importan ce
										207 more)		

Abbreviations: CI: confidence interval; RR: risk ratio

1 The quality of the evidence was downgraded by 2 as this is an open trial, and there was unclear risk of bias for the domains randomisation, allocation concealment, incomplete outcome data, and selective reporting

2 The quality of the evidence was downgraded by 1 as the 95% CI crossed 1 default MID 3 The quality of the evidence was downgraded by 2 as both studies were open trials, and there was unclear risk of bias for the domains randomisation, allocation concealment, incomplete outcome data, and selective reporting for 1 of the trials

4 The quality of the evidence was downgraded by 2 as the 95% CI crossed 2 default MIDs