Table 25: Clinical evidence profile: Comparison 2.2. Dornase alfa versus nebulized sodium chloride

able 25. Chilical evidence profile. Comparison 2.2. Domase and versus nebulized socialin chiloride												
Quality assessment							No of patients		Effect			
No of studi es	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsistenc y	Indirectnes s	Imprecisio n	Other consideration s	Dorna se alfa	Nebulis ed sodium chlorid e	Relat ive (95% CI)	Absolute	Quality	Importance
Lung function: mean % change in FEV ₁ (follow-up 3 weeks; range of scores: 0-100; Better indicated by higher values)												
1 Ballm an 1998	randomise d trials ¹	seriou s ²	no serious inconsistenc y	no serious indirectnes s	very serious ³	none	48		-	MD 1.6 higher (7.96 lower to 11.16 higher)	VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Lung function: mean % change in FEV ₁ (follow-up 3 months; range of scores: 0-100; Better indicated by higher values)												
1 Suri 2001	randomise d trials ¹	seriou s²	no serious inconsistenc y	no serious indirectnes s	serious ⁴	none	14		-	MD 8 higher (2 to 14 higher)	LOW	CRITICAL
Number of days inpatient treatment (follow-up 3 months; Better indicated by lower values)												
1 Suri 2001	randomise d trials	seriou s ²	no serious inconsistenc y	no serious indirectnes s	no serious imprecisio n	none	14		-	MD 0.4 lower (2.32 lower to 1.52 higher)	MODER ATE	CRITICAL

Abbreviations: CI: confidence interval; FEV1: forced expiratory volume in 1 second; MD: mean difference

¹ Cross-over study

² The quality of the evidence was downgraded by 1 due to unclear blinding, allocation, concealment and reporting

³ The quality of the evidence was downgraded by 2 as the 95% CI crossed 2 clinical MIDs 4 The quality of the evidence was downgraded by 1 as the 95% CI crossed 1 clinical MID

[©] NICE 2017. All rights reserved. Subject to Notice of rights.