Author, Year Title	Study Design	Country Setting	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects (number approached, number eligible, number enrolled)	Type of Intervention (experimental & control groups, dose, duration of treatment)
Ackerman, 2007	RCT	U.S. Number of centers and clinic setting not reported	Radicular pain in S1 dermatomal distribution; L5- S1 disk herniation confirmed by MRI; electromyographic evidence of S1 nerve root involvement; pain intensity >7; duration not specified	Pregnancy; allergies to steroids; steroid use within 3	Approached: 487 Eligible: 285 Randomized: 90 (30 vs. 30 vs. 30) Analyzed: 90 at 24 weeks	A: Transforaminal epidural injection with 40 mg triamcinolone (1 ml) and saline (4 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance (n=30) B: Interlaminar epidural injection with 40 mg triamcinolone (1 ml) and saline (4 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance (n=30) C: Caudal epidural injection with 40 mg triamcinolone (1 ml) and saline (19 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance (n=30)
Ahadian, 2011	RCT	Two centers	≥18 years of age; distal radicular pain ≥6 months in duration; previously benefitted from transforaminal epidural steroid injection with betamethasone 6 to 12 mg with recurrence of pain; VAS score ≥50 out of 100	Pregnancy; infection; coagulopathy; uncontrolled diabetes or hypertension; allergy to iodinated contrast medium; interventional therapies for pain in last 90 days	Approached: 449 Eligible: 98 Randomized: 98 (32 vs. 33. vs. 33) Analyzed: 98 at 12 weeks	A: Transforaminal epidural injection with 12 mg dexamethasone (3 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance (n=32) B: Transforaminal epidural injection with 8 mg dexamethasone (2 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance (n=33) C: Transforaminal epidural injection with 4 mg dexamethasone (1 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance (n=33)

Author, Year Title	Subject Characteristics	Other Patient Characteristics (expectations of treatment benefit, confidence in clinician, worker's compensation status, ongoing litigation, smoking status, other treatments received)	Number and Frequency of Injections Number of Levels Provider Experience	Imaging Guidance	Type of Comparison
Ackerman, 2007	A vs. B vs. C: Age (mean): 34 vs. 39 vs. 36 years Male: 67% vs. 70% vs. 63% Duration of symptoms (days): 35 vs. 33 vs. 38 Baseline pain (0 to 10): 8.6 vs. 8.8 vs. 8.9 Baseline ODI (0-70): 30 vs. 33 vs. 37	A vs. B vs. C: Treatments prior to intervention: Not specified Treatments following intervention: Tizanidine and celecoxib; otherwise not specified Other patient characteristics: Not reported	Number and frequency of injections: 3 injections performed at 2 week intervals Number of levels: Transforaminal vs. interlaminar vs. caudal Provider experience: Not reported	Fluoroscopic guidance with contrast verification	Head-to-head comparison of different approaches for epidural injections
Ahadian, 2011	A vs. B vs. C: Age (median): 58 vs. 57 vs. 60 years Male: 53% vs. 70% vs. 88% Duration of symptoms >2 years: 91% vs. 88% vs. 91% Baseline pain (0 to 100): 73 vs. 71 vs. 68 Baseline ODI (0 to 50): 23 vs. 24 vs. 24	A vs. B vs. C: Treatments prior to intervention: Previous response to transforaminal epidural injection with betamethasone Treatment following intervention: Not specified L3-L4 disc abnormality: 25% vs. 45% vs. 36% L4-L5 disc abnormality: 31% vs. 39% vs. 27% Central stenosis: 28% vs. 39% vs. 39% Post laminectomy syndrome: 9.4% vs. 15% vs. 3.0%	Number and frequency of injections: Single injection Number of levels: Single level Provider experience: Not reported	Fluoroscopic guidance with contrast verification in epidural space	Transforaminal epidural injection with different doses of corticosteroid

Author, Year Title	Results
Ackerman, 2007	A vs B vs C: <u>Pain</u> Complete pain relief (complete, partial, or no pain relief): 30% (9/30) vs. 10% (3/30) vs. 3% (1/30) at 24 weeks: A vs. B, RR 3.0 (95% CI 0.90 to 10.07); A vs. C, RR 9.0 (95% CI 1.21 to 66.71); B vs. C, RR 3.0 (95% CI 0.33 to 27.23) Complete or partial pain relief: 83% (25/30) vs. 60% (18/30) vs. 57% (17/30) at 24 weeks: A vs B, RR 1.39 (95% CI 1.0 to 1.9); A vs. C, RR 1.47 (95% CI 1.03 to 2.10; B vs. C, RR 1.06 (95% CI 0.69 to 1.62) Pain (mean, 0-10): 2.4 vs. 5.7 vs. 6.1 at 2 weeks after last injection (p<0.05 for A vs. B or C) <u>Function</u> ODI (mean, 0-70): 14 vs. 13 vs. 14 at 2 weeks after last injection (p>0.05) <u>Other outcomes</u> Beck Depression Inventory (mean, 0-63): 12 vs. 11 vs. 13 at 2 weeks after last injection (p>0.05)
Ahadian, 2011	A vs. B vs. C: <u>Pain</u> Pain (mean, 0-100 VAS, estimated from graph): 73 vs. 71 vs. 68 at baseline; 42 vs. 38 vs. 41 at 4 weeks; 51 vs. 37 vs. 50 at 8 weeks; 52 vs. 45 vs. 54 at 12 weeks (p>0.05 for between group differences at all time points) <u>Function</u> ODI (mean, 0-100 VAS, estimated from graph): 23 vs. 24 vs. 24 at baseline; 18 vs. 17 vs. 18 at 4 weeks; 20 vs. 17 vs. 19 at 8 weeks; 21 vs. 19 vs. 20 at 12 weeks, (p>0.05 for between group differences at all time points) <u>Global improvement</u> Global impression of change <=3 (7 point scale): No difference between groups, data not reported Global satisfaction scale >=2 (5 point scale): No difference between groups, data not reported

Author, Year Title	Duration of Followup	Loss to Followup	Compliance to Treatment	Adverse Events and Withdrawal due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Quality Rating
Ackerman, 2007	24 weeks	A vs. B vs. C: 0% (0/90)	Appears complete	A vs. B vs. C: No infection, headache, intravascular injection, reaction to contrast material, steroid, or subarachnoid injection in any patient	Not reported	Fair
Ahadian, 2011	12 weeks	A vs. B vs. C: 0% (0/98)	Appears complete	A vs. B vs. C: Paresthesia: 6% (6/98) overall No serious adverse events	Not reported	Fair

Author, Year <u>Title</u> Arden, 2005	Study Design	Country Setting	Inclusion Criteria 18 to 70 years of age; back	Exclusion Criteria Previous back surgery;	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects (number approached, number eligible, number enrolled) Approached: Not reported	
Price, 2005		Multicenter Specialty clinics	pain with unilateral radicular symptoms, extending below the knee, with signs including reduced SLR and a positive sciatic nerve stretch	bleeding disorder or anticoagulation; bilateral symptoms; previous epidural injection; current litigation relating to sciatica; significant psychological disorder	Eligible: Not reported Randomized: 228 (120 vs. 108) Analyzed: 228 (120 vs. 108)	<ul> <li>A. Intertaininal epidular injection with 80 mg triamcinolone acetonide plus 0.125% bupivacaine (10 ml) (n=120)</li> <li>B: Soft tissue injection into interspinous ligament of normal saline (2 ml) (n=108)</li> </ul>

Author, Year Title	Subject Characteristics	Other Patient Characteristics (expectations of treatment benefit, confidence in clinician, worker's compensation status, ongoing litigation, smoking status, other treatments received)	Number and Frequency of Injections Number of Levels Provider Experience	Imaging Guidance	Type of Comparison
Arden, 2005 Price, 2005	Duration of symptoms: Mean not reported (4 weeks to 18 months by inclusion criteria); 38% vs. 35% acute (4 weeks to 4 months) Baseline leg pain (0-100 VAS): 52 vs. 56 Baseline back pain (0-100 VAS): 40 vs. 44	and exercise regimens Treatments following intervention: Not specified	Number and frequency of injections: Mean not reported, up to three injections at 3 week intervals if ODI improved less than 75% from baseline Number of levels: Not reported Provider experience: "Operators were all very experienced"	None reported	Soft tissue injection with saline

Author, Year Title	Results
Arden, 2005	A vs. B:
Price, 2005	Pain Leg pain (mean improvement from baseline, 0-100 VAS): 12 vs. 10 at 3 weeks; 15 vs. 15 at 6 weeks; 13 vs. 18 at 12 weeks; 17 vs. 20 at 52 weeks (p>0.05 at all time points) Leg pain improved >50%: 35% (42/120) vs. 26% (28/108) at 3 weeks, RR 1.35 (95% CI 0.90 to 2.02); 47% (56/120) vs. 41% (44/108) at 6 weeks,
	RR 1.15 (95% CI 0.85 to 1.54); 43% (52/120) vs. 46% (50/108) at 12 weeks, RR 0.94 (95% CI 0.70 to 1.25); 48% (58/120) vs. 44% (48/108) at 52 weeks, RR 1.09 (95% CI 0.82 vs 1.44)
	Back pain (mean improvement from baseline, 0-100 VAS): 6 vs. 2 at 3 weeks; 6 vs. 8 at 6 weeks; 4 vs. 7 at 12 weeks, 8 vs. 9 at 52 weeks <u>Function</u> ODI (mean improvement from baseline, 0-100): 10 vs. 7 at 3 weeks; 13 vs. 10 at 6 weeks; 12 vs. 12 at 12 weeks; 16 vs. 14 at 52 weeks (p>0.05 at all time points) (p>0.05 at all time points)
	ODI (0-100, estimated from figure): 44 vs. 45 at baseline; 32 vs. 39 at 3 weeks (p=0.05); 31 vs. 35 at 6 weeks (p=0.15); 33 vs. 34 at 12 weeks (p=0.92), 29 vs. 33 at 52 weeks (p=0.55)
	ODI improved >75%: 12% (15/120) vs. 3.7% (4/108) at 3 weeks, RR 3.38 (95% CI 1.16 to 9.86); 15% (18/120) vs. 13% (14/108) at 6 weeks, RR 1.16 (95% CI 0.61 to 2.21); 16% (19/120) vs 22% (24/108) at 12 weeks, RR 0.71 ((5% CI 0.41 to 1.23); 32% (38/120) vs. 30% (32/108) at 52 weeks, RR 1.07 (95% CI 0.72 to 1.58) SF-36: No statistically significant differences (data not reported)
	Other outcomes
	Surgery: 13% (15/120) vs. 13% (14/108) through 52 weeks, RR, 0.96 (95% CI 0.49 to 1.9) Physiotherapy: 26% vs. 23% over 52 weeks Other injections: 13% vs. 11% over 52 weeks
	HAD anxiety (mean improvement from baseline): 2 vs. 2 at 3 weeks; 2 vs. 2 at 6 weeks; 2 vs. 3 at 12 weeks; 3 vs. 3 at 52 weeks HAD depression (mean improvement from baseline): 1 vs. 1 at 3 weeks; 2 vs. 2 at 6 weeks; 2 vs. 2 at 12 weeks; 2 vs. 2 at 52 weeks Analgesic use (mean change in number consumed in a week, baseline 37 vs. 48): -6 vs11 at 3 weeks; -8 vs13 at 6 weeks; -9 vs16 at 12 weeks; -14 vs16 at 52 weeks
	Days off work with sciatica (median change, baseline 98 vs. 93): -21 vs -21 at 3 weeks; -21 vs21 at 6 weeks; -37 vs23 at 12 weeks; -65 vs33 at 52 weeks

Author, Year Title	Duration of Followup	Loss to Followup	Compliance to Treatment	Adverse Events and Withdrawal due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Quality Rating
Arden, 2005 Price, 2005	12 months	A vs. B: 12% (14/120) vs. 10% (11/108)	Appears complete	Non-specific headache: 3% (4) vs. 4% (4)	UK National Health Service, Health Technology Assessment Programme	Fair

Author, Year Title	Study Design	Country Setting	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects (number approached, number eligible, number enrolled)	Type of Intervention (experimental & control groups, dose, duration of treatment)
Aronsohn, 2010	RCT	U.S. Number of settings and clinic setting not reported	Chronic lumbar discogenic pain; radiculopathy; MRI or CT scans consistent with diagnosis of contained disc herniation at L3-4, L4-5, or L5S-1; ≥50% preserved disc height; duration not specified	Not Reported	Eligible: Not reported	A: Epidural injection (approach not reported) with 40 mg methylprednisolone plus 0.25% bupivacaine (3 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance (n=24) B: Lumbar discectomy using Stryker disc Dekompressor (n=26)
Becker, 2007	RCT	Germany Single center Orthopedic surgery	Unilateral lumbar radicular compression, confirmed by MRI or CT showing herniation of nucleus pulposus or scarring after previous surgery; duration ≥6 weeks; pain intensity moderate to severe	Need for early surgery; additional neurologic illnesses; cervical myopathy; systemic bone or joint illness; previous epidural or epidural perineural injection in the last 3 months; cortisone or opioid use in the last 6 months	Eligible: Not reported Randomized: 84 (25 vs. 27 vs. 32)	A: Perineural epidural injection using oblique interlaminar approach with 10 mg triamcinolone plus unspecified local anesthetic (1 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance (n=24) B: Perineural epidural injection using oblique interlaminar approach with 5 mg triamcinolone plus unspecified local anesthetic (1 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance (n=24) C: Perineural epidural injection using oblique interlaminar approach with autologous conditioned serum (1 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance (n=24)

Author, Year Title	Subject Characteristics	Other Patient Characteristics (expectations of treatment benefit, confidence in clinician, worker's compensation status, ongoing litigation, smoking status, other treatments received)	Number and Frequency of Injections Number of Levels Provider Experience	Imaging Guidance	Type of Comparison
,	Age (mean): 51 vs. 41 years Male: 56% vs. 64% Duration of symptoms: Not reported Baseline back pain (0-10): 7.1 vs.	A vs. B: Treatments prior to intervention: Not reported Treatments following intervention: Not reported Other patient characteristics: Not reported	Number of injections: Single injection Number of levels: Single level Provider experience: Not reported	Fluoroscopic guidance	Percutaneous microdiscectomy
	Age (mean): 54 years (reports no difference between groups) Male: Reports no difference between groups, data not provided	A vs. B vs. C: Treatments prior to intervention: Pain medication discontinued for 2 weeks prior to first injection Treatments following intervention: No additional medical therapy or physical therapy	Number and frequency of injections: 3 injections at 1 week intervals Number of levels: Single level Provider experience: Not reported	Fluoroscopic guidance	Perineural epidural injection with different doses of corticosteroid or autologous conditioned serum

Author, Year Title	Results
Aronsohn, 2010	A vs. B: <u>Pain</u> Back pain (0-10 VAS): 7.1 vs. 7.5 at baseline; 6.7 vs. 3.0 at 1 week (p<0.05); 6.5 vs. 1.0 at 6 weeks (p<0.05) Radicular pain (0-10 VAS): 9.3 vs. 9.1 at baseline; 4.8 vs. 8.0 at 1 week (p<0.05); 2.0 vs. 7.1 at 6 weeks (p<0.05)
Becker, 2007	A vs. B vs. C: <u>Pain</u> Pain (mean, 0-100 VAS, estimated from graph): 84 vs. 82 vs. 78 at baseline; 30 vs. 29 vs. 35 at 4 weeks; 30 vs. 27 vs. 17 at 6 weeks; 22 vs. 33 vs. 22 at 22 weeks; mean difference A vs. B: -4.2 (95% CI -19 to 11); A vs. C: 9.3 (95% CI -4.9 to 24); for B vs. C: 14 (95% CI -0.4 to 27) <u>Function</u> ODI (mean, 0-50): 19 vs. 21 vs. 22 at baseline; 11 vs. 12 vs. 14 at 6 weeks; 11 vs. 12 vs. 11 at 10 weeks; 11 vs. 11 vs. 12 at 22 weeks (p>0.05 at all time points)

Author, Year Title	Duration of Followup	Loss to Followup	Compliance to Treatment	Adverse Events and Withdrawal due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Quality Rating
Aronsohn, 2010	6 weeks	Not reported	Appears complete	A vs. B: Paresthesia: 4.2% (1/24) vs. 13% (3/26) Infection: 0% vs. 3.8% (1/26)	Not reported	Poor
Becker, 2007	22 weeks	Not reported	Appears complete	A vs. B vs. C: Severe headache: 4.0% (1/25) vs. 3.7% (1/27) vs. 3.1% (1/32) "No serious adverse events"	No funding received	Fair

Author, Year Title	Study Design	Country Setting	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects (number approached, number eligible, number enrolled)	Type of Intervention (experimental & control groups, dose, duration of treatment)
Beliveau, 1971	RCT	UK Single center Rheumatology clinic	Moderate or severe unilateral sciatica thought to be caused by a herniated disk, with or without neurologic signs; duration of symptoms and imaging findings not specified	Not reported	Approached: Not reported Eligible: Not reported Randomized: 48 (24 vs. 24) Analyzed: Unclear at 1 week	A: Caudal epidural injection with 80 mg methylprednisolone (2 ml) + 0.5% procaine (40 ml) (n=24) B: Caudal epidural injection with 0.5% procaine (42 ml) (n=24)
Breivik, 1976	RCT	Norway Single center Neurology and anesthesiology clinic	Incapacitating chronic (several months to several years) low back pain and sciatica unresponsive to non- invasive treatments; radiculography with metrizamide showing arachnoiditis, prolapsed disc, no abnormality, or inconclusive findings	Not reported	Approached: Not reported Eligible: Not reported Randomized: 35 (16 vs. 19) Analyzed: 35	A: Caudal epidural injection with 80 mg methylprednisolone and 0.25% cc bupivacaine (20 ml) (n=16) B: Caudal epidural injection with 0.25% bupivacaine (20 ml) followed by 100 cc saline (n=19)
Buchner, 2000	RCT	Germany Single center Orthopedic clinic	confirmed by MRI with corresponding clinical	Previous lumbar surgery; lumbar spinal stenosis by MRI; cauda equina syndrome; acute severe motor paresis	Eligible: Not reported	A: Interlaminar epidural injection with 100 mg methylprednisolone in 0.25% bupivacaine (10 ml) (n=17) B: No epidural injection (n=19)

Author, Year Title	Subject Characteristics	Other Patient Characteristics (expectations of treatment benefit, confidence in clinician, worker's compensation status, ongoing litigation, smoking status, other treatments received)	Number and Frequency of Injections Number of Levels Provider Experience	Imaging Guidance	Type of Comparison
Beliveau, 1971	A vs. B: Age (mean): 41 years (overall) Male: 75% Duration of symptoms: Not reported Baseline pain: Not reported Baseline function: Not reported	A vs. B: Treatments prior to intervention: Not specified Treatments following intervention: Not specified Other patient characteristics: Not reported	Number and frequency of injections: Single injection Number of levels: Single level Provider experience: Not reported	Not reported	Interlaminar epidural injection with local anesthetic
Breivik, 1976	A vs. B: Age (mean): Not reported, range 30-63 years Male: 50% vs. 47% Duration of symptoms: Not reported Baseline pain: Not reported Baseline function: Not reported	A vs. B: Treatments prior to intervention: Not specified Treatments following intervention: Not specified Prior surgery: 25% vs. 37% Other patient characteristics: Not reported	Number and frequency of injections: Mean 2.6 vs. 2.5 injections; repeated at weekly intervals for up to 3 injections; 5/16 vs. 11/19 patients received other type of injection after no relief from 3 injections Number of levels: Not reported Provider experience: Not reported	Not reported	Caudal epidural local anesthetic injection
Buchner, 2000	A vs. B: Age (mean): 37 vs. 32 years Male: 47% vs. 79% Duration of symptoms (weeks): median 8 vs. 8 Baseline pain (0-100): 84 vs. 81 Hannover Functional Ability Questionnaire: 39% vs. 40%	A vs. B: Treatments prior to intervention: Not specified Treatments following intervention: Bed rest; analgesics; NSAIDS or tramadol; graded rehabilitation including hydrotherapy, electroanalgesia, spinal mobilization physiotherapy	Number and frequency of injections: 3 injections within 14 days Number of levels: Single level Provider experience: Not reported	Not reported	No injection

Author, Year Title	Results
Beliveau, 1971	A vs. B: Pain
	Improved or completely relieved (clinician rated): 75% (18/24) vs. 67% (16/24), RR 1.13 (95% CI 0.78 to 1.62)
Breivik, 1976	A vs. B: <u>Pain</u> Pain relief "considerable" (defined as diminution of pain and/or paresis to enable return to work or rehabilitation for other work): 65% (9/16) vs. 26% (5/19) RR, 2.14 (95% CI 0.90 to 5.09)
Buchner, 2000	A vs. B:
	Pain Pain (0-100 VAS): 84 vs. 81 at baseline; 31 vs. 37 at 2 weeks; 33 vs. 38 at 6 weeks; 33 vs. 39 at 6 months (p>0.05 at all time points)
	Function Hannover Functional Ability Questionnaire: 39% vs. 40% at baseline; 64% vs. 57% at 2 weeks; 62% vs. 58% at 6 weeks; 62% vs. 57% at 6 months (p>0.05 at all time points)
	<u>Other outcomes</u> Return to work: 88% (15/17) vs. 74% (14/19) at 6 months, RR: 1.20 (95% CI 0.87 to 1.65)
	Overall results "very good" or "good": 88% (15/17) vs. 74% (14/19), RR 1.20 (95% CI 0.87 to 1.65) at 6 months Surgery: 12% (2/17) vs. 21% (4/19) at 6 months, RR 0.56 (95% CI 0.12 to 2.68)

