Study Details	Patients	Interventions	Outcome measures	Effect size	Comments
Author & Year: Abram et al, 2007 ⁵ Study design: RCT Comparison: Headache clinical model vs	10-18 years.clinical Small y 4-6 par parent education outpatient multi-speciality clinic. Minimum of 2 month history of recurrent primary headache disorder (migraine, TTH, mixed or chronic daily).clinical small y 4-6 par parent education include headache disorder (migraine, TTH, mixed or chronic daily).I vsExclusion criteria: Past formal neurological or psychological consultation or a known significant abnormality on a neuroimaging or neurological examination.headac about headac treatment introde with a 	Group 1 - Headache clinical model (HCM) Small group appointment, 4-6 patients and their parents attended a 1 hour educational session. Included education about headache, education about role of stress in headache, potential treatments were	Headache specific Quality of life (QoL) pedMIDAS Outcome data available for 50 patients at 3 months, and for 66 patients at 6 month visit	Baseline Group 1: 59 Group 2: 43 p value: 0.086 3 months Group1: -40% Group 2: -50% p value: 0.24 between groups Baseline to 3 months p=0.000 NS from 3 to 6 months p=0.297	Funding: Nemours clinical management programme, Orlando. Limitations: Blinding unclear, not stated whether participants or their parents knew aim of study, or which was
traditional clinical model Setting: Primary care or outpatient clinic Duration of follow-up:		introduced, concluded with a guided practice in deep breathing, progressive muscular relaxation and imagery. This was followed immediately by an individual consultation with a child neurologist	Headache specific QoL Functional Disability Inventory (FDI) parent No group or group x time effects	Baseline Group 1: 18 Group 2: 20 p value: 0.453 3 months p value: 0.004 <u>6 months</u> p value: 0.00	study, or which was considered the experimental treatment group. pedMIDAS n for individual groups not stated. Limited reporting of values for pedMIDAS. Notes: Randomised using a random number table.
3 months And 6 months		Individual consultation with a paediatric neurologist	Headache specific QoL FDI child No group or group x time effects	Baseline Group 1: 18.41 Group 2: 18.6 p value: 0.95 3 months p value: 0.075 <u>6 months</u> p value: 0.002	
	17 Headache diagnosis (%):		Resource use Psychological	3 months Group1: 14.6%	

Study Details	Patients	Interventions	Outcome measures	Effect size	Comments
	Migraine: 20, Episodic tension type headache: 7, Mixed: 59, chronic daily: 15 Group 2 – Traditional clinic N: 40 Age (mean): 12.1 Drop outs:15 Pharm treatment: NR Male (%): 45 White (%): 90 Time from referral to initial visit (days): 17 Headache diagnosis (%) Migraine: 43, Episodic tension type headache: 7, Mixed: 35 - chronic daily: 15		treatment % use Resource use Calls to neurology clinic % use Resource use Emergency department visits % use	Group 2: 7.5% p value: 0.271 6 months Group1: 9.1 Group 2: 3.0 p value: 0.302 3 months Group 2: 10.5 p value: 0.15 6 months Group 2: 3.0 p value: 0.15 6 months Group 2: 7.6 p value: 0.70 6 months Group 1: 0 Group 2: 6.1 p value: 0.16	

Abbreviations: NR=not reported, M/F=male/female, N= number of patients, SD=Standard deviation, SE=Standard error, ITT=Intention to treat analysis, CI=confidence interval, pedMIDAS= Paediatric Migraine Disability Assessment, FDI= Functional Disability Inventory, TTH=tension type headache

