Table 23: Clinical evidence profile: Comparison 1.2.2. Mannitol + Dornase alfa versus Dornase alfa alone

		р	. O O pu									
Quality assessment							No of patients		Effect			
No of studie s	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectnes s	Imprecisio n	Other consideration s	Mannitol + dornase alfa versus	Dorn ase alfa alone	Relativ e (95% CI)	Absolute	Quali ty	Importan ce
FEV ₁ (% change from baseline) (follow-up 3 months; range of scores: 0-100; Better indicated by higher values)												
1 (Mina sian 2010)	randomise d trials1	serious 2	no serious inconsistency	serious ³	very serious ⁴	none	20		-	MD 4.3 lower (14.1 lower to 5.5 higher)	VER Y LOW	CRITICAL

Abbreviations: CI: confidence interval; FEV₁: forced expiratory volume in 1 second; MD: mean difference 1 Cross-over design

- 2 The quality of the evidence was downgraded by 1 because this is an open trial, and there is high risk of incomplete reporting
 3 The quality of the evidence was downgraded by 1 as the participants in the trial underwent a tolerance test at screening. Those who fail were not entered in the study, and
 this limits the generalisability of the results to the general CF population
 4 The quality of the evidence was downgraded by 2 as the CI crossed 2 clinical MIDs