Author, Year Title	Duration of Followup	Loss to Followup	Compliance to Treatment	Adverse Events and Withdrawal due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Quality Rating
Beliveau, 1971	1 week	Not reported	Appears complete	A vs. B: Mild headache and dizziness for <30 minutes in 10 patients (not reported by group) Procedure stopped in 2 patients due to theca penetration	Not reported	Poor
Breivik, 1976	Unclear	Not reported	Appears complete (5/16 vs. 11/19 received other injection per protocol after 3 failed primary injections)	Not reported	Upjohn	Poor
Buchner, 2000	6 months	None	Appears complete	Not reported	Not reported	Fair

Author, Year Title	Study Design	Country Setting	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects (number approached, number eligible, number enrolled)	Type of Intervention (experimental & control groups, dose, duration of treatment)
Burgher, 2011	RCT	US Single center Pain clinic	with low back and leg pain due to encroachment of disc material on a spinal nerve root as confirmed by CT or MRI; positive nerve root tension sign with unilateral symptoms at a single level of the lumbosacral spine; duration ≤3 months	adverse reaction to study medications; 1 or more corticosteroid injection in the preceding 4 months; pregnant; severe medical disease	Analyzed: 23 (14 vs. 9)	A: Transforaminal epidural injection with 40 or 80 mg triamcinolone (2 ml) plus 2% lidocaine (1 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance (n=15) B: Transforaminal epidural injection with 200 or 400 mcg clonidine (2 ml) plus 2% lidocaine (1 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance (n = 11)
Bush, 1991	RCT	UK Single center Rheumatology clinic		Cauda equina syndrome; nonorganic physical signs; other serious pathology; inadequate contraception in women of child-bearing age	Approached: Not reported Eligible: Not reported Randomized: 28 Analyzed: 23 (12 vs. 11)	A: Caudal epidural injection with 80 mg triamcinolone acetonide in normal saline with 0.5% procaine hydrochloride (total 25 ml) (n=12) B: Caudal epidural injection with saline (25 ml) (n=11)

Author, Year Title	Subject Characteristics	Other Patient Characteristics (expectations of treatment benefit, confidence in clinician, worker's compensation status, ongoing litigation, smoking status, other treatments received)	Number and Frequency of Injections Number of Levels Provider Experience	Imaging Guidance	Type of Comparison
Burgher, 2011	A vs. B: Age (mean): 50 vs. 44 years Male: 67% vs. 82% Duration of symptoms (weeks): 5.3 vs. 5.0 Baseline pain (0-10 NRS): 7.0 vs. 7.0 Baseline ODI (0-50): 29 vs. 31	reported	Number and frequency of injections: Mean 2.3 vs. 2.0 injections, repeated at 10-14 day intervals Number of levels: 1 Provider experience: Not reported	Fluoroscopic guidance (digital subtraction angiography) with contrast verification	Transforaminal epidural injection with clonidine and local anesthetic
Bush, 1991	A vs. B: Age (mean): 38 vs. 37 years Male: 83% vs. 45% Duration of symptoms: Not reported Baseline pain: Not reported Baseline function: Not reported	A vs. B: Treatments prior to intervention: Not specified Treatments following intervention: Not specified Other patient characteristics: Not reported	Number and frequency of injections: 2 at 2 week intervals Number of levels: Caudal injection Provider experience: Not reported	None reported	Caudal epidural injection with normal saline

Author, Year	
Title	Results
Burgher, 2011	A vs. B: <u>Pain</u> Pain, difference between groups compared with baseline (0-10 NRS): at 2 weeks, 0.11 (95% CI -1.79 to 2.01); at 4 weeks, 1.54 (95% CI -0.52 to 3.60)
	Function Roland Morris Disability Questionnaire, difference between groups compared with baseline: at 2 weeks, 2.96 (95% CI -1.04 to 6.96); at 4 weeks, 5.67 (95% CI 1.22 to 10.1) ODI, difference between groups compared with baseline: at 2 weeks, 5.86 (95% CI -0.57 to 12.3); at 4 weeks, 7.04 (95% CI 0.83 to 13.2) Multidimensional Pain Inventory, difference between groups compared with baseline: at 2 weeks, -4.83 (95% CI -0.57 to 12.3); at 4 weeks, -0.35 (95% CI -6.96 to 6.26)
	<u>Global Assessment</u> Patient Global Impression of Change <=2 (much improved) at 4 weeks: 50% vs. 67% (p=0.669) <u>Other outcomes</u>
	Surgery: 6.7% (1/15) vs. 27% (3/11) at 6 months, 0.24 (95% CI) 0.30 to 2.05
Bush, 1991	A vs. B: <u>Pain</u> Pain (0-100 VAS): at 4 weeks 16 vs. 45 (p not reported); at 1 year 14 vs. 30 (p>0.05)
	<u>Function</u> Function/lifestyle (6-18 scale): at 4 weeks 16 vs. 14 (p not reported); at 1 year 17 vs. 16 (p>0.05)
	<u>Other outcomes</u> Surgery: 8.3% (1/12) vs.18% (2/11), RR 0.39 (95% CI 0.04 to 3.80)

Author, Year Title	Duration of Followup	Loss to Followup	Compliance to Treatment	Adverse Events and Withdrawal due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Quality Rating
Burgher, 2011		6.7% (1/15) vs. 18% (2/11)	Appears complete	(4/15) vs. 18% (2/11) Worsening of symptoms: 13% (2/15) vs. 36% (4/11) Lightheadedness: 7% (1/15) vs. 45% (5/11) Drowsiness: 20% (3/20) vs. 18% (2/11) Dry mouth: 20% (3/20) vs. 18% (2/11) Weakness: 7% (1/15) vs. 18% (2/11) Weakness: 7% (1/15) vs. 18% (2/11) Nausea: 13% (2/15) vs. 9% (1/11) 1 group B patient withdrew due to side effects (nausea, lightheadedness)	National Institutes of Health	
Bush, 1991	1 year	A vs. B: 18% (5/28)	Appears complete	Irregular menses: 8% (1/12) vs. 0%	•	Fair

Author, Year Title	Study Design	Country Setting	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects (number approached, number eligible, number enrolled)	Type of Intervention (experimental & control groups, dose, duration of treatment)
Buttermann, 2004	RCT	Surgery clinic	18 to 70 years of age; lumbar disc herniation >25% of cross-sectional area of the spinal canal on MRI or CT; failure to respond to 6 weeks of noninvasive treatments; duration not specified	Cauda equina syndrome; pars defect at the level of the herniation; far-lateral disc herniation; multilevel symptomatic disc herniation; recurrent disc herniation	Approached: 169 Eligible: Not reported Randomized: 100 (50 vs. 50) Analyzed: 71 (23 vs. 48) at 2-3 years (on-treatment analysis)	A: Interlaminar epidural injection with 10 to 15 mg betamethasone, with fluoroscopic guidance in 76% of patients (n=50) B: Discectomy (technique not specified) (n=50)
Candido, 2013	RCT	Single center Pain management center	>18 years of age, unilateral lumbosacral radiculopathic pain, MRI findings of degenerative lumbar disc disease including protruding or bulging discs, desiccated discs, or herniated discs with preservation of at least 50% of disc height	Required injections for multi- level disease; a history of previous spinal surgery; lumbar epidural steroid injection(s) in the past year; allergies to study medications, using systemic corticosteroids or chronic opioid use	Eligible:137 Randomized:106 (53 vs. 53) Analyzed: 100 (50 vs. 50)	<ul> <li>A. Lateral parasagittal interlaminar epidural injection with 120 mg methylprednisolone acetate (2 ml) plus lidocaine 1% (1 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance</li> <li>B. Midline interlaminar epidural injection with 120 mg methylprednisolone acetate (2 ml) plus lidocaine 1% (1 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance</li> </ul>

Author, Year Title	Subject Characteristics	Other Patient Characteristics (expectations of treatment benefit, confidence in clinician, worker's compensation status, ongoing litigation, smoking status, other treatments received)	Number and Frequency of Injections Number of Levels Provider Experience	Imaging Guidance	Type of Comparison
,	Age (mean): 41 vs. 40 years Male: Not reported Duration of symptoms (months): 3.3 vs. 3.8 Baseline back pain (0-10): 5.4 vs. 5.2 Baseline leg pain (0-10): 7.4 vs. 7.0	specified	injections: Mean not reported, patients could receive 1-3 at one week intervals based on	Fluoroscopic guidance in 76% of patients undergoing epidural injection	Epidural steroid injection vs. discectomies vs. crossover
Candido, 2013	Age (mean): 49 v. 49 years Male: 48% vs. 40% (p=0.5) Duration of symptoms: 14 vs. 14 months Baseline pain at rest (mean, 0-10 NRS): 4.9 vs. 5.1 Baseline pain during movement	specified Other patient characteristics: Not reported	intervention: mean number of injections 1.82 vs. 1.88 (p>0.05)	Fluoroscopy with contrast verification in epidural space	Head-to-head comparison of alternative epidural steroid injection methods

Author, Year Title	Results
Buttermann, 2004	
Buttermann, 2004	Pain
	Back pain (mean, 0-10 VAS, estimated from graph): 5.4 vs. 5.2 at baseline, 3.0 vs. 2.0 at 1-3 months; 2.6 vs. 1.7 at 4-6 months; 2.3 vs. 1.8 at 7-12 months; 2.4 vs. 1.9 at 1-2 years; 1.8 vs. 2.4 at 2-3 years (p>0.05 at all time points)
	Leg pain (mean, 0-10 VAS, estimated from graph): 7.4 vs. 7.0 at baseline; 4.1 vs. 1.4 at 1-3 months; 2.7 vs. 1.2 at 4-6 months; 1.8 vs. 1.1 at 7-12 months; 1.7 vs. 1.2 at 1-2 years; 0.8 vs. 1.5 at 2- 3 years (p>0.05 at all time points)
	Function ODI (0-100): 47 vs. 48 at baseline; 34 vs. 22 at 1-3 months; 15 vs. 16 at 4-6 months; 14 vs. 14 at 7-12 months; 11 vs. 14 at 1-2 years; 8 vs. 16 at 2-3
	years (p>0.05 at all time points except 1-3 months)
	Motor deficit (estimated from graph): 82% (41/50) vs. 88% (44/50) at baseline, RR, 0.93 (95% CI 0.79 to 1.10); 72% (36/50) vs. 38% (19/50) at 1-3
	months, RR 1.89 (95% CI 1.28 to 2.81); 30% (8/27) vs. 20% (10/50) at 4-6 months, RR 1.48 (95% CI 0.66 to 3.31); 20% (5/25) vs. 12% (6/50) at 7- 12 months, RR 1.67 (95%CI 0.56 to 4.93); 12% (3/24) vs. 8.0% (4/50) at 1-2 years, RR 1.56 (95% CI 0.38 to 6.43); 8.7% (2/23) vs. 4.0% (2/50) at 2-
	3 years, RR 2.17 (95% CI 0.33 to 14.5)
	Other outcomes
	Medication use "much less" (5 category scale, much less to much more): 16% (8/50) vs. 24% (12/50) at 1-3 months, RR 0.43 (95 % CI 0.23 to 0.78); 57% (13/23) vs. 32% (15/47) at 2-3 years RR 1 77 (95 % CI 1 02 to 3 07)
	A vs. B
	Pain Pain, Numeric Rating Scale at rest (NRS, 11-point scale, estimated from graph): at baseline, 4.9 vs. 5.1; at 14 days, 2.8 vs. 3; at 28 days, 2.7 vs. 3;
	at 60 days, 2.6 vs. 3.2; at 120 days, 2.6 vs. 3; at 180 days, 2 vs. 3.2; at 365 days, 2 vs. 3.2 ( $p>0.05$ )
	Pain, Numeric Rating Scale during movement (NRS, 11-point scale, estimated from graph): at baseline, 7.6 vs. 7.2; at 14 days, 3.3 vs. 4.5; at 28 days, 3.3 vs. 4.5; at 120 days, 3.7 vs. 4.7; at 180 days, 3.7 vs. 5; at 365 days, 4 vs. 5 (p>0.05)
	Function
	ODI (scores 0-50 multiplied by 2 and presented as a percentage from 0-100%, estimated from graph): at baseline: 44.9% vs. 40.6% (p=NS); at 14 days, 25% vs. 28%; at 28 days, 23% vs. 27%; at 60 days, 22% vs. 25%; at 120 days, 24% vs. 27%; at 180 days, 21% vs. 31%; at 365 days, 20% vs. 33% (p>0.05)
	Other Outcomes
	Patient Satisfaction (5-point scale, where 1 = complete dissatisfaction and 5 = complete satisfaction, estimated from GRA ph): at 1 day, 3.9 vs. 3.6; at 14 days, 4.1 vs. 2.9; at 28 days, 3.7 vs. 3.4; at 60 days, 3.7 vs. 3.4; at 120 days, 3.5 vs. 3.3; at 180 days, 4 vs. 3.2; at 365 days, 4.1 vs. 3.2 (p-values not reported, but states "better satisfaction" in group A on days 7, 14, 180, and 365.)

Author, Year Title	Duration of Followup	Loss to Followup	Compliance to Treatment	Adverse Events and Withdrawal due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Quality Rating
Buttermann, 2004	2-3 years	3% (3/100) at 3 years	46% (23/50) of patients in epidural injection group crossed over to discectomy at 2-3 years	A vs. B: Epidural injection (n=50): 2 incidental dural puncture, 3 recurrent disc herniation Discectomy (n=77, including crossovers): 2 incidental durotomies, 1 seroma	None	Poor
Candido, 2013	12 months	A vs. B 3 vs. 3	Appears complete	site: 22% vs. 30% (p>0.05) Headache, nonpositional, not related to dural puncture: 22% vs. 12% (p>0.05)	Department of Anesthesiology, Advocate Illinois Masonic Medical Center, Chicago, IL	Fair

Author, Year Title	Study Design	Country Setting	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects (number approached, number eligible, number enrolled)	
Candido, 2008		US Single center Clinical setting unclear	lumbosacral radiculopathy	Previous spinal surgery; epidural steroid injections in the past year; allergy to study drugs; concurrent systemic steroids; opioid use; pregnancy	Eligible: Not reported Randomized: 60 (30 vs. 30) Analyzed: 57 (29 vs. 28) at 6 months	A: Posterolateral interlaminar epidural injection with 80 mg methylprednisolone plus lidocaine 1% (1 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance B: Transforaminal epidural injection with 80 mg methylprednisolone plus lidocaine 1% (1 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance

Author, Year Title	Subject Characteristics	Other Patient Characteristics (expectations of treatment benefit, confidence in clinician, worker's compensation status, ongoing litigation, smoking status, other treatments received)	Number and Frequency of Injections Number of Levels Provider Experience	Imaging Guidance	Type of Comparison
Candido, 2008	Age (mean): 52 vs. 52 years Male: 57% vs. 40% Duration of symptoms <3 months: 24% vs. 7.1% Baseline pain (0-10 VAS): 6.8 vs.	specified Treatments following intervention: Not specified	Number and frequency of intervention: Appears to be single Number of levels: Appears to be single Provider experience: Attending physicians supervising fellows	Fluoroscopy with contrast verification in epidural space	Head-to-head comparison of alternative epidural steroid injection methods

Author, Year Title	Results
Candido, 2008	A vs. B: <u>Pain</u> Pain intensity (mean, 0-100 VAS): 63 vs. 63 at baseline; 41 vs. 49 at 2 weeks (p=0.31); 52 vs. 53 at 1 month (p=0.94); 47 vs. 43 at 3 months (p=0.68); 41 vs. 47 at 6 months (p=0.46)

Author, Year Title	Duration of Followup	Loss to Followup	Compliance to Treatment	Adverse Events and Withdrawal due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Quality Rating
Candido, 2008	6 months		injection group and 1 in parasagittal	1 parasagittal interlaminar group had paresthesia requiring procedure to be aborted (excluded	Not reported	Fair

Author, Year Title	Study Design	Country Setting	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects (number approached, number eligible, number enrolled)	Type of Intervention (experimental & control groups, dose, duration of treatment)
Carette, 1997	RCT		>18 years of age; sciatica for >4 weeks and <1 year with constant or intermittent pain in one or both legs radiating below knee; nerve root irritation based on positive straight leg raise and/or motor, sensory, or reflex deficits, with CT evidence of herniated disk corresponding to clinical findings; ODI >20	Cauda equina syndrome; CT findings of nerve root compression from causes other than herniated disk; epidural steroid injection in the preceding year; prior low back surgery; pregnant; known blood-coagulation disorder or allergy to local anesthetics	Eligible: Not reported Randomized: 158 (78 vs. 80) Analyzed: 156 (77 vs. 79) at	A: Interlaminar epidural injection with 80 mg methylprednisolone (2 ml) plus isotonic saline (8 ml) (n=78) B: Interlaminar epidural injection with isotonic saline (1 ml) (n=80)

Author, Year Title	Subject Characteristics	Other Patient Characteristics (expectations of treatment benefit, confidence in clinician, worker's compensation status, ongoing litigation, smoking status, other treatments received)	Number and Frequency of Injections Number of Levels Provider Experience	Imaging Guidance	Type of Comparison
Carette, 1997	A vs. B: Age (mean): 39 vs. 41 years Male: 72% vs. 59% Duration of symptoms (weeks): 12.9 vs. 13.0 Baseline pain (0 to 100): 66 vs. 62 Baseline ODI (0 to 100): 50 vs. 50	A vs. B: Treatments prior to intervention: Not specified Treatments following intervention: Acetaminophen, otherwise not specified Other patient characteristics: Disability compensation: 24% vs. 21% First episode of sciatica: 76% vs. 76% L4-L5: 49% vs. 51% L5-S1: 45% vs. 48%		None reported	Interlaminar epidural injection with saline

Author, Year	
Title	Results
Carette, 1997	A vs. B:
	(differences are difference in change from baseline; ANCOVA results adjusted for male sex and living partner performed but reported as similar to
	unadjusted and not presented)
	Pain
	Pain (0-100 VAS): 66 vs. 62 at baseline; 45 vs. 49 at 3 weeks, difference -8.6 (95% CI -18 to 0.3); 39 vs. 40 at 3 months, difference -4.0 (95% CI -15
	to 7.2)
	McGill Present Pain Intensity (0-5): 2.6 vs. 2.8 at baseline; 2.2 vs. 2.4 at 3 weeks, difference 0.0 (95% CI -0.4 to 0.4); 1.9 vs. 1.9 at 3 months,
	difference 0.2 (95% CI -0.3 to 0.7)
	McGill Pain-rating Index (0-77): 28 vs. 26 at baseline; 20 vs. 22 at 3 weeks; difference -3.4 (95% CI -8.1 to 1.3), 18 vs. 18 at 3 months, difference -
	1.2 (95% CI -7.2 to 4.9)
	ODI (0-100): 50 vs. 50 at baseline, 42 vs. 44 at 3 weeks, difference -2.5 (95% CI -7.1 to 2.2); 32 vs. 35 at 3 months, difference -1.9 (95% CI -9.3 to
	5.4)
	ODI <=20: 20% (15/77) vs. 16% (13/80) at 3 weeks, RR 1.20 (95% CI 0.61 to 2.35); 38% (29/77) vs. 42% (33/79) at 3 months, RR 0.90 (95% CI 0.61 to 1.33)
	Marked or very marked improvement: 33% (25/76) vs. 30% (23/78) at 3 weeks, RR 1.12 (95% CI 0.70 to 1.78); 55% (41/74) vs. 56% (43/77) at 3
	months, RR 0.99 (95% CI 0.75 to 1.32)
	Sickness Impact Profile, Overall (0 to 100): 22 vs. 21 at baseline; 16 vs. 18 at 3 weeks; difference -2.5 (95% CI -5.1 to 0.1); 12 vs. 13 at 3 months,
	difference -1.2 (95% CI -5.2 to 2.8) (no differences on physical or psychosocial dimensions subscales)
	Restricted activity in previous 2 weeks (number of days): 9.9 vs. 9.7 at baseline; 8.9 vs. 7.9 at 3 weeks; difference 0.8 (95% CI -0.6 to 2.2); 5.9 vs.
	5.4 at 3 months; difference 0.3 (95% CI -1.8 to 2.5)
	Other outcomes
	Underwent surgery: 26% (n=77) vs. 25% (n=79) at 12 months (p=0.90, log-rank test)
	Returned to work within 3 months: 33% (14/43) vs. 44% (18/41), RR 0.74 (95% CI 0.43 to 1.29)
	Lack of efficacy withdrawal: 15% (12/78) vs. 25% (20/80) at 3 months, RR 0.62 (95% CI 0.32 to 1.17)
L	1