Kohlenberg & Cahn 1981446diagnosis of diagnosis of neadaches p diagnosed a headaches p diagnosed a headaches p currently be care, be will on headach be willing to weeks prior experimentSetting: NRExclusion of psychiatric low blood p	b f migraine b criteria: At least 2 fi s per month, C as having migraine in s by their doctor and p be under his or her m	Group 1- Experimental pook ncluded a liquid crystal inger temperature band. Contains 7 chapters, ncludes information on: physiological basis of	Change in patient- reported headache frequency % decrease figures only stated	Group1: 62% Group 2: 14% p value: NR F (2,94) = 6.9 (period interaction)	Funding: NR Limitations: Study does not state blinding status, although appears that
control weeks prior experiment Setting: Physician to above in wr Duration of follow- up: Exclusion or psychiatric Jow blood p	hes on a daily basis, h	nigraine; importance of avoiding vasoconstriction; now to use the		Differences between baseline and 3 & 6 months significant within and between groups	subjects were blind, unclear about investigartors. Patient demographics only on the 51 that completed the study.
6 weeks baseline, strokes.	or to receiving any bout al treatment. retor document all of the vriting. point of the treatment of the treatment all of the problems, high or pressure, subject to group of the treatment of treatment of the treatment of the treatment of treatment of the treatment of the treatment of the treatment of	emperature device as biofeedback instrument; relaxation exercise meditation and brogressive relaxation); biofeedback exercise; and cognitive restructuring. Group 2 –Control book (More than 2 aspirin" (S. Diamond & W. B. Furlong)	Change in patient- reported headache intensity (headache pain) Recorded on a 0 (no pain)-5 (worst pain ever) scale	Group1: F(2,92) = 52 (treatment group statistically greater pain reduction than control) Group 2: NR Both groups significantly reduced pain ratings from the baseline period F(1,92) = 5.7	Change in patient reported headache frequency (number of headaches) only given as % decrease. Large number of dropouts (treatment 62%; control 51%), study reports this may be to do with lack of contact through study. Only 1 male participant completed the study.
weeks (3 months after finishing the book)- 4 weeks of headache data was collected, then 6 month follow up where an additional 4 weeks of data were collectedN: 117 Drop outs: 1 Group 1 – e N: 58 Age (mean) Drop outs: 1 Number of	Jowed up at 22 eks (3 months er finishing the ok)- 4 weeks of adache data was ected, then 6 nth follow up ere an additional reeks of dataAll patients N: 117 Drop outs: 66Diamond & W. B. Furlong) Series of case histories, question and answer session. Primary purpose of book is to provide information about symptoms, diagnosis and treatment of headaches.N: 58 Age (mean): 44.0Diamond & W. B. Furlong)	Use of acute pharmacological treatment Mean number of doses (tablet, capsules etc) per week	Baseline: Group1: 6.6 Group 2: 2.8 3 month Group1: 4.1 Group 2: 2.2 6 month Group1: 2.9 Group 2: 2.2	completed the study. Partial reporting of change in headache intensity. Additional outcomes: Confidence ratings before and after (0-5 scale). Headache duration. Notes: Patients were recruited through advertisements in local	

Study details	Patients	Interventions	Outcome measures	Effect size	Comments
	Group 2 – control book N: 59 Age (mean): 46.7 Drop outs: 30 Number of years suffering from migraine: 20.1	tested. People given 10 weeks to finish the book, contacted at 22 weeks after receiving the book (or 3 months after finishing the book).	(Patients perception of the usefulness of programmes)	Group1: 2.8 Group 2: 3.8 After reading book Group1: 2.6 Group 2: 3.5	newspapers, public service announcements on the radio asking for volunteers. Patients had to pay \$25 deposit to participate in the study which they received upon completion of the study or if they withdrew. Only contact with patients was by mail or phone. Statistical tests- 3 ways analyses of variance with repeated measures- F significance. Individual mean comparisons with Scheffe test.

Abbreviations: NR=not reported, M/F=male/female, N= number of patients, SD=Standard deviation, SE=Standard error, ITT=Intention to treat analysis, CI=confidence interval

