Author Year	Randomiza tion adequate?	Adequate allocation concealme nt?	similar at a	outcome ssessors nasked?	Care provider masked?	Patient masked?	Outcome measurem ent equal, reliable and valid?	Intention- to-treat (ITT) analysis?	Acceptable levels of crossovers , adherence, and contaminat ion?	Acceptable levels of overall attrition and betweengroup differences in attrition?	Quality Rating (Good, Fair, Poor)
Singh 2015 ¹³	Yes; computer	Unclear; not described	Yes	Yes	No; prescribe r knew whether or not they were using test to guide care	Yes	Yes	Yes; excluded 2.6%	Crossovers: NR Adherence: Yes - 100% of prescribers reviewed the interpretive report Contamination N/A	Yes :	Good
Winner 2013 ¹⁴	Unclear; not described	Unclear; not described	Unclear, more males in genesigh group, TAU grouhad 4 subjects who did not receiv genesigh testing.	ıp /e	No; prescribe r knew whether or not they were using test to guide care	Yes	Yes	Unclear, numbers analyzed not reported	Crossovers: NR Adherence: NR Contamination N/A	Yes :	Fair

TAU=Treatment as Usual; NR=Not Reported; N/A=Not Applicable