Author, Year Title	Duration of Followup	Loss to Followup	Compliance to Treatment	Adverse Events and Withdrawal due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Quality Rating
Carette, 1997	3 months	A vs. B: 1.3% (1/78) vs. 1.2% (1/80)	Appears complete	A vs. B: Dural puncture: 1.3% (1/78) vs. 1.2% (1/80) Transient headache: 27% (21/78) vs. 20% (16/80) (p=0.30)	Medical Research Council of Canada and the Canadian Arthritis Society	

Author, Year Title	Study Design	Country Setting	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects (number approached, number eligible, number enrolled)	Type of Intervention (experimental & control groups, dose, duration of treatment)
Cocelli, 2009	RCT	Clinic setting unclear	discal radiculopathy <3 months duration not responding to conservative management; radiologic disc	Bilateral symptoms; neurological deficits; prior lumbar disc surgery; severe medical comorbidities; urinary retention; allergy to study drugs		A: Interlaminar epidural injection with 10 mg betamethasone diproprionate and 4 mg betamethasone sodium phosphate plus 0.125% bupivacaine (total 20 ml) (n=40) B: Interlaminar epidural injection with 80 mg triamcinolone acetonide plus 0.125% bupivacaine (total 20 ml) (n=40)

Author, Year Title	Subject Characteristics	Other Patient Characteristics (expectations of treatment benefit, confidence in clinician, worker's compensation status, ongoing litigation, smoking status, other treatments received)	Number and Frequency of Injections Number of Levels Provider Experience	Imaging Guidance	Type of Comparison
Cocelli, 2009	vs. 3	A vs. B: Treatments prior to intervention: Not specified Treatments following intervention: Amitriptyline 10 mg starting on day of injection to 50 mg/day for 6 months and postural exercise program L3-L4: 20% vs. 20% L4-L5: 55% vs. 60% L5-S1: 20% vs. 20%	Not reported	None reported	Head-to-head comparison of alternative corticosteroids

Author, Year Title	Results
Cocelli, 2009	A vs. B: <u>Pain</u> Pain (0-10 VAS): 9.5 vs. 9.3 at baseline, 5.7 vs. 1.1 at 2 weeks; 0.8 vs. 0.0 at 6 weeks; 0.0 vs. 0.0 at 3 months; 0.0 vs. 0.0 at 6 months <u>Function</u> ODI (0-100): 51 vs. 62 at baseline, 36 vs. 32 at 2 weeks; 25 vs. 23 at 6 weeks; 22 vs. 22 at 3 months; 19 vs. 20 at 6 months

Author, Year Title	Duration of Followup	Loss to Followup	Compliance to Treatment	Adverse Events and Withdrawal due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Quality Rating
Cocelli, 2009	6 months	Reports none	Appears complete	A vs. B: "No side effects related to this treatment in any of the patients"	Not reported	Fair

Author, Year Title	Study Design	Country Setting	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects (number approached, number eligible, number enrolled)	Type of Intervention (experimental & control groups, dose, duration of treatment)
Cohen, 2012	RCT	Multicenter Pain clinics	pain as or more severe than	Coagulopathy; systemic infection; unstable medical or psychiatric condition; previous epidural surgery; previous epidural steroid injection; allergy to contrast dye	Approached: 164 Eligible: 96 Randomized: 84 (28 vs. 26 vs. 30) Analyzed: 84 at 1 month (primary analysis)	A. Transforaminal epidural injection with 60 mg methylprednisolone acetate in 2 ml sterile water and 0.5% bupivacaine (0.5 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance (n=28) B. Transforaminal epidural injection with 4 mg etanercept in 2 ml sterile water and 0.5% bupivacaine (0.5 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance (n=26) C. Transforaminal epidural injection with 2 ml sterile water and 0.5% bupivacaine (0.5 ml) , with fluoroscopic guidance (n=30)

Author, Year Title	Subject Characteristics	Other Patient Characteristics (expectations of treatment benefit, confidence in clinician, worker's compensation status, ongoing litigation, smoking status, other treatments received)	Number and Frequency of Injections Number of Levels Provider Experience	Imaging Guidance	Type of Comparison
Cohen, 2012	A vs. B vs. C: Age (mean): 43 vs. 41 vs. 41 years Male: 79% vs. 69% vs. 63% Duration of symptoms (months): 2.61 vs. 2.67 vs. 2.82	A vs. B vs. C: Treatments prior to intervention: Not reported Treatments following intervention: Analgesic medications Other patient characteristics: Disability/worker's compensation/medical board: 4 % vs. 12% vs. 10% Baseline opioid therapy: 39% vs. 39% vs. 47% L4-5: 29% vs. 35% vs. 27% L5-S1: 43% vs. 50% vs. 47%	Number and frequency of	Fluoroscopic guidance with contrast verification of nerve root and epidural space	Transforaminal epidural injection with etanercept and local anesthetic Transforaminal epidural injection with saline and local anesthetic

Author, Year Title	Results
Cohen, 2012	A vs. B vs. C:
	(difference ANCOVA adjusted for study site, sex, duration of pain, opioid use, baseline outcome score) Pain
	Leg Pain (0-10 NRS): 5.71 vs. 6.62 vs. 6.31 at baseline; 2.54 vs. 3.56 vs. 3.78 at 1 month, difference -1.26 (95% CI -2.79 to 0.27) for A vs. C, -1.01 (95% CI -2.60 to 0.58) for A vs. B
	Back pain (0-10 NRS): 5.30 vs. 6.08 vs. 4.75 at baseline, 3.49 vs. 4.41 vs. 4.01 at 1 month, difference -0.52 (95% CI -1.85 to 0.81) for A vs. C, -0.92 (95% CI -2.28 to 0.44) for A vs. B
	Function ODI (0-100): 42.9 vs. 41.1 vs. 40.9 at baseline, 24.1 vs. 40.3 vs. 30.0 at 1 month, difference -5.87 (95% CI -15.6 to 3.85) for A vs. C, -16.2 (95% CI - 26.0 to -6.27) for A vs. B
	Global Assessment
	Global Perceived Effect positive (pain improved and patient satisfied): at 1 month: 82% (23/28) vs. 58% (15/26) vs. 57% (17/30) (p=0.14); A vs. B adjusted OR 3.16 (95% CI 0.88 to 11.3), A vs. C adjusted OR 3.12 (95% CI 0.91 to 10.8), B vs. C adjusted OR 0.99 (95% CI 0.33 to 2.94); 65% vs. 50% vs. 48% at 3 months, 63% vs. 45% vs. 48% at 6 months
	Success (>=50% decrease in leg pain and positive Global Perceived Effect): at 1 month 75% (21/28) vs. 42% (11/26) vs. 50% (15/30), A vs. C adjusted OR 3.63 (95% CI 1.10 to 12.0), A vs. B adjusted OR 2.62 (95% CI 0.82 to 8.37), B vs. C adjusted OR 0.72 (95% CI 0.24 to 2.16); at 3 months 50% (14/28) vs. 42% (11/26) vs. 43% (13/30); at 6 months 29% (8/28) vs. 38% (10/26) vs. 40% (12/30), A vs. B RR 0.74 (95% CI 0.35 to 1.59), A vs. C RR 0.71 (95% CI 0.34 to 1.48), B vs. C RR 0.96 (95 % CI 0.50 to 1.85)
	Other outcomes
	Surgery: at 12 months 21% (6/28) vs. 23% (6/26) vs. 17% (5/30); A vs. B RR 0.93 (95% CI 0.34 to 2.52), A vs. C RR 1.29 (95% CI 0.44 to 3.74), B vs. C RR 1.38 (95% CI 0.48 to 4.01)
	Remained on active duty: at 12 months 100% (15/15) vs. 93% (13/14) vs. 90% (17/19); A vs. B: RR 1.04 (95% CI 0.61 to 1.77); A vs. C: RR 1.06 (95% CI 0.64 to 1.74); B vs. C: RR 1.06 (95% CI 0.64 to 1.74)
	Analgesic use decreased >=20%: 63% (17/28) vs. 36% (9/30) vs. 50% (14/30) at 1 month (p=0.24), A vs. B adjusted OR 3.0 (95% CI 0.83 to 10.8), A vs. C adjusted OR 1.67 (95% CI 0.48 to 5.77), B vs. C adjusted OR 0.56 (95% CI 0.16 to 1.89); 92% (11/12) vs. 65% (7/11) vs. 75% (9/12) at 6 months, A vs. B RR 1.44 (95% CI 0.89 to 2.32), A vs. C RR 1.22 (95% CI 0.85 to 1.76), B vs. C RR 0.84 (95% CI 0.49 to 1.47)

Author, Year Title	Duration of Followup	Loss to Followup	Compliance to Treatment	Adverse Events and Withdrawal due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Quality Rating
Cohen, 2012	6 months; surgery and remained on active duty assessed through 1 year	None	Appears complete	New neurological symptom: 0% (1/28) vs. 4% (1/26) vs. 3% (1/30) Nonlocal infection: 0% (0/28) vs. 4% (1/26) vs. 10% (3/30) Nonlocal rash: 4% (1/28) vs. 0% vs. 0%		Good

Author, Year Title	Study Design	Country Setting	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects (number approached, number eligible, number enrolled)	Type of Intervention (experimental & control groups, dose, duration of treatment)
Cohen, 2012b	RCT	USA Multicenter Pain clinics	Age >18 years; signs and symptoms of lumbosacral radiculopathy; leg pain as great as or greater than back pain; agreement to receive injection regardless of MRI findings	Previous back surgery; duration of pain >4 years; treated with epidural steroid injection within the past 2 years; serious neurologic deficit; serious psychiatric disease		A: Transforaminal epidural injection with 60 mg methylprednisolone, 0.25% bupivacaine (1 ml), and saline (0.5 ml) (total 3 ml) or interlaminar epidural injection with 60 mg methylprednisolone, 0.25% bupivacaine (1 ml), and saline (1.5 ml) (total 4 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance; treatment and level based on MRI findings (n=67) B: Injection as above, based on history and physical examination findings (n=65)
Cuckler, 1985	RCT	USA >1 center Type of clinics not reported	Acute unilateral sciatica and well defined, discrete neurological findings or neurogenic claudication; failed to improved with at least two weeks of non- invasive therapy; duration of symptoms not specified; imaging findings not required	Lumbar surgery for similar symptoms or any lumbar surgery within 6 months	Eligible: Not reported Randomized: 73 (42 vs. 31) Analyzed: 73 at 20-22 months	A: Interlaminar epidural injection with 80 mg methylprednisolone (2 ml) and 1% procaine (5 ml) (n=42) B: Interlaminar epidural injection with saline (2 ml) and 1% procaine (5 ml) (n=31)

Author, Year Title	Subject Characteristics	Other Patient Characteristics (expectations of treatment benefit, confidence in clinician, worker's compensation status, ongoing litigation, smoking status, other treatments received)	Number and Frequency of Injections Number of Levels Provider Experience	Imaging Guidance	Type of Comparison
Cohen, 2012b	A vs. B: Age (mean): 51 vs. 53 Male: 42% vs. 45% Duration of symptoms (years): 1.5 vs. 1.6 Baseline leg pain (0-10 NRS): 6.6 vs. 6.7 Baseline back pain (0-10 NRS): 6.1 vs. 6.1 Baseline ODI (0-100): 44 vs. 45	A vs. B: Treatments prior to intervention: Not specified Treatments following interventions: Not specified Opioid use: 37% vs. 31%	injections: Could undergo second injection after 1 month,	Fluoroscopic guidance with contrast verification in epidural space	Epidural steroid injection based on MRI findings vs. without MRI
Cuckler, 1985	Duration of symptoms (months): 17.3 vs. 13.8 Baseline pain: Not reported Baseline function: Not reported	A vs. B: Treatments prior to intervention: Not specified Treatments following intervention: Not specified Previous surgery: 2% (1/42) vs. 7% (2/31) Herniated disc: 52% vs. 45% Spinal stenosis: 48% vs. 55%	Number of injections: 43% (18/42) vs. 58% (18/31) received second injection with corticosteroid and local anesthetic after 24 hours due to no relief after initial injection Number of levels: Single level Provider experience: Not reported	None reported	Epidural injection with local anesthetic

Author, Year Title	Results
Cohen, 2012b	A vs. B: <u>Pain</u> Leg pain (0-10 NRS): 6.6 vs. 6.7 at baseline, 3.6 vs. 4.4 at 1 month (p=0.12), 2.7 vs. 3.0 at 3 months (p=0.77) Back pain (0-10 NRS): 6.1 vs. 6.1 at baseline, 4.0 vs. 4.6 at 1 m (p=0.21), 3.2 vs. 3.5 at 3 m (p=0.81) <u>Function</u> ODI (0-100): 44 vs. 45 at baseline, 35 vs. 35 at 1 month (p=0.98), 30 vs. 31 at 3 months (p=0.79) Medication reduction: 48% (26/67) vs. 27% (14/65) at 1 month (p=0.02); 57% (17/67) vs. 56% (14/65) at 3 months (p=0.96) <u>Global assessment</u> Global Perceived Effect positive: 69% (42/67) vs. 55% (36/65) at 1 month (p=0.12), 53% (26/67) vs. 40% (24/65) at 3 months (p=0.17) Overall success (>=2 point decrease in leg pain plus positive Global Perceived Effect): 41% (24/67) vs. 35% (23/65) at 3 months (p=0.54) No statistically significant effect of age, sex, type of injection, duration of pain, opioid use, baseline ODI, or baseline pain on likelihood of success
Cuckler, 1985	A vs. B: Pain Pain improved >=75%: 26% (11/42) vs. 13% (4/31) at mean 20 months, RR 2.40 (95% CI 0.93 to 6.58) Pain improved >=75%, herniated disc patients: 26% (6/23) vs. 15% (2/13) at mean 20 months, RR 1.94 (95% CI 0.56 to 7.66) <u>Other outcomes</u> Surgery: 38% (16/42) vs. 29% (9/31) at mean 20 months, RR 1.50 (95% CI 0.86 to 2.81) Surgery (herniated disk): 43% (10/23) vs. 23% (3/13) at mean 20 months, RR 2.56 (95% CI 1.12 to 7.35)

Author, Year Title	Duration of Followup	Loss to Followup	Compliance to Treatment	Adverse Events and Withdrawal due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Quality Rating
Cohen, 2012b		A vs. B: 1.5% (2/132) lost to followup (states 5 patients who did not undergo epidural injections excluded from analysis, but 132 of 132 randomized patients presented in results)	Appears complete	A vs. B: 3 patients had worsening of pain, 1 had unstable angina, and 1 had arrhythmia following epidural steroid injection (group not specified)	John P. Murtha Neuroscience and Pain Institute, International Spinal Intervention Society, the Center for Rehabilitation Sciences Research	Fair
Cuckler, 1985	13 to 30 months (mean 20.2 vs. 21.5 months)		Appears complete	Not reported	Not reported	Fair

Author, Year Title Dashfield, 2005	Study Design RCT	Country Setting UK Single center	Inclusion Criteria >18 years of age; sciatica accompanied by	<b>Exclusion Criteria</b> Previous spinal surgery; coagulopathy; progressive		Type of Intervention (experimental & control groups, dose, duration of treatment) A: Caudal epidural injection with triamcinolone 40 mg plus 1%
		Pain clinic	neurosensory and motor deficits, with or without back pain; duration 6 to 18	motor neuron disorders; peripheral vascular disease;	Randomized: 60 (30 vs. 30) Analyzed: 52 (29 vs. 23) at 6 months	lidocaine (10 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance (n=33) B: Epidural injection with 40 mg triamcinolone plus 1% lidocaine (10 ml) and saline (50 to 150 ml), via sacral approach with spinal endoscopic guidance (n=27)

Author, Year Title	Subject Characteristics	Other Patient Characteristics (expectations of treatment benefit, confidence in clinician, worker's compensation status, ongoing litigation, smoking status, other treatments received)	Number and Frequency of Injections Number of Levels Provider Experience	Imaging Guidance	Type of Comparison
Dashfield, 2005		A vs. B: Treatments prior to intervention: Not specified Treatments following intervention: Not specified Other patient characteristics: Not reported	Number of injections: Single injection Number of levels: Single level Provider experience: Not reported	Fluoroscopic guidance with contrast verification in epidural space for caudal epidural injection, Spinal endoscopic guidance	Epidural injection with steroid, with spinal endoscopic guidance

Author, Year Title	Results
Dashfield, 2005	A vs. B: Pain
	Pain (mean, 0-10): 6.6 vs. 7.2 at baseline; 5.7 vs. 6.7 at 6 weeks; 5.4 vs. 6.4 at 3 months; 5.2 vs. 6.0 at 6 months
	Short-form McGill Pain Questionnaire, sensory subscale (scale not reported ): 14.8 vs. 15.5 at baseline; 13.9 vs. 16.0 at 6 weeks; 13.1 vs. 16.4 at 3 months; 12.5 vs. 16.0 at 6 months
	Short-form McGill Pain Questionnaire affective subscale (scale not reported): 4.2 vs. 5.9 at baseline; 4.7 vs. 4.9 at 6 weeks; 4.6 vs. 6.6 at 3 months; 4.2 vs. 5.9 at 6 months
	Present Pain Intensity (0-10): 2.8 vs. 3.5 at baseline; 2.3 vs. 2.6 at 6 weeks; 2.1 vs. 3.1 at 3 months; 2.0 vs. 2.5 at 6 months
	Other outcomes
	HAD-anxiety (0-21): 10.9 vs. 103 at baseline; 9.3 vs.10.0 at 6 weeks; 8.4 vs. 9.6 at 3 months; 7.8 vs. 8.7 at 6 months
	HAD-depression (0-21): 8.4 vs. 9.0 at baseline; 8.2 vs. 8.0 at 6 weeks; 7.7 vs. 8.0 at 3 months; 7.0 vs. 7.9 at 6 months

Author, Year Title	Duration of Followup	Loss to Followup	Compliance to Treatment	Adverse Events and Withdrawal due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Quality Rating
Dashfield, 2005	6 months	12% (4/33) vs. 15% (4/27) at 6 months	3 patients randomized to epiduroscopy crossed over to caudal injection and analyzed as treated		Defense Secondary Care Agency	Fair

Author, Year Title	Study Design	Country Setting	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects (number approached, number eligible, number enrolled)	Type of Intervention (experimental & control groups, dose, duration of treatment)
Datta, 2011	RCT	India Single center Pain clinic	of sciatica >4 weeks but <1 year with failure of ≥6 weeks conservative therapy; CT	from causes other than herniated disc; spinal injection in last year; prior low back	Eligible: Not reported Randomized: 207 (50 vs. 52 vs. 50 vs. 55) Analyzed: 163 (39 vs. 40 vs. 42 vs. 42) at 12 weeks	A: Caudal epidural injection with 80 mg methylprednisolone plus 0.125% bupivacaine (10-15 ml) (n=50) B: Caudal epidural injection with 80 mg triamcinolone plus 0.125% bupivacaine (10-15 ml) (n=52) C: Caudal epidural injection with 15 mg dexamethasone plus 0.125% bupivacaine (10-15 ml) (n=50) D: Caudal epidural injection with 0.125% bupivacaine (10-15 ml) (n=55)

Author, Year Title	Subject Characteristics	Other Patient Characteristics (expectations of treatment benefit, confidence in clinician, worker's compensation status, ongoing litigation, smoking status, other treatments received)	Number and Frequency of Injections Number of Levels Provider Experience	Imaging Guidance	Type of Comparison
Datta, 2011	91% Duration of leg pain (weeks): 16 vs. 17 vs. 16 vs. 16 Baseline pain (0-10 VAS): 7.5 vs. 7.4 vs. 7.3 vs. 7.2 Baseline RDQ (0-24): 21 vs. 22 vs. 21 vs. 22	49 vs. 47 vs. 48 diclofenac tablets/week Treatments following intervention: Analgesics other than diclofenac prohibited; no injections during	Number and frequency of injections: Up to 3 injections over 1 year Number of levels: Caudal Provider experience: Not reported	None reported	Head-to-head comparison of various corticosteroids and epidural injection with local anesthetic