Study Details	Patients	Interventions	Outcome measures	Effect size	Comments
Author & Year: Larsson et al, 1987 ⁴⁷⁶ Study design: RCT Comparison: Therapist- assisted relaxation vs self help relaxation vs	 Patient group: Adolescents aged 16-18 years, suffering from migraine, migraine and tension type headache or non-migrainous headache. Inclusion criteria: Headache complaints for at least once a week, having headaches for at least 1 year, not receiving psychological or pharmacological treatment for their headaches at the present time. Exclusion criteria: NR 	5-10 minutes duration, developed by the experimenters. Same type of	Change in patient- reported headache days "Headache free days"	Baseline Group 1: 1.8 Group 2: 2.1 Group 3: 1.4 5 months follow up Group 1: 3.6 Group 2: 4.9 Group 3: 1.7 p value: Group 1: <0.001	Funding: NR Limitations: Does not state what time period pre- follow up is. Restrictions applied to randomisation: classmates were assigned to the same treatment group in order to reduce the risk of
Setting: High schools in Sweden Duration of follow-up: 5 months	All patients N: 46 Age (mean): NR for any group Drop outs: 5 Group 1 – Self help relaxation N: 16 Drop outs: 2 F/M: 11/5 Headache type: Migraine: 1, Mixed: 2, Tension: 13	participants a booster tape which contained instructions to practise critical steps in relaxation treatment. Group 2 – Therapist assisted relaxation (TAR) 9 x 45 minute sessions, twice a week during regular school hours. Sessions 1-3: Progressive relaxation training conducted in groups of 3-4 students.	Change in patient- reported headache frequency	Baseline Group 1: 5.8 Group 2: 5.1 Group 3: 5.7 5 months follow up Group 1: 3.5 Group 2: 2.3 Group 3: 5.5 p value: Group 1: <0.001	contamination; subjects were evenly distributed across groups within separate schools. No allocation concealment- active selection bias. Binding not stated, appears to be open label. Not clear what scale confidence rating is assessed on.
5 Dep [me	Headache duration (years): 1-5: 11, >5: 5 Depression/ anxiety range 35-120 [mean, SD]: 56 (10.7) Stress, range 11-44 [mean, SD]: 23.5	technique was introduced. Final 2-3 sessions: practise of "cue controlled" technique and	Change in patient- reported headache intensity Peak headache intensity	Baseline Group 1: 3.3 Group 2: 3.2 Group 3: 3.6 5 months follow up	Additional outcomes: Headache duration. Headache sum.

Study Details	Patients	Interventions	Outcome measures	Effect size	Comments
	 (5.5) Group 2 - Therapist assisted relaxation N: 14 Drop outs: 2 Female/ male: 13/1 Headache type: Migraine: 1, Mixed: 4, Tension: 9 Headache duration (years): 1-5: 10, >5: 4 Depression/ anxiety, range 35-120 [mean, SD]: 58.6 (13.3) Stress, range 11-44 [mean, SD]: 22.3 (5.0) Group 3 - Self- monitoring N: 11 Drop outs: 1 Female/ male: 11/0 Headache type: Migraine: 0, Mixed: 4, Tension: 7 Headache duration (years): 1-5: 5, >5: 6 Depression/ anxiety, range 35-120 [mean, SD]: 55.5 (6.2) Stress, range 11-44 [mean, SD]: 22.31 (4.4) 	situation. Two booster sessions at 2 months following initial treatment. Group 3 – Self monitoring Perform self- recordings and did not receive any treatment. Informed of group membership by telephone by the child psychiatrist and encouraged to seek help at regular school health services in case they experienced deteriorating headache.	Responder rate (50% reduction in headache complaints) Outcome measured at - "pre-follow up" Use of acute pharmacological treatment Confidence rating Students experience of how effectively headaches were reduced (Likert scale 1=very little, 5=very much and 1=not helpful to5= very helpful) Or Four 10 point scales (1=not at all, 10 very much) Mean (SD)	Group 1: 2.3 Group 2: 2.5 Group 3: 3.1 <u>p value:</u> Group 1: <0.01 Group 2: NS Group 3: NS <u>Pre-follow up</u> Group 1: 1/16 (8%) Group 2: 1/14 (9%) Group 3: 0/11 (0%) p value: <0.01 Stated as outcome but not reported Group 1: 3.9 (0.5) Group 2: 4.1 (0.6) Group 3: NR	School absence. Cost effectiveness. Treatment compliance. Notes: Recorded headache activity on a 6-point scale (0=no headache to 5= tense incapacitating headache). Lottery used throughout the study in which participants had opportunity to win ~£2 each week after they had handed in their report card to the nurse. Groups equivalent at baseline.