Author, Year	
Title	Results
Datta, 2011	A vs. B vs. C vs. D:
	Pain
	Pain (0-10 VAS): 7.4 vs. 7.4 vs. 7.3 vs. 7.2 at baseline; 6.3 vs. 6.3 vs. 6.4 vs. 6.8 at 3 weeks; 4.9 vs. 4.8 vs. 5.2 vs. 6.2 at 12 weeks
	Complete pain relief (complete, incomplete but satisfactory, unsatisfactory):
	at 12 weeks:
	A vs. B: 43% (17/39) vs. (18/42), RR 1.45 (95% CI 0.86 to 2.60)
	A vs. C: 43% (17/39) vs. 38% (15/40), RR 1.16 (95% CI 0.68 to 1.99)
	A vs. D: 43% (17/39) vs. 26% (11/42), RR 1.66 (95% CI 0.89 to 3.10)
	Function
	RDQ improved >5 points (percent improvement, 0-24):
	at 3 weeks, 41% (16/39) vs. 40% (17/42) vs. 35% (14/40) vs. 38% (16/42):
	A vs. B: (16/39) vs. 40% (17/42), RR 1.66 (95% CI 0.60 to 1.71)
	A vs. C: 41% (16/39) vs. 35% (14/40), RR 1.17 (95% CI 0.67 to 2.06)
	A vs. D: (16/39) vs. 38% (16/42), RR 1.17 (95% CI 0.63 to 1.84)
	at 12 weeks: 69% (27/39) vs. 71% (30/42) vs. 62% (25/40) vs. 24% (10/42):
	A vs. B: 69% (27/39) vs. 71% (30/42), RR 0.97 (95% CI 0.73 to 1.29)
	A vs. C: 69% (27/39) vs. 62% (25/40), RR 1.11 (95% CI 0.81 to 1.52)
	A vs. D: 69% (27/39) vs. 24% (10/42): RR, 2.91(95% CI 1.63 to 5.19)
	Other outcomes
	Use of diclofenac (tablets/day): 3.8 vs. 3.3 vs. 4.0 vs. 4.8 at 3 weeks; 18 vs. 17 vs. 18 vs. 26 at 12 weeks
	Use of physiotherapy: 25% (9/39) vs. 17% (7/42) vs. 30% (12/40) vs 45% (19/42) at 6 weeks; 15% (6/39) vs. 12% (5/42) vs. 25% (10/40) vs. 38%
	(16/42) from 6 weeks to 3 months
	Sensory deficits: 13% (5/39) vs. 21% (9/42) vs. 28% (11/40) vs. 48% (20/42) at 3 months
	Underwent surgery: 6.0% (3/50) vs. 7.7% (4/52) vs. 6.0% (3/50) vs. 16% (9/55) at 3 months
l	

Author, Year Title	Duration of Followup	Loss to Followup	Compliance to Treatment	Adverse Events and Withdrawal due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Quality Rating
Datta, 2011	3 months	A vs. B vs. C vs. D: 22% (11/50) vs. 23% (12/52) vs. 16% (8/50) vs. 24% (13/55) lost to followup or had laminectomy and excluded at 3 months		A vs. B vs. C vs. D: Local pain >24 h: 21% (8/39) vs. 17% (7/42) vs. 10% (4/40) vs. 7.1% (3/42) Headache: 38% (15/39) vs. 38% (16/42) vs. 22% (9/40) vs. 31% (31/42) Tinnitus: 2.6% (1/39) vs. 9.5% (4/42) vs. 2.5% (1/40) vs. 7.1% (3/42) Nausea: 15% (6/39) vs. 17% (7/42) vs. 20% (8/40) vs. 17% (7/42) Weight gain: 0% (0/39) vs. 2.4% (1/42) vs. 0% (0/40) vs. 0% (0/42)	Not reported	Poor

Author, Year Title	Study Design	Country Setting	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects (number approached, number eligible, number enrolled)	Type of Intervention (experimental & control groups, dose, duration of treatment)
Dilke, 1973	RCT	UK Single center Rheumatology clinic	Unilateral sciatica with painful limitation of sciatic or femoral nerve stretch; sciatic scoliosis, appropriate neurologic deficit; duration not specified; imaging findings not required	Diagnostic uncertainty; bilateral manifestations; prior lumbar spine surgery; medical conditions affecting rehabilitation; doubt about the technical success of an injection	Eligible: Not reported Randomized: 100 Analyzed: 82 at 3 months	A: Interlaminar epidural injection with 80 mg methylprednisolone in saline (10 ml) B: Interspinous ligament injection with saline (1 ml)

Author, Year Title	Subject Characteristics	Other Patient Characteristics (expectations of treatment benefit, confidence in clinician, worker's compensation status, ongoing litigation, smoking status, other treatments received)	Number and Frequency of Injections Number of Levels Provider Experience	Imaging Guidance	Type of Comparison
Dilke, 1973	A vs. B: Age (mean): 39 vs. 42 years Male: 53% vs. 58% Duration of symptoms >4 weeks: 90% vs. 90% Baseline pain: Not reported Baseline function: Not reported	A vs. B: Treatments prior to intervention: Not specified Treatments following intervention: Mefenamic acid; diazepam; bed rest; graded rehabilitation with hydrotherapy; postural exercise; and spinal mobilizing exercise Other patient characteristics: Not reported	Number and frequency of injections: Mean not reported, second injection permitted after 1 week if no improvement Number of levels: Single level Provider experience: Not reported	None reported	Soft tissue injection with saline

Author, Year	
Title	Results
Dilke, 1973	A vs. B: <u>Pain</u> Pain clearly relieved during admission (clearly relieved, clearly not relieved, or intermediate): 31% (16/51) vs. 8% (4/43), RR 3.37 (95% CI 1.21 to 9.33) Pain assessment "none" (none, not severe, severe): 36% (16/44) vs. 21% (8/38) at 3 months, RR 1.72 (95% CI 0.83 to 3.58) Pain assessment "none" or "not severe": 91% (40/44) vs. 74% (28/38) at 3 months, RR 1.23 (95 % CI 0.10 to 1.52) <u>Other outcomes</u> Full bed rest (days): 8.25 vs. 8.61 (p>0.05) Time to institution of spinal mobility exercises (days): 18.4 vs. 20.4 (NS) Time in hospital (days): 25.2 vs. 28.0 (p>0.05) Not resumed work at 3 months: 8.3% (3/36) vs. 40% (14/35), RR 0.21 (95 % CI 0.07 to 0.66) Analgesic consumption "none" (none, less than daily, daily) at 3 months: 50% (19/38) vs. 38% (11/29), RR 1.32 ((95 % CI 0.75 to 2.32)) Underwent surgery at 3 months: 14% (7/51) vs. 21% (10/48), RR 0.66 (95% CI 0.27 to 1.59) Underwent second injection at 3 months: 31% (16/51) vs. 48% (23/48), RR 0.65 (95% CI 0.40 to 1.08) Underwent other conservative treatment at 3 months: 18% (9/51) vs. 29% (14/48), RR 0.61 (95% CI 0.29 to 1.27)

Author, Year Title	Duration of Followup	Loss to Followup	Compliance to Treatment	Adverse Events and Withdrawal due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Quality Rating
Dilke, 1973	3 months	A vs. B: 18% (18/100) at 3 months	Appears complete	"There were no complications attributable to the injections"	Not reported	Fair

Author, Year Title	Study Design	Country Setting	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects (number approached, number eligible, number enrolled)	Type of Intervention (experimental & control groups, dose, duration of treatment)
Gerstzen, 2010	RCT	USA Multicenter Clinical setting not described	corticosteroid injection within 3 weeks to 6 months; normal neurological function; imaging evidence of focal lumbar disc protrusion correlating with clinical		Eligible: Not reported Randomized: 90 (44 vs. 46) Analyzed: 85 (40 vs. 45) at 2 years, including 12 with missing data	A: Transforaminal epidural injection with corticosteroid, medication type (methylprednisolone acetate, betamethasone, methylprednisolone, triamcinolone acetonide) and dose left to discretion of clinician, with fluoroscopic guidance (n=44) B: Plasma disc decompression procedure with Coblation DLR or DLG Spine Wand surgical device, with fluoroscopic guidance (n=46)

Author, Year Title	Subject Characteristics	Other Patient Characteristics (expectations of treatment benefit, confidence in clinician, worker's compensation status, ongoing litigation, smoking status, other treatments received)	Number and Frequency of Injections Number of Levels Provider Experience	Imaging Guidance	Type of Comparison
Gerstzen, 2010	A vs. B: Age (mean): 42 vs. 46 years Male: 52% vs. 47% Duration of symptoms (months): median 24 vs. 12 Baseline leg pain (0-100 VAS): 75 vs. 72 Baseline back pain (0-100 VAS): 53 vs. 44 Baseline ODI (0-100): 43 vs. 42	A vs. B: Treatments prior to intervention: Opioid 55% vs. 47% Treatments following intervention: Not specified Other patient characteristics: Full or part-time employment: 65% vs. 62%	Number and frequency of injections: Up to 2 injections 3 weeks apart;75% (30/40) underwent 2 epidural injections Number of levels: Single Provider experience: Not reported	Fluoroscopic guidance	Plasma disc decompression

Author, Year	
Title	Results
Gerstzen, 2010	A vs. B: Pain
	Leg pain (mean change, 0-100 VAS): at 6 weeks -21 vs42 (p=0.002), at 3 months -23 vs46 (p=0.0001), at 6 months -21 vs47 (p=0.0008) Leg pain improved >=25 points: at 6 months 21% (8/39) vs. 49% (21/43), RR 0.42 (95% CI 0.21 to 0.83); at 1 year 18% (7/39) vs. 44% (19/43), RR 0.42 (95% CI 0.21 to 0.84); at 2 years 21% (8/39) vs. 42% (18/43), RR 0.49 (95% CI 0.24 to 1.0) Back pain (mean change, 0-100 VAS): at 6 weeks 1 vs18 (p=0.0005), at 3 months 7 vs17 (p=0.0001); at 6 months -0.4 vs21 at 6 months (p=0.002) Back pain improved >=12 points: at 6 months 22% (8/36) vs. 49% (19/39), RR 0.46 (95% CI 0.23 to 0.91); at 1 year 11% (4/36) vs. 39% (15/39), RR 0.26 (95 % CI 0.11 to 0.79); at 2 years 17% (6/36) vs. 39% (15/39), RR 0.43 (95% CI 0.19 to 1.0)
	Function         ODI (mean change, 0-100): at 6 weeks -5 vs13 at 6 weeks (p=0.002); at 3 months -2 vs11 (p=0.002); at 6 months -4 vs14 (p=0.002)         ODI improved >=13 points: at 6 months15% (6/40) vs. 32% (14/44), RR 0.47 (95% CI 0.20 to 1.10); at 1 year 10% (4/40) vs. 25% (11/44), RR 0.40         (95 % CI 0.14 to 1.16); at 2 years 10% (4/40) vs. 30% (13/44), RR 0.34 (95 % CI 0.12 to 0.95)         SF-36 improved >=5 points: at 6 months 21% (8/39) vs. 37% (16/43), RR 0.55 (95% CI 0.27 to 1.14); at 1 year 13% (5/39) vs. 33% (14/43), RR 0.39 (95% CI 0.16 to 0.99)
	<u>Other outcomes</u> Patient satisfaction "extremely satisfied": 15% vs. 38% Did not undergo secondary procedure: 17% vs. 52%, adjusted HR 2.0 (p=0.025) Surgery (not including plasma disc decompression): through 2 years: 5% (2/40) vs.11% (5/45), RR 0.45 (95% CI 0.09 to 2.19)

Author, Year Title	Duration of Followup	Loss to Followup	Compliance to Treatment	Adverse Events and Withdrawal due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Quality Rating
Gerstzen, 2010	2 years	A vs. B: 15% (6/40) vs. 13% (6/45) at 2 years; 5 post-randomization exclusions	injection, 20 patients in group A received plasma disc decompression	A vs. B: Procedure related adverse events: 18% (7/40) vs. 11% (5/45), RR 1.58 (95% Cl 0.54 to 4.57) Injection site pain: 5.0% (2/40) vs. 4.4% (2/45), RR 1.12 (95% Cl 0.17 to 7.62) Increased radicular pain: 2.5% (1/40) vs. 11% (5/45), RR 0.22 (95% Cl 0.03 to 1.85) Increased weakness: 2.5% (1/40) vs. 0% (0/45), RR 3.37 (95% Cl 0.14 to 80) Increased back pain: 2.5% (1/40) vs. 8.9% (4/45), RR 0.28 (95% Cl 0.03 to 2.36) Lightheadedness: 0% (0/40) vs. 2.2% (1/45), RR 0.37 (95% Cl 0.02 to 8.93) Muscle tightness of spasms: 5.0% (2/40) vs. 2.2% (1/45), RR 2.25 (95% Cl 0.21 to 24)	ArthoCare Corp	Fair

Author, Year Title	Study Design	Country Setting	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects (number approached, number eligible, number enrolled)	Type of Intervention (experimental & control groups, dose, duration of treatment)
Ghahreman, 2010 See also Ghahreman, 2011		Two centers Neurosurgery clinic	stabbing, or electric quality; limitation of straight-leg-raise <30° or < 45° with history of lancinating pain & disc herniation; duration not	motor deficit; history of substance abuse; previous surgery at affected level; conditions that contraindicated	Eligible: Not reported Randomized: 150 (28 vs. 37 vs. 27 vs. 28 vs. 30) Analyzed: 150 at 12 months, including 22 with missing data (1 vs. 7 vs. 8 vs. 2 vs. 4)	A: Transforaminal injection with 40 mg/ml triamcinolone (1.75 ml) plus 0.5% bupivacaine (0.75 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance (n=28) B: Transforaminal injection of 0.5% bupivacaine (2 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance (n=27) C: Transforaminal injection of normal saline (2 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance (n=37) D: Intramuscular injection of 40 mg/ml triamcinolone (1.75 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance (n=28) E. Intramuscular injection of normal saline (2 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance (n=30)

Author, Year Title	Subject Characteristics	Other Patient Characteristics (expectations of treatment benefit, confidence in clinician, worker's compensation status, ongoing litigation, smoking status, other treatments received)	Number and Frequency of Injections Number of Levels Provider Experience	Imaging Guidance	Type of Comparison
See also	A vs. B vs. C vs. D vs. E: Age (median): 49 vs. 44 vs. 43 vs. 49 vs. 46 years Male: 61% vs. 51% vs. 63% vs. 54% vs. 70% Duration of symptoms: Mean not reported, range 2 to 560 weeks Baseline leg pain (median, 0-10): 7 vs. 7 vs. 7 vs. 7 vs. 8 Baseline Roland Morris score (median, 0-24): 17 vs. 17 vs. 19 vs. 17 vs. 15	A vs. B vs. C vs. D vs. E: Treatments prior to intervention: Not specified Treatments following intervention: Not specified Other patient characteristics: Not specified	injections: Single injection Number of levels: Appears single Provider experience: Not reported	verification of nerve root for transforaminal injections	Transforaminal injection of normal saline Transforaminal injection of local anesthetic Intramuscular injection of corticosteroid Intramuscular injection of normal saline

Author, Year	
Title	Results
,	A vs. B vs. C vs. D vs. E:
	Pain (mean, 0-10): at baseline 7.0 vs. 7.4 vs. 6.6 vs. 7.6 vs. 7.0; at 1 month 4.1 vs. 6.7 vs. 5.5 vs. 5.9 vs. 6.0, difference -2.9 vs0.7 vs1.1 vs1.7 vs1.0, A vs. C (p=0.07); A vs. B, D, or E (p<0.05); for other comparisons: (p>0.05)
	Achieved >=50% pain relief: at 1 month 54% (15/28) vs. 7.4% (2/27) vs.19% (7/37) vs. 21% (6/28) vs. 13% (4/30): A vs. B: RR, 7.23 (95% CI 1.82 to
	28.67; A vs. C: RR, 2.83 (95% CI 1.33 to 6.00; A vs. D: RR, 2.50 (95% CI 1.14 to 5.50; A vs. E, RR 4.02 (95% CI 1.52 to 10.66): (p>0.05); B vs. C,
	RR 0.39 95% CI 0.89 to 1.73; B vs. D, RR 0.35 (95% CI 0.08 to 1.57); B vs. E, RR 0.56 (95% CI 0.11 to 2.80): C vs. D, RR 0.88 (95% CI 0.33 to
	2.34); C vs. E, RR 1.42 (95% CI 0.46 to 4.39); D vs. E, RR 1.61 (95% CI 0.51 to 5.10); no interaction between duration of symptoms, presence of
	sensory changes or neurologic signs, location [central or paracentral versus foraminal] or level affected, type of herniation (broad-based bulge, focal
	protrusion, extrusion, sequestration), dimensions of herniation (thickness, cross-section area of herniation or vertebral canal, ratio area of herniation
	and spinal canal), or presence of degenerative changes; low grade nerve root compression 75% (30/40) and high grade 26% (8/31), p for difference
	in estimates <0.0005
	Function
	Patient-specified Functional Outcome Scale (median, 0-12): at 1 month 8 vs. 6 vs. 6 vs. 10 vs. 10 (p>0.05)
	Other outcomes
	Underwent surgery at 12 months: 36% (10/28) vs. 26% (7/27) vs. 26% (7/27) vs. 21% (6/28) vs. 30% (9/30): A vs. B, RR 1.38 (95% CI 0.61 to 3.09);
	A vs. C, RR 1.38 (95% CI 0.61 to 3.09); A vs. D, RR 1.67 95% CI 0.70 to 3.10; A vs. E, RR 1.19 (95% CI 0.57 to 2.49); B vs. C, RR 1.00 (95% CI
	0.39 to 2.54); B vs. D, RR 0.96 (95% CI 0.36 to 2.53); B vs. E, RR 0.69 (95% CI 0.29 to 1.62); C vs. D, RR 0.96 (95% CI 0.36 to 2.53); C vs. E, RR
	0.69 (95% CI 0.29 to 1.62); D vs. E, RR 0.71 (95% CI 0.29 to 1.75)
	Underwent rescue transforaminal injection with steroid at 12 months: 14% (4/28) vs.67% (18/27) vs. 61% (23/38) vs. 64% (18/28) vs.73% (22/30): A
	vs. B, RR 0.21 (95% CI 0.83 to 0.55); A vs. C, RR 0.24 (95% CI 0.09 to 3.09); A vs. D, RR 0.22 95% CI 0.09 to 0.57; A vs. E, RR 0.19 (95% CI 0.07 to 0.50); B vs. C, RR 1.10 (95% CI 0.76 to 1.60); B vs. D, RR 1.04 (95% CI 0.71 to 1.52); B vs. E, RR 0.91 (95% CI 0.65 to 1.28); C vs. D, RR 0.94
	(95% CI 0.65 to 1.37); C vs. E, RR 0.83 (95% CI 0.59 to 1.62); D vs. E, RR 0.83 (95% CI 0.59 to 1.12)
	No differences in health care utilization
	No effect of chronicity on response to treatment

Author, Year Title	Duration of Followup	Loss to Followup	Compliance to Treatment	Adverse Events and Withdrawal due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Quality Rating
Ghahreman, 2010 See also Ghahreman, 2011		A vs. B vs. C vs. D vs. E: 3.6% (1/28) vs. 26% (7/27) vs. 22% (8/37) vs. 7.1% (2/28) vs. 13% (14/30) at 12 months	Appears complete	"No complications occurred that could be attributed to the treatment" 1 case of bladder incontinence after transforaminal injection of local anesthetic	Not reported	Good

Author, Year Title	Study Design	Country Setting	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects (number approached, number eligible, number enrolled)	Type of Intervention (experimental & control groups, dose, duration of treatment)
Ghai, 2014	RCT	India Single center Pain clinic	(>3 months) low back pain and unilateral lumbosacral radicular pain, not responding to medications and physical therapies, pain score >=50 on a 0 to 100 VAS at baseline were	Clinically significant or unstable medical or psychiatric illness, previous surgery on the lumbar spine, facet joint arthropathy, spinal canal stenosis, unstable neurological deficits, or cauda equine syndrome. prior lumbar epidural steroid injection, corticosteroids or anesthetics allergy, taking anticoagulants or bleeding diathesis, taking systemic corticosteroids, pregnant or lactating women	Approached: 124 Eligible: Not reported Randomized: 62 (32 vs. 30) Analyzed: 62 (32 vs. 30)	A. Parasagittal epidural injection with 80 mg methylprednisolone (2 ml) plus normal saline) 2 ml B. Transforaminal epidural injection with 80 methylprednisolone (2 ml) plus normal saline (2 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance
Ghai, 2013	RCT	India Single center Pain clinic	Low back pain with unilateral lumbosacral radicular pain for at least 3 months (MRI performed in all patients)	Somatic referred pain	Approached: 40 Eligible: Not reported Randomized: 37 (19 vs. 18) Analyzed: 37 at 6 months	A: Parasagittal interlaminar injection with 80 mg methylprednisolone (2 ml) plus normal saline (2 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance B: Midline interlaminar injection with 80 mg methylprednisolone (2 ml) plus normal saline (2 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance

Author, Year Title	Subject Characteristics	Other Patient Characteristics (expectations of treatment benefit, confidence in clinician, worker's compensation status, ongoing litigation, smoking status, other treatments received)	Number and Frequency of Injections Number of Levels Provider Experience	Imaging Guidance	Type of Comparison
Ghai, 2014	A vs. B: Age (mean): 43 vs. 46 years Male: 53% vs. 63% Duration of symptoms (months); 25 vs. 30 Baseline pain (0-100 VAS): 73 vs. 74 Modified ODI (0 to 100): 31 vs. 29	reported	Number and frequency of injections: 58 vs. 60 injections (p = 0.72), mean 1.84 vs. 1.92 procedures per year Number of levels: Appears to be single Provider experience: Not reported	Fluoroscopy with contrast verification in epidural space	Head-to-head comparison of alternative epidural steroid injection methods
Ghai, 2013	A vs. B: Age (mean): 41 vs. 42 years Male: 68% vs. 50% Duration of symptoms (months); 13 vs. 14 Baseline pain (0-100 VAS): 69 vs. 71 Modified ODI (0 to 100): 42 vs. 49	reported	injections: Mean 1.53 vs. 2.28 over 6 months (up to 3 injections	contrast	Head-to-head comparison of alternative epidural steroid injection methods

Author, Year	
Title	Results
Ghai, 2014	A vs. B:
	Pain Pain score (mean, VAS 0-100, estimated from graph): at baseline, 73 vs. 73 (p=0.56); at 15 days, 38 vs. 45 (p=0.63); at 1 month, 36 vs. 39 (p=0.61); at 2 months, 36 vs. 36 (p=0.59); at 3 months, 35 vs. 35 (p=0.64); at 6 months, 34 vs. 34 (p=0.56); at 9 months, 33 vs. 33 (p=0.23); at 12 months, 33 vs. 31 (p=0.79)
	250% pain relief from baseline using VAS: at 15 days, 65.6% vs. 50% (p=0.3); at 1 month, 72% vs. 63% (p=0.59); at 2 months, 69% vs. 73% (p=0.78); at 3 months, 78% vs. 77% (p=1.0); at 6 months, 75% vs. 77% (p=1.0); at 9 months, 78% vs. 73% (p=0.77); at 12 months, 69% vs. 77% (p=0.57)
	Function Modified ODI (estimated from graph): at baseline, 32 vs. 29 (p=0.18); at 15 days, 21 vs. 20 (p=0.29); at 1 month, 19 vs. 18 (p=0.38); at 2 months, 19 vs. 17 (0.38); at 3 months, 20 vs. 18 (p=0.60); at 6 months, 19 vs. 17 (p=0.36); at 9 months, 18 vs. 17 (p=0.52); at 12 months, 18 vs. 17 (p=0.45)
	Other outcomes: Patient satisfaction: Patient Global Impression of Change Scale (7-point scale where 1-3 = improved, 4 = no change, 5-7 = worse since study start): % improved at 3 months, 78% (25/32) vs/ 77% (23/30); at 6 months, 75% (24/32) vs. 80% (24/30); at 9 months, 78% (25/32) vs. 77% (23/30); at 12 months, 78% (25/32) vs. 80% (24/30) (p>0.05 for all)
Ghai, 2013	A vs. B: <u>Pain</u> Pain score (mean, VAS 0-100, estimated from graph): at baseline, 69 vs. 71; at 15 days, 29 vs. 49; at 1 month, 28 vs. 50; at 3 months, 30 vs. 48; at 6 months, 31 vs. 51, (p<0.05 at all time points) 50% pain relief: at 15 days 79%(15/19) vs. 39% (7/18) RR, 2.03 (95 % CI 1.09 to 3.78); at 1 month 79% (15/19) vs. 39% (7/18) RR 2.03 (95 % CI 1.09 to 3.78); at 3 months 79% (15/19) vs. 39% (7/18) RR, 2.03 (95 % CI 1.09 to 3.78); at 6 months 68% (13/19) vs.17% (3/18), RR 4.1 (95% CI 1.4 to 12)
	Function ODI (mean, 0-100, estimated from graph): at baseline, 42 vs. 49; at 15 days, 27 vs. 40; at 1 month, 27 vs. 41; at 3 months, 30 vs. 42; at 6 months, 30 vs. 43, (p<0.05 at all time points)

Author, Year Title	Duration of Followup	Loss to Followup	Compliance to Treatment	Adverse Events and Withdrawal due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Quality Rating
	12 months, but 3 month followup is primary outcome		Appears complete	redness, or persisting pain at the injection site.		Good
Ghai, 2013	6 months	None reported	Appears complete	None reported	None	Fair

Author, Year Title	Study Design	Country Setting	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects (number approached, number eligible, number enrolled)	Type of Intervention (experimental & control groups, dose, duration of treatment)
Gharibo, 2011	RCT	US Single center Pain clinic	month and <1 year, due to disc disease; failed analgesic and nonpharmacologic therapy; imaging correlation on CT or MRI; unable to tolerate physical therapy; no benefit from physical therapy	Lumbar spine surgery or epidural steroid injections within 6 months; multilevel degenerative spine disease; unstable spine; spondylolisthesis > grade 1; spondylolysis, cauda equina syndrome; arachnoiditis, progressive neurologic deficit; central spinal canal stenosis; active cancer diagnosis; history of substance abuse; current psychiatric co- morbidity; pregnant; contrast, steroid, or local anesthetic allergy; ongoing medical legal or workman's compensation	Analyzed: 38 (20 vs. 18) at 10-16 days (including 3 missing data)	A: Transforaminal epidural injection with 40 mg triamcinolone diacetate (1 ml) plus 0.25% bupivacaine (1 ml) at two levels, with fluoroscopic guidance B: Interlaminar epidural injection with 80 mg triamcinolone diacetate (2 ml) plus 0.25% bupivacaine (2 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance

Author, Year Title	Subject Characteristics	Other Patient Characteristics (expectations of treatment benefit, confidence in clinician, worker's compensation status, ongoing litigation, smoking status, other treatments received)	Number and Frequency of Injections Number of Levels Provider Experience	Imaging Guidance	Type of Comparison
Gharibo, 2011	A vs. B: Age (mean): 48 vs. 51 years Male: 55% vs. 72% Duration of symptoms: Not reported Baseline pain (0-10): 6.4 vs. 7.0 Baseline ODI (0-50): 38 vs. 38	A vs. B: Treatments prior to intervention: Not specified Treatments following intervention: Not specified Other patient characteristics: Not reported	Number and frequency of injections: 1/20 vs. 3/18 underwent two procedures Number of levels: Two levels (transforaminal) vs. single level (interlaminar) Provider experience: Single provider with over 10 years experience	Fluoroscopy with contrast verification in epidural space	Head-to-head comparison of alternative epidural steroid injection methods

Author, Year	
Title	Results
Gharibo, 2011	A vs. B: <u>Pain</u> Pain (mean, 0-10 NRS): 6.4 vs. 7.0 at baseline, 1.7 vs. 3.9 at 10-16 days (p<0.05) <u>Function</u> ODI (mean, 0-50): 38 vs. 38 at baseline, 22 vs. 13 at 10-16 days (p<0.05) <u>Other outcomes</u> <u>Depression (scale not reported): 4.1 vs. 4.4 at baseline, 1.7 vs. 2.2 at 10-16 days (p&lt;0.05)</u> Walking distance (blocks): 8.9 vs. 8.1 at baseline, 11.8 vs. 10.6 at 10-16 days (p<0.05 base on 1-sided test)

Author, Year Title	Duration of Followup	Loss to Followup	Compliance to Treatment	Adverse Events and Withdrawal due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Quality Rating
Gharibo, 2011		4.8% (1/21) vs. 10% (2/21) at 10-16 days	2 crossovers in interlaminar injection group after 2 failed injections; one patient excluded for receiving epidural steroid injection outside of protocol	Not reported	None	Fair

Author, Year Title	Study Design	Country Setting	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects (number approached, number eligible, number enrolled)	Type of Intervention (experimental & control groups, dose, duration of treatment)
Habib, 2013	RCT	Israel Single center Hospital	pain due to radiculopathy of at least one	Having had an epidural corticosteroid, systemic, intra-articular, and/or intramuscular injection; nasal spray, eye drops, or inhalation of steroid compounds during the previous three months; evidence of acute illness (inflammatory or noninflammatory); inflammatory back pain; uncontrolled hypertension; uncontrolled diabetes; anticoagulant treatment; bleeding tendency; allergy to corticosteroids; and/or pregnancy	Approached: Not reported Eligible: 50 Randomized: 42 (21 vs. 21) Analyzed: 35 at 4 w	A: Epidural injection with 80 mg methylprednisolone acetate, approach and other details not provided (n=21) B: Epidural injection with 40 mg methylprednisolone acetate, approach and other details not provided (n=21)
Helliwell, 1985	RCT	UK Single center Rheumatology clinic	Low back pain for >2 months with pain in the sciatic or femoral nerve distribution accompanied by dural tension signs or a neurological deficit consistent with lumbar root compression; radiograph of lumbar spine before randomization	Diagnostic uncertainty; pregnant; prior lumbar spine surgery or the development of progressive neurologic impairment	Approached: Not reported Eligible: Not reported Randomized: 39 (20 vs. 19) Analyzed: 39 at 3 months	A: Interlaminar epidural injection with 80 mg methylprednisolone in saline (10 ml) (n=20) B: Interspinous ligament injection with saline (5 ml) (n=19)

Author, Year Title	Subject Characteristics	Other Patient Characteristics (expectations of treatment benefit, confidence in clinician, worker's compensation status, ongoing litigation, smoking status, other treatments received)	Number and Frequency of Injections Number of Levels Provider Experience	Imaging Guidance	Type of Comparison
Habib, 2013	A vs. B: Age (mean): 53 vs. 51 Male: 62% vs. 76% Duration of back pain: 2.9 vs. 3.4 years Baseline VAS (0-100): 80 vs. 78	A vs. B: Treatments prior to intervention: Previous back surgery 1 vs 0; Previous epidural injection 4 vs. 2 Treatments following intervention: Not specified Other patient characteristics: Serum cortisol level at baseline 11.1 vs. 13.6 ng/mL	Number and frequency of injections: 1 Number of levels: 1-2 Provider experience: Experienced anesthesiologist	Not reported	Epidural injection with different doses of corticosteroid
Helliwell, 1985	A vs. B: Age (mean): 45 vs. 47 years Male: 25% vs. 20% Duration of symptoms (months): 8.5 vs. 13 Baseline pain: Not reported Baseline function: Not reported	A vs. B: Treatments prior to intervention: Not specified Treatments following intervention: Not specified Other patient characteristics: Not reported	Number and frequency of injections: Single Number of levels: Single Provider experience: Not reported	Not reported	Soft tissue injection with saline

Author, Year Title	Results
Habib, 2013	A vs. B
	Pain ≥30% improvement in 0-100 VAS: 62% (13/21) vs. 47% (9/19) at w 1 (p=0.362); 56% (10/18) vs. 35% (7/20) (p=0.210) at w 3, 39% (7/18) vs. 6% (1/17) at w 4 (p=0.049)
	Other outcomes Serum cortisol levels and number of patients with secondary adrenal insufficiency (serum corticol <18 ng/ml 30 minutes after ACTH stimulation test): 86% (18/21) vs. 53% (10/19) at w 1 (p=0.024), 22% (4/18) vs. 15% (3/20) at w 3 (p=0.87), 17% (3/18) vs. 12% (2/17) at w 4 (p=0.72)
Helliwell, 1985	A vs. B: <u>Pain</u> Pain, mean change from baseline (0-10 VAS, estimated from figure): at 1 month -2.6 vs0.7; at 3 months -2.7 vs0.3 (p<0.01 at both time points)
	Other outcomes Analgesic consumption decreased by >=50%: at 3 months 64% (7/11) vs. 40% (4/10), RR 1.6 (95% CI 0.69 to 4.1) Overall outcome "definite improvement" (vs. no improvement): at 3 months 70% 14/20 vs. 26% (5/19) RR, 2.7 (95% CI 1.3 to 6.2)

Author, Year Title	Duration of Followup	Loss to Followup	Compliance to Treatment	Adverse Events and Withdrawal due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Quality Rating
Habib, 2013	4 weeks	14% (3/21) vs. 19% (4/21)	Appears complete		Departmental funding	Poor
Helliwell, 1985	3 months	Not reported	Appears complete	None reported	Not reported	Poor

Author, Year Title	Study Design	Country Setting	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects (number approached, number eligible, number enrolled)	(experimental & control groups, dose, duration of treatment)
Iversen, 2011	RCT	Norway Multi-center Clinical setting unclear	leg pain worse than back pain; age 20 to 60 years;	Cauda equina syndrome; severe paresis; severe pain; prior spinal injection or surgery; deformity; pregnancy; breast feeding; warfarin therapy; treatment with non- steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; body mass index >30; poorly controlled psychiatric conditions with possible secondary gain, or severe comorbidity; severe intraspinal pathology	40) Analyzed: 116 (37 vs. 39 vs. 40) at 52 weeks (including 4 missing data)	A: Caudal epidural injection with 40 mg triamcinolone in 0.9% saline (29 ml), with ultrasound guidance (n=37) B: Caudal epidural injection with 0.9% saline (30 ml), with ultrasound guidance (n=39) C: Subcutaneous injection superficial to the sacral hiatus and outside spinal canal with 0.9% saline (2 ml), with ultrasound guidance (n=40)
Jeong, 2007	RCT	Korea Single center Radiology clinic	Lumbosacral radiculopathy; imaging (CT or MRI) documentation of nerve root compression with subarticular or paracentral disk herniation or central canal and/or lateral recess stenosis, based on consensus of 3 radiologists; duration of symptoms not specified	Not reported	Eligible: Not reported Randomized: 239 (127 vs. 112) Analyzed: 222 (116 vs. 106) at mid-term (>6 m) followup	

Author, Year Title	Subject Characteristics	Other Patient Characteristics (expectations of treatment benefit, confidence in clinician, worker's compensation status, ongoing litigation, smoking status, other treatments received)	Number and Frequency of Injections Number of Levels Provider Experience	Imaging Guidance	Type of Comparison
Iversen, 2011	50 vs. 54 vs. 48 Baseline ODI (0-50): 32 vs. 31 vs. 26	A vs. B vs. C: Treatments prior to intervention: Use of morphine: 24% vs. 18% vs. 15% Treatments following intervention: Not reported Other patient characteristics: Physically demanding work: 57% vs. 46% vs. 47% Received sickness benefit: 68% vs. 67% vs. 55% Fear Avoidance Beliefs Questionnaire (FABQ) work: 24 vs. 25 vs. 22 FABQ physical activity: 12 vs. 14 vs. 13	Number and frequency of injections: 2 injections within 2 weeks on all patients unless pain recovered prior to 2nd injection Number of levels: Not reported Provider experience: "Experienced" anesthesiologist	Ultrasound used to identify sacral hiatus	Caudal epidural injection with saline Soft tissue injection with saline
Jeong, 2007	A vs. B: Age (mean): 50 vs. 49 years Male: 40% vs. 48% Spinal stenosis: 18% vs. 20% Herniated disc: 82% vs. 80% Duration of symptoms <6 months: 64% vs. 56% Baseline pain: Not reported Baseline function: Not reported	A vs. B: Treatments prior to intervention: Not specified Treatments following intervention: Not specified Other patient characteristics: Not reported	Number and frequency of injections: Single injection Number of levels: Single level Provider experience: 2-5 years	Fluoroscopic guidance with contrast verification	Head-to-head comparison of alternative transforaminal epidural steroid injection techniques

Author, Year Title	Results
lversen, 2011	A vs. B vs. C: Pain
	Leg pain: at 6 weeks 3.2 (-9.1 to 16) ; at 12 weeks 2.5 (-9.6 to 15); at 52 weeks 3.1 (-9.6 to 16)
	Low back pain: at 6 weeks -5.0 (-17 to 6.7); at 12 weeks -7.8 (-19 to 3.8); at 52 weeks -2.0 (-14 to 10)
	EuroQol: at 6 weeks -0.02 (-0.13 to 0.09); at 12 weeks -0.05 (-0.17 to 0.06); at 52 weeks -0.01 (-0.12 to 0.11)
	A vs. C:
	A VS. C.
	Function
	ODI: (mean difference, 0-50) A vs B: at 6 weeks; -0.5 (-6.3 to 5.4); at 12 weeks; 1.4 (-4.5 to 7.2); at 52 weeks; -1.9 (-8.0 to 4.3);
	A vs. C: at 6 weeks; -2.9 (-9.7 to 3.0); at 12 weeks; 4.0 (-1.9 to 9.9); at 52 weeks; 1.9 (-4.2 to 8.0)
	EuroQol: (mean difference, -0.594 to 1) A vs. B: at 6 weeks; -0.02 (-0.13 to 0.09); at 12 weeks; -0.05 (-0.17 to 0.06); at 52 weeks; -0.01 (-0.12 to
	0.11). A vs. C: at 6 weeks; -0.05 (-0.16 to 0.06); at 12 weeks; -0.12 (-0.23 to -0.00); at 52 weeks; -0.05 (-0.17 to 0.06)
	Other outcomes
	Morphine use at 6 weeks: 8.1% (3/37) vs. 17% (6/35) vs. 11% (4/37): A vs. B RR 0.47 (95% CI 0.13 to 1.74); A vs. C RR 0.75 (95% CI 0.18 to 3.12);
	B vs. C RR 1.59 (95 % Cl 0.49 to 5.15)
	Receiving sickness benefit at 52 weeks: 32% (11) vs. 30% (10) vs. 22% (7)
	(p=0.69)
	Underwent back surgery: 2.7% (1/37) vs. 15% (6/39) vs. 20% (8/40) (p=0.07): A vs. B, RR 1.72 (95% CI 0.72 to 4.12); A vs. C, RR 1.33 (95% CI
	0.61 to 2.88); B vs. C, RR 0.77 (95% Cl 0.29 vs. 2.01)
Jeong, 2007	A vs. B:
-	Pain
	Overall results excellent (4 category scale poor, fair, good, excellent): 47% (56/127) vs. 73% (82/112) at 1 month, RR 0.60 (95% CI 0.48 to 0.75);
	34% (39/116) vs. 37% (39/106) at mid-term (> 6 month) follow-up, RR 0.91 (95% CI 0.64 to 1.31)
	Overall results good or excellent: at 1 month 71% (90/127) vs. 88% (99/112), RR 0.80 (95% CI 0.70 to 0.91); at mid-term follow-up 67% (78/116) vs.
	60% (64/106), RR 1.11 (95% CI 0.91 to 1.36)
	Age, sex, duration of symptoms, cause of radiculopathy were not statistically significant predictors for effectiveness of injection at 1 month or mid-
	term follow-up
	1

Author, Year Title	Duration of Followup	Loss to Followup	Compliance to Treatment	Adverse Events and Withdrawal due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Quality Rating
Iversen, 2011	52 weeks	A vs. B: 0% (0/37) vs. 5.1% (2/39) vs. 5.0% (2/40) at 52 weeks	5 patients did not receive allocated intervention (1 vs. 3 vs. 1), 7 discontinued intervention (2 vs. 4 vs. 1); no crossovers		North Norway Regional Health Authority and Health Region Nord-Trondelag, Norway	Good
Jeong, 2007	Mean 373 days (range 216-547) post-injection	A vs. B: 7% (17/239) at midterm followup	Appears complete	None reported	Not reported	Fair

Author, Year Title	Study Design	Country Setting	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects (number approached, number eligible, number enrolled)	Type of Intervention (experimental & control groups, dose, duration of treatment)
Kang, 2011	RCT	South Korea Single center Pain clinic	least single level disc herniation on MRI correlating with symptoms; age 18 to 60	Spinal stenosis; allergic reaction to local anesthetics or corticosteroids; contraindications to epidural steroid injections; epidural steroid injection within 6 months; previous lumbar spine surgery; unstable neurological deficits; cauda equina syndrome	Randomized: 160 (40 vs. 40 vs. 40 vs. 40) Analyzed: 160 at 2 weeks	A: Transforaminal epidural injection with 40 mg triamcinolone plus 1% lidocaine (total 3 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance (n=40) B: Transforaminal epidural injection with 20 mg triamcinolone plus 1% lidocaine (total 3 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance (n=40) C: Transforaminal epidural injection with 10 mg triamcinolone plus 1% lidocaine (total 3 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance (n=40) D: Transforaminal epidural injection with 5 mg triamcinolone plus 1% lidocaine (total 3 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance (n=40)

Author, Year Title	Subject Characteristics	Other Patient Characteristics (expectations of treatment benefit, confidence in clinician, worker's compensation status, ongoing litigation, smoking status, other treatments received)	Number and Frequency of Injections Number of Levels Provider Experience	Imaging Guidance	Type of Comparison
Kang, 2011	53 years Male: 40% vs. 42% vs. 38% vs. 35%	A vs. B vs. C vs. D: Treatments prior to intervention: Not specified Treatments following intervention: Not specified Other patient characteristics: Not reported	Number and frequency of injections: 2 injections 1 weeks apart Number of levels: Single level Provider experience: Not reported	Fluoroscopic guidance with contrast verification	Head-to-head comparison of alternative corticosteroid doses