Abbreviations: NR=not reported, M/F=male/female, N= number of patients, SD=Standard deviation, SE=Standard error, ITT=Intention to treat analysis, CI=confidence interval, TAR=therapist assisted relaxation

Study details	Patients	Interventions	Outcome measures	Effect size	Comments
Author & Year: Lemstra et al, 2002 ⁴⁸⁶ Study design: RCT	with or without aura according to IHS. Inclusion criteria: Patients 18 years of age or older with a chronic migraine pain for at least 6 months, meet the diagnostic criteria for migraine with or	vithout aura according to IHS.intervention (neurologist intake, physical therapist intake, 18 group supervised exercise classes with at least 6 months, meet the ic criteria for migraine with or aura according to IHS.intervention (neurologist intake, physical therapist intake, 18 group supervised exercise classes with exercise therapist, 2 group lectures with a psychologist, 1 group lecture with areported headache frequency Visual analogue scale: 100% worse to 100% improvementGroup 2: -2.22 p value: 0.000Group 2: -2.21 frequencyGroup 2: -2.22 p value: 0.000		Group1: 56.93 (9.13) Group 2: -2.22 (2.22) p value: 0.000	Funding: NR Limitations: Study not blinded. All outcomes are patient perceived change- therefore subjective. Headache frequency measured
Comparison: Setting: YMCA centre Duration of follow-up: At 6 weeks and 3 months	Exclusion criteria: If pain was of a benign nature. All patients N: 80 Drop outs: 3	dietician, 2 massage therapy session, neurologist and physical therapist discharge) submaximal general exercise, education, lifestyle changes, and self- management Active participation maximised with supervised	Change in patient- reported headache intensity Visual analogue scale: 100% worse to 100% improvement After intervention measurements only	Group1: 38.18 (8.54) Group 2: -2.78 (1.98) p value: 0.001	differently to other studies. Outcomes for headache frequency intensity. Functional health status health- related quality of life only reported for end of intervention, not at baseline.
	Group 1 – 6 week intervention N: 44 Age (mean): 35.59 (10.15) Drop outs: 3 Gender (f/m): 32 (72.7%)/12 (27.3%) Education: University or college: 16/44, High school graduate: 25/44, Less than high school graduation: 3/44 Current self-reported health (1-5): 3.60 (1.03) Onset of pain (months): 102.91 (77.75) Days in last month with pain: 20.20 (8.07) Number of non prescription	visits, telephone calls with every absence and scheduled attempts to try and to determine knowledge retention. Development of a coordinated management plan for the patient. Group 2- waiting list control was standard medical care with patient's family physician, control intervention was referral to medical specialist (19%), referral to treatment (11%), medication (56%), further	Functional health status 1 (excellent health) – 5 (poor health) After intervention measurements only	Group1: 51.59 (7.71) Group 2: -0.56 (2.03) p value: 0.000	Additional outcomes: Change in pain duration. Change in average pain. Change in most pain. Change in least pain. Change in hours of pain. Change in pain disability index. Change in beck depression
			Health-related quality of life Visual analogue scale: 100% worse to 100% improvement After intervention measurements only Use of acute	Group1: 57.05 (8.17) Group 2: -1.94 (1.94) p value: 0.000 Group1: 1.06 (0.22)	inventory. Change in work status (%). Notes: Randomisation: individual computer generated, envelope concealed under the