Author, Year	
Title	Results
Kang, 2011	A vs. B vs. C vs. D: Pain Pain (0-10 VAS): at baseline 7.3 vs. 7.2 vs. 7.0 vs. 7.0; at 1 week 3.8 vs. 3.9 vs. 4.3 vs. 5.4; at 2 weeks 3.2 vs. 3.3 vs. 3.4 vs. 3.9, (p>0.05) Pain relief (>=67% improvement in VAS pain): at 1 week 75% (30/40) vs. 70% (28/40) vs. 65% (26/40) vs. 45% (18/40): A vs. B, RR 1.07 (95% CI 0.88 to 1.40); A vs. C, RR 1.15 (95% CI 0.86 to 1.54); A vs. D, RR 1.67 (95% CI 1.13 to 2.46); B vs. C RR, 1.08 (95% CI 0.79 to 1.47); B vs. D, RR 1.56 (95% CI 1.04 to 2.32); C vs. D, RR 1.44 (95% CI 0.96 to 2.18) (p=0.05 for A, B, or C vs. D); at 2 weeks 85% (34/40) vs. 80% (32/40) vs. 75% (30/40) vs. 68% (27/40): A vs. B, RR 1.06 (95% CI 0.87 to 1.30); A vs. C, RR 1.13 (95% CI 0.91 to 1.41); A vs. D, RR 1.26 (95% CI 0.98 to 1.62); B vs. C, RR 1.07 (95% CI 0.84 to 1.35); B vs. D, RR 1.19 (95% CI 0.91 to 1.54); C vs. D, RR 1.11 (95% CI 0.84 to 1.49)

Author, Year Title	Duration of Followup	Loss to Followup	Compliance to Treatment	Adverse Events and Withdrawal due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Quality Rating
Kang, 2011			Appears complete			Fair

Author, Year Title	Study Design	Country Setting	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects (number approached, number eligible, number enrolled)	Type of Intervention (experimental & control groups, dose, duration of treatment)
Karppinen, 2001 See also Karpinnen, 2001	RCT	Radiology department	Unilateral back pain radiating dermatomally below knee; duration 3 to 28 weeks; leg pain intensity at least equal to back pain intensity; MRI scans at baseline (findings for inclusion not specified)	Prior back surgery; application for early retirement; clinical depression; anticoagulation treatment; unstable diabetes; epidural injection in past 3 months; pregnant; allergy to study drugs; rare causes of sciatica such as synovial cysts; nondegenerative spondylolisthesis	Approached: 277 Eligible: 171 Randomized: 163 Analyzed: 158 (78 vs. 80) at 12 months	A: Transforaminal (periradicular) injection with 2-3 cc of methylprednisolone 40 mg/cc plus bupivacaine 5 mg/cc, with fluoroscopic guidance (n=78) B: Transforaminal (periradicular) injection with isotonic (0.9%) saline (2-3 cc), with fluoroscopic guidance

Author, Year Title	Subject Characteristics	Other Patient Characteristics (expectations of treatment benefit, confidence in clinician, worker's compensation status, ongoing litigation, smoking status, other treatments received)	Number and Frequency of Injections Number of Levels Provider Experience	Imaging Guidance	Type of Comparison
Karppinen, 2001 See also Karpinnen, 2001	A vs. B: Age (mean): 44 vs. 44 years Male: 64% vs. 58% Duration of symptoms (months): 2.4 vs. 2.6 Baseline leg pain (0 to 100 VAS): 71 vs. 75 Baseline back pain (0 to 100 VAS): 53 vs. 60 Baseline ODI (0-100): 43 vs. 44	A vs. B: Treatments prior to intervention: Not specified Treatments following intervention: Back school instructions by physiotherapist at 2 weeks; pain medication and physiotherapy for persisting sciatic pain; referral to neurosurgeon for severe sciatic pain and disability Other patient characteristics: Not reported	Number and frequency of injections: Single injection Number of levels: Single level Provider experience: Experienced radiologist	Fluoroscopic guidance with contrast verification of nerve root site	Transforaminal epidural injection with saline

Author, Year	
Title	Results
Karppinen, 2001	A vs. B: (difference ANCOVA adjusted for level of symptomatic disc and days on sick leave)
See also	Pain
Karpinnen, 2001	Leg pain (0-100 VAS): 71 vs. 75 at baseline; 39 vs. 54 at 2 w, difference -12 (95% CI -23.4 to 1.6); 37 vs. 44 at 4 w, difference -2.3 (95% CI -13.4 to 8.7); 31 vs. 34 at 3 m, difference 0.5 (95% CI -11 to 12); 31 vs. 22 at 6 m, difference 16 (95% CI 5.6 to 27); 24 vs. 24 at 12 m, difference 5.3 (-5.0 to 16); by MRI subgroups: bulges no differences at any time point; contained herniation difference -24 (95% CI -8 to -41) at 2 w; -19 (95% CI -36 to -3) at 4 w; -1.4 (95% CI -23 to 20) at 3 m; 22 (95% CI 5 to 40) at 6 m; 0.3 (95% CI -16 to 16) at 1 y Back pain (0-100 VAS): 53 vs. 60 at baseline; 26 vs. 36 at 2 w, difference -5.8 (95% CI -17 to 5.1); 27 vs. 31 at 4 w, difference 6.1 (95% CI -5.0 to 17); 26 vs. 23 at 3 m, difference 12 (95% CI 1.0 to 24); 23 vs. 20 at 6 m, difference 14 (95% CI 2.4 to 25); 19 vs. 19 at 12 m, difference 8.4 (95% CI 2.1 to 19); extrusions no differences except at 6 m, difference 17 (95% CI 1 to 32); disc level L3-L4/L4-L5 -25 difference -25 (955 CI -40 to -10) at 2w, -20 (95% CI -35 to 5) at 4 w, no differences at other time points $>75\%$ improvement in leg pain (only reported for some subgroups): contained herniations: 35% (9/26) vs. 9% (2/23) at 2 w (p=0.04), otherwise no differences; extrusions: No differences at any time point; disc level L3-L4/L4-L5: 68% (21/36) vs. 31% (16/51) at 4 w (p=0.02), otherwise no differences
	FunctionODI (0-100): 43 vs. 44 at baseline; 29 vs. 34 at 2 w, difference -5.1 (95% CI -10 to 0.3); 27 vs. 29 at 4 w, difference -1.5 (95% CI -7.3 to 4.4); 23 vs.23 at 3 m, difference 1.3 (95% CI -6.1 to 8.6); 19 vs. 16 at 6 m, difference 5.9 (95% CI -0.7 to 12); 16 vs. 16 at 12 m, difference 0.4 (95% CI -6.2 to7.0); by MRI subgroups: bulges no differences at any time point; contained herniation difference -8.0 (-16 to 0.3) at 2 w, -2.7 (95% CI -10 to 5) at 4 w, 2.3 (95% CI -9 to 13) at 3 m, 14 (95% CI 3 to 24) at 6 m, 1.2 (95% CI -9 to 12) at 1 y; extrusion no differences at any time point; disc level L3-L4 or L4-L5 -9.6 (95% CI -17 to -2) at 2 w, no differences at other time points
	Other outcomes Sick leave (days/month): 8.9 vs.10 at 4 w, difference -0.5 (95% CI -3.9 to 4.9); 7.3 vs. 7.4 at 3 m, difference -0.2 (95% CI -4.4 to 3.9); 3.6 vs. 4.9 at 6 m, difference 1.7 (95% CI -1.7 to 5.1); 1.9 vs. 1.2 at 12 m, difference -0.6 (95% CI -2.4 to 1.2) Therapy visits: 0.4 vs. 1.9 at 4 w, difference 1.7 (95% CI -0.5 to 3.9); 3.7 vs. 5.9 at 12 m, difference 1.7 (95% CI -2.9 to 6.3) Underwent surgery: 22% (18/80) vs. 19% (15/80) at 12 m, RR 1.2 (95% CI 0.65 to 2.21); contained herniation subgroup 20% vs. 42% (p=0.10), extrusion subgroup 32% vs. 13% (p=0.05)

Author, Year Title	Duration of Followup	Loss to Followup	Compliance to Treatment	Adverse Events and Withdrawal due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Quality Rating
Karppinen, 2001 See also Karpinnen, 2001		A vs. B: 2/80 (2.5%) vs. 0/80 (0%); 3 other exclusions because neurogram findings were not typical	Complete	Retroperitoneal hematoma in one patient on anticoagulant therapy in group A	Private foundation and government agencies in Finland; International Spinal Intervention Society	Good

Author, Year Title	Study Design	Country Setting	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects (number approached, number eligible, number enrolled)	(experimental & control groups, dose, duration of treatment)
Kennedy, 2014	RCT	spine surgery clinic	Unilateral radicular pain with pain intensity ≥4 on 0-10 scale; <6 months duration; MRI single level below L3 corresponding with symptoms; appropriate for surgery if injection failed	Back pain greater than leg pain; nonradicular pain; unclear diagnosis; more than one potential pain generator on MRI; lumbar stenosis; prior surgery; prior spine injection; conditions increasing injection risk (bleeding tendencies, workers compensation, pregnancy, litigation)	Eligible: 81	A: Transforaminal epidural injection with 15 mg dexamethasone (1.5 ml) plus 1% lidocaine (2 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance B: Transforaminal epidural injection with 60 mg triamcinolone (1.5 ml) plus 1% lidocaine (2 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance
Kim, 2011	RCT	Pain clinic	Lumbar radicular symptoms below the knee corresponding to MRI findings; ≥18 year of age; pain ≥6 months; failed medication and physical therapy	Litigation; history of psychopathology; Beck Depression Inventory <15; history of substance abuse; contraindications to intra-axial procedures	Approached: Not reported Eligible: Not reported Randomized: 61 (31 vs. 30) Analyzed: 60 (30 vs. 30)	A: Interlaminar epidural injection with 15 mg dexamethasone phosphate, 0.25% bupivacaine (2 ml), and saline (total 10 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance (n=30) B: Interlaminar epidural injection with 80 mg methylprednisolone acetate, 0.25% bupivacaine (2 ml), and saline (total 10 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance (n=30)

Author, Year Title	Subject Characteristics	Other Patient Characteristics (expectations of treatment benefit, confidence in clinician, worker's compensation status, ongoing litigation, smoking status, other treatments received)	Number and Frequency of Injections Number of Levels Provider Experience	Imaging Guidance	Type of Comparison
Kennedy, 2014	A vs. B: Age (mean): 36 vs. 36 years Male: 66% vs. 65% Duration of symptoms (weeks): 10 vs. 8.6 Baseline pain (0-10): 6.3 vs. 6.5 Baseline ODI (0-100): 46 vs. 42	treatment program prior to intervention	Number and frequency of injections: Up to 3 injections over 6 months; 54% vs. 62% received 1 injection, 29% vs. 32% 2 injections, 17% vs. 2.7% 3 injections Number of levels: Single level Provider experience: Not reported		Head-to-head comparison of alternative corticosteroids
Kim, 2011	A vs. B: Age (mean): 66 vs. 64 years Male: 13% vs. 20% Duration of symptoms: Not reported Baseline pain (0-100 VAS): 78 vs. 77 Baseline function: Not reported	specified	Number and frequency of injections: Two injections, within 1-2 months Number of levels: Single level Provider experience: Not reported	Fluoroscopic guidance with contrast verification in epidural space	Head-to-head comparison of alternative corticosteroids

Author, Year Title	Results
Kennedy, 2014	A vs. B:
	Pain Pain (mean 3 day average NRS, 0-10): 7.0 vs. 6.9 at baseline 4.1 vs. 4.1 at 7-14 days; 1.6 vs. 1.8 at 3 months; 1.4 vs. 1.2 at 6 months Pain improved >50%: 32% (13/41) vs. 43% (16/37) at 7-14 days, RR 0.73 (95% CI 0.41 to 1.31)27; 73% (30/41) vs. 73% (27/37) at 3 months, RR 1.0 (95% CI 0.77 to 1.31); 73% (30/41) vs. 76% (28/37) at 6 months, RR 0.97 (95 % CI 0.75 to 1.25)
	<u>Function</u> ODI improved >51%: 27% (11/41) vs. 35% (13/37) at 7-14 days, RR 0.60 (95% CI 0.30 to 1.92); 68% (28/41) vs. 68% (30/37) at 3 months, RR 0.84 (95% CI 0.65 to 1.09); 71% (27/41) vs. 65% (24/37) at 6 months, RR 1.07 (95% CI 0.78 to 1.46)
	<u>Other outcomes</u> Underwent surgery: 15% (6/41) vs. 19% (7/37) at 6 months, RR 0.77 (95% CI 0.29 to 2.09)
Kim, 2011	A vs. B: <u>Pain</u> Pain (0-100 VAS): 78 vs. 77 at baseline, 61 vs. 54 at 1-2 months; percent change from baseline -20% vs27% (p=0.37) Decrease in pain: 90% (27/30) vs. 87% (26/30), RR 1.04 (95% CI 0.86 to 1.25)
	<u>Other outcomes</u> Pain medication use, emergency room visits for pain, new treatment for pain: No differences, data not provided

Author, Year Title	Duration of Followup	Loss to Followup	Compliance to Treatment	Adverse Events and Withdrawal due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Quality Rating
	6 months after last injection	Unclear	Appears complete	Not reported	International Spine Intervention Society	Fair
Kim, 2011		A vs. B: 3.2% (1/31) excluded from analysis from dexamethasone group	Appears complete	"No complications were reported including new neurological symptoms or new areas of pain." 1 patient excluded for inadvertant dexamethasone injection intrathecally; no complications seen	Not reported	Fair

Author, Year Title	Study Design	Country Setting	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects (number approached, number eligible, number enrolled)	
Klenerman, 1984	RCT	UK Single center Rheumatology clinic	Unilateral sciatica with or without objective neurological signs; no previous treatment in a hospital for backs; symptoms ≤6 months	Not reported		<ul> <li>A: Epidural injection with 80 mg methylprednisolone plus normal saline (20 ml total) (n=19)</li> <li>B: Epidural injection with 0.25% bupivacaine (20 ml) (n=16)</li> <li>C: Epidural injection with normal saline (20 ml) (n=16)</li> <li>D: Interspinous ligament needling without injection (n=12)</li> </ul>

Author, Year Title	Subject Characteristics	Other Patient Characteristics (expectations of treatment benefit, confidence in clinician, worker's compensation status, ongoing litigation, smoking status, other treatments received)	Number and Frequency of Injections Number of Levels Provider Experience	Imaging Guidance	Type of Comparison
Klenerman, 1984	A vs. B vs. C vs. D: Age: Not reported Male: Not reported Duration of symptoms: Not reported (≤6 months by inclusion criteria) Baseline pain (0-100 VAS): 48 vs. 53 vs. 65 vs. 65 Baseline function: Not reported	A vs. B vs. C vs. D: Treatments prior to intervention: Not specified Treatments following intervention: Not specified Other patient characteristics: Not reported	Number and frequency of injections: Single Number of levels: Single level Provider experience: Not reported	Not reported	Epidural injection with local anesthetic of saline, or soft tissue needling without injection

Author, Year	
Title	Results
Klenerman, 1984	A vs. B vs. C vs. D: <u>Pain</u> Pain (0-100 VAS, estimated from graph): at baseline 48 vs. 53 vs. 65 vs. 65; at 2 weeks 30 vs. 39 vs. 39 vs. 53; at 2 months 25 vs. 19 vs. 20 vs. 25 <u>Global assessment</u> "Improved" or "cured" (failed, improved, cured) at 2 months: 79% (15/19) vs. 69% (11/16) vs. 69% (11/16) vs. 83% (10/12): A vs. B: RR 0.19 (95% CI 0.77 to 1.72); A vs. C RR 1.15 (95% CI 0.66 to 1.60); A vs. D RR 0.95 (95% CI 0.67 to 1.34); B vs. C: RR 1.00 (95% CI 0.77 to 1.72); B vs. D: RR 0.83 (95% CI 0.54 to 1.25); C vs. D RR 0.83 (95% CI 0.54 to 1.25) <u>Other outcomes</u> Underwent surgery: 0% (0/19) vs. 12% (2/16) vs. 0% (0/16) vs. 0% (0/12): A vs. B: RR 0.17 (95% CI 0.00 to 3.30); A vs. C RR 0.85 (95% CI 0.02 to 40.60); A vs. D RR 0.65 (95% CI 0.01 to 30.77); B vs. C: RR 5.00 (95% CI 0.26 to 96.59); B vs. D: RR 3.83 (95% CI 0.20 to 73.00); C vs. D RR 0.76 (95% CI 0.02 to 36.04)

Author, Year Title	Duration of Followup	Loss to Followup	Compliance to Treatment	Adverse Events and Withdrawal due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Quality Rating
Klenerman, 1984	2 months	A vs. B vs. C vs. D: 15% (11/74) excluded from analysis, including 1 lost to followup	Appears complete	Not reported	Not reported	Fair

Author, Year Title	Study Design	Country Setting	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects (number approached, number eligible, number enrolled)	Type of Intervention (experimental & control groups, dose, duration of treatment)
Koh, 2013	RCT	Korea Single center Pain clinic	Iumbosacral radiculopathy secondary to spinal stenosis lasting ≥12 weeks, dominant leg pain with less severe back pain, unilateral leg pain with symptoms restricted to 1-level of dermatome, and previous failure of conservative management including physiotherapy, exercise therapy, analgesic medication, and acupuncture; MRI findings of lateral canal spinal stenosis (including	surgery, allergies to steroids or contrast dyes, coagulopathy, injection of steroids or hyaluronic acids within the previous 12 weeks,	Analyzed: 53 (27 vs. 53) at 3 m, 25 (13 vs. 12) at 6 m	A: Transforaminal epidural steroid injection with 20 mg triamcinolone acetonide plus 2 mL 10% hypertonic saline (sodium chloride solution) (n=27) B: Transforaminal epidural steroid injection with 20 mg triamcinolone acetonide plus 2 mL 0.9% normal saline (n=26)

Author, Year Title	Subject Characteristics	Other Patient Characteristics (expectations of treatment benefit, confidence in clinician, worker's compensation status, ongoing litigation, smoking status, other treatments received)	Number and Frequency of Injections Number of Levels Provider Experience	Imaging Guidance	Type of Comparison
Koh, 2013	A vs. B: Age (mean): 66 vs. 63.7 years Male: 30% vs. 27% Duration of symptoms (months): 18.3 vs. 22.3 Baseline NRS (0-10): 7.26 vs. 6.60 Baseline ODI (1-100): 42.6 vs. 37.5	A vs. B Treatments prior to intervention: Prior epidural steroid injections 2.41 vs. 2.35 Treatments following intervention: Not specified Other patient characteristics: Not reported	Number and frequency of injections: Single injection Number of levels: Not reported Provider experience: Anesthesiologist with 10 year career in pain medicine	Fluoroscopic guidance	Transforaminal epidural injection with saline

Author, Year	
Title	Results
Koh, 2013	A vs. B Pain NRS (0-10): At baseline 7.26 vs. 6.60. Difference at 1 month -3.13 vs2.56 (p=0.25), at 2 months -3.22 vs1.94 (p=0.02), at 3 months -2.93 vs 1.52 (p=0.01), at 4 months -2.78 vs1.50 (p=0.05), at 6 months -2.15 vs0.58 (p=0.17) <u>Global assessment</u> GPE mean values (1-7 Likert scale where 7=best ever and 1=worst ever). Difference at 1 month 5.82 vs. 5.65 (p=0.24), at 3 months 5.41 vs. 4.73 (p=0.2), at 6 months 4.59 vs. 4.22 (p=0.40) <u>Function</u> ODI, Korean version (0-100). At baseline 42.6 vs. 37.5. Difference at 1 month -13.22 vs10.08 (p=0.56), at 2 months -13.81 vs10.31 (p=0.45), at 3 months -12.70 vs8.08 (p=0.34), at 4 months -12.22 vs6.90 (p=0.41), at 6 months -6.85 vs3.83 (p=0.34)

Author, Year Title	Duration of Followup	Loss to Followup	Compliance to Treatment	Adverse Events and Withdrawal due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Quality Rating
Koh, 2013	6 months	A vs. B At 4 months 32% (11/34) vs. 41% (14/34), at 6 months 62% (21/34) vs. 65% (22/34)	Appears complete	1 withdrawal due to severe burning in the hypertonic saline group that resolved within 2 hours; no other reports of serious complications during injection and no other withdrawals due to adverse effects	None	Fair

Author, Year Title Kolsi, 2000	Study Design RCT	Country Setting France Single center Rheumatology clinic	neuralgia (L4) with pain radiating at least to knee; positive straight leg raise or crossed straight leg raise;	Exclusion Criteria Cauda equina syndrome; motor strength ≤2 on 0 to 5 scale; history of disc surgery or chemonucleolysis; epidural corticosteroid injection within 1 week; bleeding disorder or anticoagulant therapy; pregnant or breast-feeding; current infection; psychiatric disorders	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects (number approached, number eligible, number enrolled) Approached: Not reported Eligible: Not reported Randomized: 30 (17 vs. 13) Analyzed: 30 at 4 weeks	Type of Intervention (experimental & control groups, dose, duration of treatment) A: Transforaminal nerve root injection with 3.75 mg cortivazol (1.5 ml) plus 0.10 g lidocaine (2 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance (n=17) B: Interlaminar epidural injection with 3.75 mg cortivazol (1.5 ml) plus 0.10 g lidocaine (2 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance (n=13)
Kraemer, 1997, study 1	RCT	Germany Single center Clinical setting unclear	Inpatients with intractable unilateral sciatica extending below knee with paresthesia; positive SLR test; limited trunk movement and aggravation of pain by certain movements and coughing; disk protrusion with nerve root compression seen on MRI and/or CT; duration not specified	Presence of other concomitant disease like osteoporosis or diabetes; contraindications to steroids	Approached: Not reported Eligible: Not reported Randomized: 133 (47 vs. 40 vs. 46) Analyzed: 133 (includes patients with missing data, number unclear)	A: Epidural perineural injection via oblique interlaminar approach with 10 mg triamcinolone + local anesthetic (1 ml, drug not specified) (n=47) B: Interlaminar epidural steroid injection using conventional technique (medications and doses not reported) (n=40) C: Paravertebral local anesthetic injection (medications and doses not reported) (n=46)

Author, Year Title	Subject Characteristics	Other Patient Characteristics (expectations of treatment benefit, confidence in clinician, worker's compensation status, ongoing litigation, smoking status, other treatments received)	Number and Frequency of Injections Number of Levels Provider Experience	Imaging Guidance	Type of Comparison
Kolsi, 2000	A vs. B: Age (mean): 45 vs. 40 years Male: 41% vs. 38% Duration of symptoms (months): 3.7 vs. 4.4 Baseline leg pain (0-10 VAS): 7.0 vs. 6.3 Baseline back pain (0-10 VAS): 3.9 vs. 4.2 Baseline RDQ (French version) (0- 24): 16 vs. 15	S1: 10/17 vs. 8/13 Intra- or extraforaminal nerve root impingement: 1/17 vs. 2/13	Number and frequency of injections: Number of injections not reported; open-label transforaminal nerve root steroid injection performed if <50% pain score decrease after first injection Number of levels: Single level Provider experience: Not reported	contrast verification	Head-to-head comparison of transforaminal vs. interlaminar steroid injection
Kraemer, 1997, study 1	A vs. B vs. C: Age (mean): Not reported Male: Not reported Duration of symptoms: Not reported Baseline pain: Not reported (Age, sex, duration of symptoms, baseline pain not reported by treatment group though reports no statistically significant difference) Function: Not reported	A vs. B vs. C: Treatments prior to intervention: Physiotherapy; back school; and dynamic flexion orthosis Other patient characteristics: Not reported	Number and frequency of injections: Single injection given three times in one week Number of levels: Single level Provider experience: Not reported		Interlaminar epidural steroid injection (unclear if local anesthetic used) Soft tissue injection with local anesthetic

Author, Year Title	Results
Kolsi, 2000	A vs. B: Pain Radicular pain (0-10 VAS, estimated from graph): at 2 weeks 7.0 vs. 6.3 at baseline, 2.6 vs. 1.6; at 4 weeks 2.0 vs. 1.5 Radicular pain, percent improvement from baseline (estimated from graph): at 1 week 78% vs. 73%; at 4 weeks 70% vs. 78% Back pain (0-10 VAS, estimated from graph): at baseline 3.9 vs. 4.2; at 2 weeks 1.5 vs. 2.4; at 4 weeks1.6 vs. 2.0 Function RDQ (French version, 0-24): at 4 weeks 16 vs.16 at baseline, 10 vs. 7.6 Other outcomes Underwent surgery: at 8 months 18% (3/17) vs. 23% (3/13) RR 0.76 (95% CI 0.18 vs. 3.20)
Kraemer, 1997, study 1	A vs. B vs. C: Pain (Based on modified MacNab criteria; p-values not reported) Modified MacNab criteria "good" (leg <10%, back pain <20%, return to work, sports as before; some results estimated from graph): 68% (32/47) vs. 53% (21/40) vs. 26% (12/46) at 3 months: A vs. B: 68% (32/47) vs. 53% (21/40), RR, 1.30 (95% CI 0.91 to 1.85); A vs. C: 68% (32/47) vs. 26% (12/46), RR 2.61 (95 % CI 1.55 to 4.41): B vs. C: 53% (21/40) vs. 26% (12/46), RR 2.02 (95% CI 1.14 to 3.55) Other outcomes Surgery: 8.5% (4/47) vs. 18% (7/40) vs. 13% (6/46) at 3 months; A vs. B: (4/47) vs. 18% (7/40), RR, 0.49 (5% CI 0.15 to 1.54); A vs. C: 8.5% (4/47) vs. 13% (6/46), RR 0.65 (95% CI 0.20 vs. 2.16); B vs. C: 18% (7/40) vs. 13% (6/46), RR 1.34 (95% CI 0.51 to 3.54)

Author, Year <u>Title</u> Kolsi, 2000	Duration of Followup 4 weeks for pain, function; mean 8 months for surgery	Loss to Followup None reported	Compliance to Treatment Appears complete	Adverse Events and Withdrawal due to Adverse Events A vs. B: 1 case of acute hypertension in group A	<b>Sponsor</b> Not reported	Quality Rating Fair
Kraemer, 1997, study 1	3 months	Not reported by study or treatment arm; eight patients withdrew across two trials	Appears complete	No serious adverse events reported in any group. Headache: 1.9% (including group A in trial 2) vs. 3.6% vs. <1%	Not reported	Poor

Author, Year Title Kraemer, 1997, study 2	double- blind study," not described as	Country Setting Germany Single center University hospital setting, departments of Orthopaedic Surgery and Radiology	S S	Exclusion Criteria Presence of other concomitant disease like osteoporosis or diabetes; contraindications to steroids	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects (number approached, number eligible, number enrolled) Approached: Not reported Eligible: Not reported Randomized: 49 (24 vs. 25) Analyzed: 49 (includes patients with missing data, number unclear)	Type of Intervention (experimental & control groups, dose, duration of treatment) A: Epidural perineural injection via oblique interlaminar approach with 10 mg triamcinolone plus saline (volume not reported) (n=24) B: Epidural perineural injection via oblique interlaminar approach with saline alone plus intramuscular injection with 10 mg triamcinolone (n=25)
Laiq, 2009	RCT	Pakistan Single Center Setting unclear	pain); VAS pain score ≥6/10 for >2 weeks; single lumbar	Previous lumbar epidural steroid injections; previous lumbar spine surgery; unstable neurological deficits; cauda equina syndrome; radiologically proven facet syndrome; known contraindications for epidural steroid injections; infection; bleeding tendency or malignancy	Approached: Not reported Eligible: Not reported Randomized: 52 (26 vs. 26) Analyzed: 50 (25 vs. 25)	A: Interlaminar epidural injection with 80 mg methylprednisolone plus 2% Xylocaine (3 ml), preceded by 2% lidocaine (3 ml) (n=26) B: Ibuprofen 400 mg tid x 1 m, tramadol SR 100 mg QD x 2 m, tizanidine 2 mg bid x 3 m, famotidine 40 mg throughout treatment, bed rest and limited activity x 1 m with gradual increase to waling 2-3 h/day, heavy lifting and strenuous exercise not permitted for 3-6 m (n=25)

Author, Year Title Kraemer, 1997,	Subject Characteristics A vs. B:	Other Patient Characteristics (expectations of treatment benefit, confidence in clinician, worker's compensation status, ongoing litigation, smoking status, other treatments received) A vs. B:		Imaging Guidance Not used routinely	Type of Comparison Epidural perineural
study 2	Age (mean): Not reported Male: Not reported Duration of symptoms: Not reported Baseline pain: Not reported (Age, sex, duration of symptoms, baseline pain not reported by treatment group though reports no statistically significant difference) Function: Not reported	Treatments prior to intervention: Physiotherapy; back school; and dynamic flexion orthosis Other patient characteristics: Not reported	injections: Single injection given three times in one week; epidural perineural injection with corticosteroid performed if patients did not improve Number of levels: Single level Provider experience: Not reported		injection via oblique interlaminar approach with saline plus soft tissue injection with corticosteroid
Laiq, 2009	A vs. B: Age (mean): 40 vs. 41 years Male: 68% vs. 60% Duration of symptoms: Not reported Baseline pain: Not reported Baseline function: Not reported	A vs. B: Treatment prior to intervention: Not reported Treatments following intervention: Not reported Other patient characteristics: Not reported	Number and frequency of injections: Appears to be single injection Number of levels: Appears to be single level Provider experience: "Expert" (no other details provided)	Not reported	Non-injection therapy

Author, Year Title Kraemer, 1997,	Results
study 2	Pain Modified MacNab criteria "good" (leg <10%, back pain <20%, return to work, sports as before; estimated from graph): at 3 months 54% (13/24) vs. 40% (10/25), RR 1.35 (95% CI 0.74 to 2.48) <u>Other outcomes</u> Surgery: at 3 months 4% (1/24) vs. 4% (1/25), RR 1.04 (95% CI 0.07 to 15.73)
Laiq, 2009	A vs. B: Pain (0-10 VAS): 2 vs. 4 at 2 weeks, (p<0.0001); 2 vs. 4.5 at 1 month, (p<0.0001); 4.5 vs. 5.0 at 3 months, (p=0.19); 6 vs. 6.5 at 6 months, (p=0.21) Pain score >=6 (0-10 VAS): 16% (4/25) vs. 24% (6/25), RR 0.67 (95% CI 0.22 to 2.1) Patient satisfaction with improvement in pain: at 2 weeks 80% (20/25) vs. 52% (13/25), RR 1.54 (95 % CI 1.01 to 2.35) (p=0.38); at 1 month 76% (19/25) vs. 48% (12/25), RR 1.59 (95% CI 1.00 to 2.52) (p=0.36); at 3 months 52% (13/25) vs. 56% (14/25), RR 0.93 (95 % CI 0.56 to 1.55) (p=1.0); at 6 months 68% (17/25) vs. 64% (16/25), RR 106 (95% CI 0.71 to 1.58) (p = 1.0)

Author, Year <u>Title</u> Kraemer, 1997, study 2	Duration of Followup 3 months	Loss to Followup Not reported by study or treatment arm; eight patients withdrew overall across two trials	Compliance to Treatment Appears complete	Adverse Events and Withdrawal due to Adverse Events See Kraemer, 1997 above	<b>Sponsor</b> Not reported	Quality Rating Fair
Laiq, 2009	6 months	A vs. B: 3.8% (1/26) vs. 3.8% (1/26)	Appears complete	A vs. B: "Major complications": 0% (0/25) vs. 0% (0/25) Blood glucose > 180 mg/dl) with no history of diabetes): 12% (3/25) vs. NR Flushing: 16% (4/25) vs. NR Headache:16% (4/25) vs. NR Backache: 4% (1/25) vs. NR	Not reported	Fair

Author, Year Title	Study Design	Country Setting	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects (number approached, number eligible, number enrolled)	Type of Intervention (experimental & control groups, dose, duration of treatment)
Manchikanti, 2014 F Manchikanti, 2013 Manchikanti, 2010		•	<ul> <li>≥ 18 years of age; disc herniation or radiculitis; function-limiting low back and lower extremity pain for ≥6 months; imaging findings not specified</li> </ul>	Previous lumbar surgery; radiculitis secondary to spinal stenosis without disc herniation; uncontrollable or unstable opioid use; uncontrolled psychiatric disorder or acute/chronic medical illness; pregnant or lactating; patients with history, potential for adverse reaction to study medications	Approached: 162 Eligible: 140 Randomized: 120 (60 vs. 60) Analyzed: 120 at 2 years, including 19 (10 vs. 9) with missing data	A: Interlaminar epidural injection with 6 mg betamethasone (1 ml) plus 0.5% lidocaine (5 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance (n=60) B: Interlaminar epidural injection with 0.5% lidocaine (6 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance (n=60)

Author, Year Title	Subject Characteristics	Other Patient Characteristics (expectations of treatment benefit, confidence in clinician, worker's compensation status, ongoing litigation, smoking status, other treatments received)	Number and Frequency of Injections Number of Levels Provider Experience	Imaging Guidance	Type of Comparison
	A vs. B: Age (mean): 41 vs. 49 years Male: 62% vs. 38% Duration of symptoms (months): 133 vs. 135 Baseline pain (0 to 10 NRS): 8.0 vs. 8.2 Baseline ODI (0-50): 30 vs. 30	A vs. B: Treatments prior to intervention: Not specified L4/5: 13% vs. 3.3% L5/S1: 87% vs. 95% Other patient characteristics: Not reported	-	Fluoroscopic guidance with contrast verification in epidural space	Interlaminar epidural injection with local anesthetic

Author, Year	
Title	Results
Manchikanti, 2014	A vs. B:
Manchikanti, 2013	
	Pain scores (0-10): at baseline 8.0 vs. 8.2; at 3 months 3.5 vs. 3.9; at 6 months 3.5 vs. 4.1; at 12 months 3.4 vs. 4.0; at 24 months 3.7 vs. 4.1 (p>0.05 at all time points) Pain relief >=50%: at 3 months 88% (53/60) vs. 78% (47/60), RR 1.13 (95% CI 0.96 to 1.33); at 6 months 88% (53/60) vs. 70% (42/60), RR 1.26 (95% CI 1.04 to 1.53); at 12 months 85% (51/60) vs. 72% (43/60), RR 1.19 (95% CI 0.98 to 1.44); at 24 months 70% (42/60) vs. 63% (38/60), RR 1.19 (95% CI 0.98 to 1.44); at 24 months 70% (1.11 (95% CI 0.86 to 1.42)
	Function ODI (0-50): at baseline 30 vs. 30, at 3 months 14 vs. 16; at 6 months 14 vs. 16; at 12 months 13 vs. 16; at 24 months 14 vs. 16 (p>0.05 at all time
	points)
	ODI improved >=50%: at 3 months 82% (49/60) vs. 73% (44/60), RR 1.11 (95% CI 0.92 to 1.35); at 6 months 87% (52/60) vs. 63% (38/60), RR 1.37 (95% CI 1.10 to 1.70); at 12 months 87% (52/60) vs. 68% (41/60), RR 1.27 (95% CI 1.04 to 1.55); at 24 months 73% (44/60) vs. 63% (38/60), RR 1.16 (95% CI 0.91 to 1.48)
	<u>Other outcomes</u> Opioid use (mg MED/day): at baseline 47 vs. 50; at 3 months 42 vs. 34; at 6 months 36 vs. 37; at 12 months 36 vs. 37; at 24 months 37 vs. 36 (p>0.05 at all time points)

Author, Year Title	Duration of Followup	Loss to Followup	Compliance to Treatment	Adverse Events and Withdrawal due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Quality Rating
Manchikanti, 2014 Manchikanti, 2013 Manchikanti, 2010		A vs. B: 17% (10/60) vs. 15% (9/60) at 24 months	Appears complete	One dural puncture (treatment group not reported); no other major adverse events	Not reported	Poor

Author, Year Title	Study Design	Country Setting	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects (number approached, number eligible, number enrolled)	Type of Intervention (experimental & control groups, dose, duration of treatment)
Manchikanti, 2012 Manchikanti, 2011 Manchikanti, 2008	RCT	US Single center Pain clinic	Demonstrated disc herniation with radiculitis; >18 years of age; function- limiting low back and lower extremity pain for >6 months; imaging findings not specified	Previous lumbar surgery; radiculitis secondary to spinal stenosis or without disc herniation; uncontrollable or unstable opioid use; uncontrolled psychiatric disorders; uncontrolled medical illness; any conditions that could interfere with the interpretation of the outcome assessments; pregnant or lactating; history or potential for adverse reactions to local anesthetics or steroids	Approached: 178 Eligible: 132 Randomized: 120 (60 vs. 60) Analyzed: 120 (60 vs. 60) at 24 months, including 24 (12 vs. 12) with missing data	A: Caudal epidural injection with 6 mg betamethasone or 40 mg methylprednisolone plus 0.5% lidocaine (9 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance (n=60) B: Caudal epidural injection with 0.5% lidocaine (10 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance (n=60)
Matthews, 1987	RCT	UK Single center Specialty clinic	18 to 60 years of age; onset of most within 3 months; low back pain with asymmetrical restriction of lumbar spine movement; positive straight leg raise test and/or femoral nerve stretch test positive; radicular pain and uniradicular neurologic deficit; radiographs performed (imaging findings not specified)	Abnormalities or complicating problems after screening examination and investigations	Eligible: Not reported Randomized: 57 (23 vs. 24) in trial of epidural injection	A: Caudal epidural injection with 80 mg methylprednisolone (2 ml) and 0.125% bupivacaine (20 ml) (n=23) B: Soft tissue injection at sacral hiatus or tender point with lignocaine (2 ml, concentration not reported) (n=34)

Author, Year Title	Subject Characteristics	Other Patient Characteristics (expectations of treatment benefit, confidence in clinician, worker's compensation status, ongoing litigation, smoking status, other treatments received)	Number and Frequency of Injections Number of Levels Provider Experience	Imaging Guidance	Type of Comparison
Manchikanti, 2008	Age (mean): 43 vs. 49 years Male: 38% vs. 32% Duration of pain (months): 81 vs. 93 Baseline pain (0-10 NRS): 7.8 vs. 8.1	reported	Number of injections: Mean 5.3 over 5.5 years, frequency not specified Number of levels: Caudal Provider experience: Not reported	Fluoroscopy with contrast verification in epidural space	Caudal epidural injection with local anesthetic
	A vs. B: Age (median): 38 vs. 41 years Male: 83% vs. 71% Duration of symptoms (median, weeks): 4 vs. 4 weeks Baseline pain: Not reported Baseline function: Not reported	A vs. B: Treatments prior to intervention: Not specified Treatments following intervention: Acetaminophen as needed, opioid available on request; offered spinal corset and given instruction in 'posture' and 'back care' Other patient characteristics: Not reported	injections: Injection repeated every 2 weeks, up to 3 times as needed Number of levels: Single level Provider experience: Not	Not reported	Soft tissue injection with local anesthetic

Author, Year	
Title	Results
Manchikanti, 2012	A vs. B:
Manchikanti, 2011	
	Pain (mean NRS, 0 to 10): at baseline 7.8 vs. 8.1; at 3 months 3.4 vs. 4.1; at 6 months 3.5 vs. 3.9; at 12 months 3.5 vs. 4.1; at 24 months 3.6 vs. 4.2: (p=0.80 for group difference)
	Pain improved >=50% from baseline: at 3 months 80% (48/60) vs. 77% (46/60); at 6 months 82% (49/60) vs. 77% (46/60); at 12 months 77% (46/60) vs. 70% (42/60); at 24 months 68% (41/60) vs. 63% (38/60)
	Function ODI (0 to 50): at baseline 28 vs. 29; at 3 months 14 vs. 16; at 6 months 14 vs. 16; at 12 months 13 vs. 16; at 24 months 14 vs. 16: (p=0.71 for group
	difference) ODI improved >=50% from baseline: at 3 months 73% (44/60) vs. 62% (37/60); at 6 months 73% (44/60) vs. 72% (43/60), RR 1.02 (95% CI 0.82 vs. 1.28); at 12 months 72% (43/60) vs. 67% (40/60), RR 108 (95% CI 0.85 to 1.37); at 24 months 70% (42/60) vs. 60% (36/60), RR 1.08 (95% CI 0.82 to 1.43)
	Other outcomes Opioid use (mg MED/day): at baseline 45 vs. 52; at 3 months 30 vs. 33; at 6 months 31 vs. 33; at 12 months 31 vs. 33; at 24 months 31 vs. 33: (p=0.75 for group difference) Success (pain improved >=50% and ODI improved >=50%): at 6 months 73% (44/60) vs. 72% (43/60); at 12 months 72% (43/60) vs. 67% (40/60); at 24 months 65% (39/60) vs. 60% (36/60)
Matthews, 1987	A vs. B:
	<u>Pain</u> Pain score (6 point NRS): at 1 month 67% (14/21) vs. 56% (18/32), RR 1.67 (95% CI 1.23 to 2.28) (p>0.05); No further pain: at 1 year 39% (9/23) vs. 41% (14/34), RR 0.95 (95% CI 0.49 to 1.8)
	<u>Other outcomes</u> Spinal surgery: 4% (1/23) vs. 0% (0/34), RR 4.38 (95% CI 0.19 to 102.94)

Author, Year Title	Duration of Followup	Loss to Followup	Compliance to Treatment	Adverse Events and Withdrawal due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Quality Rating
Manchikanti, 2012 Manchikanti, 2011 Manchikanti, 2008		A vs. B: 20% (12/60) vs. 20% (12/60) at 24 months	Appears complete	No major adverse events	Not reported	Fair
Matthews, 1987		A vs. B: 8.7% (2/23) vs. 2.9% (1/34) at 1 year	Appears complete	Not reported	Department of Health and Social Security (UK) and St. Thomas' Hospital, London	Fair