Study details	Patients	Interventions	Outcome measures	Effect size	Comments
	 medications: 1.86 (0.95) Number of prescription medications: 2.55 (2.17) Expect intervention will help (%): 12/44 (27.3) Group 2 - Control N: 36 Age (mean): 33.17 (13.21) Drop outs: 0 Gender (f/m %): 21(58.3%)/15(41.7%) Education: University or college: 12/36, High school graduate: 23/36, Less than high school graduation: 1/36 Current self-reported health (1-5): 3.67 (0.89) Onset of pain (months): 101.67 (128.35) Days in last month with pain: 21.08 (8.33) Number of non prescription medications: 2.0 (0.89) Number of prescription medications: 2.17 (2.09) Expect intervention will help (%): 13/36 (36.1) 	diagnostics (0%), education (0%), nothing at all (14%)	<pre>pharmacological treatment non prescription drug use in the last 30 days Before and after measurements Use of acute pharmacological treatment Prescription drug use in the last 30 days Before and after measurements</pre>	Group 2: 0.25 (0.12) p value: 0.005 Group 1: 1.18 (0.24) Group 2: 0.22 (0.11) p value: 0.001	supervision of the data manager. Blinding of patients not considered possible, treatment credibility assessed in patients and therapists before intervention. Therapists blinded to which specific outcome variables were primarily under evaluation. Outcome assessor blinded to intervention status. ITT analysis.

Abbreviations: NR=not reported, M/F=male/female, N= number of patients, SD=Standard deviation, SE=Standard error, ITT=Intention to treat analysis, CI=confidence interval, IHS=International Headache Society

Study details	Patients	Interventions	Outcome measures	Effect size	Comments	
Author & Year: Williamson & Reeder, 1984 ⁸⁵² Study design: RCT, 3 x 3 factorial design	Patient group: Self-referred patients recruited by media advertisement with migraine, muscle contraction or mixed headache. Inclusion criteria: Diagnostic interviews indicated that the	1977) and given instructions how to use the self help guide. Purpose of sessions to	Divided into 3 groups; each led by one of three pairs of therapist. Met once per week for approximately 1 hour for 4 weeks. Received copies of the relaxation book (Rosen 1977) and given instructions how to use the self bein guide. Burpose of sessions to	Change in patient-reported headache frequency Responder rate	F (2, 102) = 0.55 p value: >0.10 Group 1: 5/14 (25.7%)	Funding: NR Limitations: Allocation concealment and blinding NR (assumed open label). Values for change in patient-reported
Comparison: Group relaxation vs self help vs waiting list control Setting: Duration of follow-up: 4 months (see notes and limitations*)	 patient met the criteria to be diagnosed as either classic migraine, common migraine, muscle contraction or mixed headache, reported at least 3 headaches during a month of baseline recording, they did not report experiencing head pain every day, they agreed to complete all stages of the study and their personal physician agreed to allow them to participate in the experiment. Exclusion criteria: Not meeting diagnostic criteria or presented symptoms of other potential causes of head pain. All patients N: 48 	procedure and the relaxation programme. Group 2 group relaxation training Divided into 3 groups; Sessions twice a week for four weeks. Sessions lasted approximately 1.5 hours. Trained in progressive muscular relaxation using 16 muscle group relaxation. Actual practise of the technique and discussion. Provided with audiotapes of the relaxation procedure and instructed to use the tapes at least once daily. Taught abbreviated relaxation procedure and provided with tapes. Identifying stress and headaches and use of relaxation to cope with this. Practise of relaxation by recall. Group 3 waiting list control Met for 4x 1 hour sessions over 4 weeks to discuss physiological and psychological basis of headache.	Greater than or equal to 50% reduction of headache activity from baseline to follow up	(35.7%) Group 2: 4/13 (30.8%) Group 3: 1/14 (7.1%) p value: NR		
	Drop outs: 7 Group 1 - self help relaxation	All patients Self monitored headache activity for 3 (or 4) weeks.			3x3 factorial.	

Study details	Patients	Interventions	Outcome measures	Effect size	Comments
	N: 16				
	Age (mean): 39.1				
	Drop outs:2				
	Male/ female: 4/12				
	Group 2 – group relaxation				
	training				
	N: 16				
	Age (mean): 37.6				
	Drop outs: 3				
	Male/ female: 1/15				
	Group 3 – waiting list control				
	N: 16				
	Age (mean): 39.5				
	Drop outs: 2				
	Male/ female: 4/12				

Abbreviations: NR=not reported, M/F=male/female, N= number of patients, SD=Standard deviation, SE=Standard error, ITT=Intention to treat analysis, CI=confidence interval