Author, Year Title McCahon, 2011	Study Design RCT with crossover design	Single center	Inclusion Criteria Back and leg pain of any cause; ≥2 epidural steroid injections in the last 12 months; ODI score >20%; back or leg VAS >30 mm	Exclusion Criteria Anticoagulant therapy; bleeding diathesis; sepsis	Randomized: 38 (19 vs. 19) Analyzed: 33 at 12 weeks following crossover intervention	Type of Intervention (experimental & control groups, dose, duration of treatment) A: Caudal epidural injection with 80 mg methylprednisolone acetate (2 ml), 0.25% levobupivacaine (10 ml), and saline (8 ml) (n=19) B: Caudal epidural injection with 40 mg methylprednisolone acetate (1 ml), 0.25% levobupivacaine (10 ml), and saline (9 ml) (n=19)
Murakibhavi, 2011	RCT	Single center	≥18 years of age; low back pain with unilateral or bilateral sciatica for ≥3 months; not responding to rest and analgesics; MRI showed lumbar disc disease (disc degeneration or herniation)	History of surgery; severe motor weakness; rapidly progressive neurological deficit; cauda equina syndrome; neurogenic claudication; local infection at injection site; steroid use in last 3 weeks; allergy to steroids; bleeding diathesis; pregnant; uncontrolled hypertension; uncontrolled diabetes	Eligible: 189 Randomized: 102 (52 vs. 50) Analyzed: 100 (50 vs. 50) at 6 months	A: Caudal epidural injection with 80 mg triamcinolone acetate (2 ml), 2% lidocaine (2 ml), and normal saline (20 ml), with

Author, Year Title	Subject Characteristics	Other Patient Characteristics (expectations of treatment benefit, confidence in clinician, worker's compensation status, ongoing litigation, smoking status, other treatments received)	Number and Frequency of Injections Number of Levels Provider Experience	Imaging Guidance	Type of Comparison
	A vs. B: Age (mean): 56 years Male: 39% Duration of pain (years): 19 Baseline leg pain (0-100 VAS): 57 vs. 54 Baseline back pain (0-100 VAS): 67 vs. 66 Baseline ODI (0-100): 55 vs. 54	A vs. B: Treatments prior to intervention: ≥2 epidural injections in last 12 months (median 3 prior injections in last 12 months) Treatment following intervention: Not reported Other patient characteristics: Not reported	Number and frequency of injections: Appears to be single Number of levels: Caudal Provider experience: Not reported	None	Head-to-head comparison of different corticosteroid doses
	Age (mean): 45 years (overall) Male: 66% (overall) Race: Not reported Duration of symptoms (months): 21 (overall)	A vs. B: Treatment prior to intervention: 98% rest/analgesics; 78% traction; 76% lumbar belt; 76% physiotherapy; 18% epidural injection Treatments following intervention: Not specified MRI findings: 60% disc degeneration; 26% disc bulge; 14% disc herniation	Number and frequency of injections: Repeat injection permitted after 2-3 weeks if <20% improvement in VAS pain; 12% received repeat injection Number of levels: Caudal injection Provider experience: Not reported	Fluoroscopic guidance without contrast verification	Conservative therapy

Author, Year	
Title	Results
-	A vs. B:
	<u>Function</u> Change in ODI from baseline (0-100, estimated from graph): -7 vs7 at 4 weeks; 0.5 vs3 at 8 weeks; 1 vs. 0 at 12 weeks
	Other outcomes
	Analgesic use: No difference between groups
Murakibhavi, 2011	
	<u>Pain</u> Pain (0-10 VAS): 8.1 vs. 8.1 at baseline; 2.7 vs. 6.1 at 6 months
	<u>Function</u> ODI (0-100): 36 vs. 36 at baseline; 12 vs. 25 at 6 months
	Beck Depression Inventory (0-63): 18 vs. 19 at baseline; 8.6 vs. 13 at 6 months
	Other outcomes
	Complete pain relief (complete, partial, no relief): 92% (46/50) vs. 32% (16/50) at 3 weeks, RR 2.88 (95 % CI 1.90 to 4.34); 86% (43/50) vs. 24% (12/50) at 6 months, RR 3.58 (95% CI 2.16 to 5.94)

Author, Year Title	Duration of Followup	Loss to Followup	Compliance to Treatment	Adverse Events and Withdrawal due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Quality Rating
McCahon, 2011	12 weeks	A vs. B: 13% (5/38) withdrew, did not maintain ODI booklet, or did not return diary	Appears complete		No external funding	Fair
Murakibhavi, 2011	6 months		3.8% (2/52) excluded in group A due to hypotension during procedure	Dural puncture: 0% (0/50) Headache: 18% (9/50) Hypotension during procedure:	NIH/NIAMS and University of Washington (through gift from Synthes Spine)	Poor

Author, Year Title Owlia, 2007	Study Design RCT	Single center Rheumatology clinic	weeks; MRI showing disc herniation with or without canal stenosis; refractory	of infection; bleeding tendency; or malignancy	Eligible: Not reported Randomized: 84 (43 vs. 41) Analyzed: 84 at 3 months	Type of Intervention (experimental & control groups, dose, duration of treatment) A: Interlaminar epidural injection with 80 mg methylprednisolone acetate (8-10 ml) plus 2% lidocaine (2-4 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance (n=43) B: Interlaminar epidural injection with 40 mg methylprednisolone acetate (8-10 ml) plus 2% lidocaine (2-4 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance (n=41)
Park, 2010	RCT	Single center Neurosurgery clinic		Chronic use of oral steroids; oral, peripheral, or epidural steroid use in past 3 months; temperature >100.4 F; pregnant; cognitive impairment; use of aspirin, Plavix, Coumadin, or heparin in last 2 weeks; history of bleeding disorders; history of lumbar surgery	Eligible: Not reported Randomized: 106 (53 vs. 53) Analyzed: 106 at 4 weeks	A: Transforaminal injection with 7.5 mg dexamethasone plus 1% lidocaine (1 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance (n=53) B: Transforaminal injection with 40 mg triamcinolone acetonide plus 1% lidocaine (1 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance (n=53)

Author, Year Title	Subject Characteristics	Other Patient Characteristics (expectations of treatment benefit, confidence in clinician, worker's compensation status, ongoing litigation, smoking status, other treatments received)	Number and Frequency of Injections Number of Levels Provider Experience	Imaging Guidance	Type of Comparison
Owlia, 2007	A vs. B: Age (mean): 38 vs. 36 years Male: 51% vs. 66% Duration of symptoms (weeks): 12 vs. 9 Baseline pain: Not reported Limitation in daily activities: 28% vs. 49%	A vs. B: Treatments prior to intervention: NSAIDS, opioids, and physical therapy for >2 weeks Treatments following intervention: Rehabilitative management for 2 weeks Other patient characteristics: Not reported	Number and frequency of injections: Single injection Number of levels: Single level Provider experience: Not reported	Fluoroscopic guidance with contrast verification in epidural space	Head-to-head comparison of alternative corticosteroid doses
Park, 2010	A vs. B: Age (mean): 56 vs. 62 years Male: 49% vs. 45% Duration of symptoms: Not reported Baseline pain (0-10 VAS): 7.5 vs. 8.3 Baseline ODI (0-100: 52 vs. 58		injections: Appears to be single injection	Fluoroscopic guidance with contrast verification	Head-to-head comparison of alternative corticosteroids

Author, Year	
Title	Results
	A vs. B: <u>Pain</u> Improvement in pain (not defined): at 2 weeks, 70% (30/43) vs. 61% (25/41), RR 1.14 (95% CI 0.84 to 1.57); at 1 month, 74% (32/43) vs. 76% (31/41), RR 0.98 (95% CI 0.77 to 1.25); at 3 months, 65% (28/43) vs. 51% (21/41), RR 1.27 (95% CI 0.88 to1.84)
	A vs. B: <u>Pain</u> Pain (0-10 VAS): 7.4 vs. 8.3 at baseline, 4.1 vs. 2.4 at 1 month (p<0.0005) McGill Pain Questionnaire summary score (0-45): 15 vs. 13 at baseline, 13 vs. 20 at 1 month (p>0.05) <u>Function</u> ODI (0-100): 52 vs. 58 at baseline, 45 vs. 59 at 1 month (p>0.05)

Author, Year Title	Duration of Followup	Loss to Followup	Compliance to Treatment	Adverse Events and Withdrawal due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Quality Rating
Owlia, 2007	3 months	None reported	Appears complete	A vs. B: Major complications: None Hyperglycemia: 4.6% (2/43) vs. 0% (0/41) Flushing: 14% (6/43) vs. 2.4% (1/41) Post-injection flare: 4.6% (2/43) vs. 7.3% (3/41) CSF hypotension: 2.3% (1/43) vs. 7.3% (3/41)	Not reported	Poor
Park, 2010	1 month	Not reported	Appears complete	Not reported	Wooridul Institute	Fair

Author, Year Title	Study Design	Country Setting	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects (number approached, number eligible, number enrolled)	Type of Intervention (experimental & control groups, dose, duration of treatment)
Park, 2013 F		Single center Pain clinic	imaging confirmation not required	reaction to lidocaine or	Eligible: 144 Randomized: 120 (60 vs. 60) Analyzed: 110 (55 vs. 55) at 12 weeks	A: Caudal epidural injection with 10 mg dexamethasone (2 ml) plus 0.5% lidocaine (13 ml) and 5 ml of iodinated contrast, with Doppler ultrasound and fluoroscopy guidance B: Caudal epidural injection with 10mg dexamethasone (2 ml) plus 0.5% lidocaine (13 ml) with 5 ml of iodinated contrast, with fluoroscopic guidance

Author, Year Title	Subject Characteristics	Other Patient Characteristics (expectations of treatment benefit, confidence in clinician, worker's compensation status, ongoing litigation, smoking status, other treatments received)	Number and Frequency of Injections Number of Levels Provider Experience	Imaging Guidance	Type of Comparison
Park, 2013	Baseline pain (0-10 NRS): 6.4 vs.	•	after 1st injection Number of levels: Caudal Provider experience: Not reported	contrast	Head-to-head comparison of alternative imaging guidance methods

Author, Year Title	Results
	A vs. B: <u>Pain</u> Pain (0-10 NRS): 6.4 vs. 6.4 at baseline; 3.1 vs. 3.2 at 2 weeks; 2.5 vs. 2.6 at 12 weeks, (p>0.05) <u>Function</u>
	ODI (0-100): 51 vs. 52 at baseline; 33 vs. 31 at 2 weeks; 29 vs. 29 at 12 weeks, (p>0.05) <u>Global assessment</u> Pain score improvement >50% and ODI improvement >40%: at 2 weeks 87% (48/55) vs. 89% (49/55), RR 0.98 (95% CI 0.85 to 1.12); at 12 weeks 76% (42/55) vs. 74% (41/55), RR 1.02 (95% CI 0.83 to 1.27)

Author, Year Title	Duration of Followup	Loss to Followup	Compliance to Treatment	Adverse Events and Withdrawal due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Quality Rating
Park, 2013	12 weeks		lack of response or worsening of pain, 4 excluded due to peripheral injections	A vs. B: Vasovagal reaction: 3.6% (2/55) vs. 5.4% (3/55) Headache: 3.6% (2/55) vs. 1.8% (1/55) Pain exacerbation: 9.1% (5/55) vs. 7.3% (4/55) Post lumbar puncture syndrome: None Infection or hematoma: None Intravascular injection: 0% (0/55) vs. 3.6% (2/55)	Inje University, South Korea	Fair

Author, Year Title	Study Design	Country Setting	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects (number approached, number eligible, number enrolled)	Type of Intervention (experimental & control groups, dose, duration of treatment)
Rados, 2011	RCT	Croatia Single center Pain clinic	radicular leg pain greater than back pain; duration <1 year; unresponsive to $\geq 6$ weeks of conservative management; pain score $\geq 5$ ; underwent MRI and EMG	Motor or bowel/bladder impairment; lumbar canal stenosis on MRI or x-ray that could explain symptoms; pregnant; allergic to steroids; bleeding history; infections; on anticoagulants; neurological deficits secondary to spine pathology; previous lumbar spinal surgery; previous caudal or lumbar epidural steroid injection; history of opioid abuse or currently on long acting opioids	Eligible: Not reported Randomized: 70 (35 vs. 35) Analyzed: 64 (32 vs. 32) at 24 weeks	A: Transforaminal epidural injection with 40 mg methylprednisolone plus 0.5% lidocaine (3 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance B: Interlaminar epidural injection with 80 mf methylprednisolone plus 0.5% lidocaine (8 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance
Ridley, 1988	RCT	UK Single center Rheumatology clinic	,	Prior epidural injection; spinal surgery	Eligible: Not reported Randomized: 39 Analyzed: 35 (19 vs. 15) at 2 weeks	<ul> <li>A: Interlaminar epidural injection with 80 mg methylprednisolone (2 ml) and saline (10 ml) (n=19)</li> <li>B: Interspinous ligament injection with saline (2 ml) (n=16)</li> </ul>

Author, Year Title	Subject Characteristics	Other Patient Characteristics (expectations of treatment benefit, confidence in clinician, worker's compensation status, ongoing litigation, smoking status, other treatments received)	Number and Frequency of Injections Number of Levels Provider Experience	Imaging Guidance	Type of Comparison
Rados, 2011	A vs. B: Age (mean): 49 vs. 49 years Male: 62% vs. 66% Duration of symptoms: Not reported (<1 year and >6 weeks by inclusion criteria) Baseline pain (0-10 VAS): 6.7 vs. 7.4 Baseline ODI (0-100): 53 vs. 52	A vs. B: Treatments prior to intervention: Not specified Treatments following intervention: tramadol 50 mg 1-2 T po q 6 h prn L4-5: 43% vs. 41% L5-S1: 57% vs. 59% Other patient characteristics: Not reported	Number and frequency of injections: 3 injections at 2 week intervals Number of levels: Single level Provider experience: Not reported	Fluoroscopic guidance with contrast verification	Head-to-head comparison of transforaminal vs. interlaminar steroid injection
Ridley, 1988		A vs. B: Treatments prior to intervention: Not specified Treatments following intervention: Not specified Other patient characteristics: Not reported	Number and frequency of injections: Single injection repeated after 1 week if no improvement Number of levels: Single level Provider experience: Not reported	Not reported	Non-epidural saline injection

Author, Year Title	Results
Rados, 2011	A vs. B: <u>Pain</u> Pain (0-10 VAS, estimated from graph): at baseline 6.7 vs. 7.4; at 2 weeks, 5.0 vs. 5.0; at 4 weeks, 4.2 vs. 4.0; 12 weeks, 3.8 vs. 4.0 Pain improved >=2 (0-10 VAS): 84% (27/32) vs. 75% (24/32): RR, 1.13 (95% CI 0.88 to 1.44) Pain improved >50%: 63% (20/32) vs. 53% (17/32) at 24 weeks: RR, 1.18 (95% CI 0.77 to 1.79) <u>Function</u> ODI (0-100, estimated from graph): at baseline, 53 vs. 52; at 2 weeks, 47 vs. 47; at 4 weeks, 46 vs. 44; at 12 weeks, 42 vs. 42; at 24 weeks, 39 vs. 40 ODI improved >10 points: 66% (21/32) vs. 50% (16/32), RR, 1.31 (95% CI 0.86 to 2.01)
Ridley, 1988	A vs. B: <u>Pain</u> Rest pain, improvement from baseline (median, 0-10 VAS): at 2 weeks 46% vs. 0%, (p<0.01) Walking pain, improvement from baseline (median, 0-10 VAS): at 2 weeks 69% vs. 0%, (p<0.01)

Author, Year Title Rados, 2011	Duration of Followup 24 weeks	Loss to Followup A vs. B:	Compliance to Treatment Appears complete	Adverse Events and Withdrawal due to Adverse Events Not reported	<b>Sponsor</b> No external	Quality Rating Fair
		8.6% (3/5) vs. 8.6% (3/35) at 6 months (excluded because they did not undergo 3 injections)			funding	
Ridley, 1988			14 crossovers in placebo group; timing unclear	None reported	Not reported	Fair

Author, Year Title	Study Design	Country Setting	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects (number approached, number eligible, number enrolled)	Type of Intervention (experimental & control groups, dose, duration of treatment)
Riew, 2000 Riew, 2006	RCT	Spine surgery clinic	>21 years of age; degenerative lumbar radicular pain with disc herniation or spinal stenosis confirmed by MRI or CT; completed course of nonoperative management (NSAID, PT, activity modification) for at least 6 weeks without adequate benefit, unless in intractable pain despite maximum NSAID plus opioid; surgery considered appropriate	Acute trauma; cauda equina syndrome; progressive neurological deficit; motor deficit; pathologic or infectious etiology; not an operative candidate; Workers' Compensation claim; history of an adverse reaction to corticosteroids or local anesthetics; lack of a radiographically detectable abnormality; more than two radiographically abnormal and symptomatic levels on either side; absence of substantial radicular pain as the presenting symptom	Eligible: Not reported Randomized: 55 (28 vs. 27) Analyzed: 55 at 13-28 months, 55 at >5 years, including 8 (8 vs. 0) with missing data	A: Transforaminal nerve root injection with 6 mg betamethasone (1 ml) plus 0.25% bupivacaine (1 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance (n=28) B: Transforaminal nerve root injection with 0.25% bupivacaine (1 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance (n=27)
Rogers 1992	RCT	Pain clinic	Clinical diagnosis of sciatica with positive straight leg raise at less than 60 degrees; duration and imaging findings not specified	Not reported	Eligible: Not reported Randomized: 30 (15 vs. 15) Analyzed: 30 Lost to followup: Not	A: Interlaminar epidural injection with 80 mg methylprednisolone (2 ml) plus 2% lignocaine (14 ml) plus saline (4 ml) (n=15) B: Interlaminar epidural injection with 2% lignocaine (14 ml) + saline (6 ml) (n=15)

Author, Year Title	Subject Characteristics	Other Patient Characteristics (expectations of treatment benefit, confidence in clinician, worker's compensation status, ongoing litigation, smoking status, other treatments received)	Number and Frequency of Injections Number of Levels Provider Experience	Imaging Guidance	Type of Comparison
Riew, 2000 Riew, 2006	A vs. B: Age: Not reported (states no difference) Male: 49% overall (states no difference) Duration of symptoms: Not reported Baseline pain: Not reported Baseline function: Not reported	A vs. B: Treatments prior to intervention: NSAIDs; PT; and activity modification for ≥6 weeks; +/- opioid Treatments following intervention: Not specified Other patient characteristics: Not reported	Number and frequency of injections: Single injection with 4 additional injections during followup period-19 had >1; frequency not specified (range 6 days to 10.5 months) Number of levels: One or two (determined by surgeon based on patient's history) Provider experience: Radiologists experienced in the injection technique	Fluoroscopic guidance with contrast verification of nerve root site	Transforaminal nerve root injection with local anesthetic
Rogers 1992	A vs. B: Age (mean): 42 vs. 41 years Male: 47% vs. 47% Duration of symptoms (months): 23 vs. 25 Baseline pain "severe" or "very severe": 87% vs. 67% Baseline function: Not reported	A vs. B: Treatments prior to intervention: Prior surgery: 1/15 vs. 0/15 Prior epidural injection: 4/15 vs. 2/15 Treatments following intervention: Not specified Other patient characteristics: Not reported	Number and frequency of injections: Single injection Number of levels: Single level Provider experience: Not reported	Not reported	Interlaminar epidural injection with local anesthetic

Author, Year Title	Besulte
Riew, 2000	Results A vs. B:
Riew, 2006	Other outcomes           Underwent surgery: 29% (8/28) vs. 67% (18/27) at 13 to 28 months, RR 0.43 (95% CI 0.22 to 0.82); 39% (11/28) vs. 70% (19/27) at >=5 years, RR           0.56 (95% CI 0.33 to 0.94) (assuming none lost to follow-up had surgery); 68% (19/28) vs. 70% (19/27), RR 0.96 (95% CI 0.66 to 1.4) (assuming all lost to follow-up had surgery)
Rogers 1992	A vs. B: <u>Pain</u> Pain "none" (none, mild, moderate, severe): 20% (3/15) vs. 6.7% (1/15), RR 3.0 (95% Cl 0.35 to 26) Pain "none" or "mild": 47% (7/15) vs. 20% (3/15), RR 2.33 (95% Cl 0.74 to 7.35) <u>Function</u> Full ability to work: 53% (8/15) vs. 33% (5/15), RR 1.6 (95% Cl 0.68 to 3.80) <u>Other outcomes</u> Reduced analgesic intake: 53% (8/15) vs. 40% (6/15, RR 1.33 (95% Cl 0.61 to 2.9) Subsequent surgery: 27% (4/15) vs. 27% (4/15), RR 1.0 (95% Cl 0.31 to 3.28)

Author, Year Title Riew, 2000 Riew, 2006	range 13 to 28	Loss to Followup A vs. B: None at 13 to 28 months; 29% (8/28) vs. 0% (0/27) at ≥5 years	Compliance to Treatment Appears complete		<b>Sponsor</b> Barnes-Jewish Christian Health System's Innovations in Health Care Grant and Washington	Quality Rating Fair
					University School of Medicine	
Rogers 1992	1 month for all outcomes except subsequent surgery, which was evaluated at 20-21 months	Not reported	Appears complete	Not reported	Not reported	Poor

Author, Year Title	Study Design	Country Setting	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects (number approached, number eligible, number enrolled)	Type of Intervention (experimental & control groups, dose, duration of treatment)
Sayegh, 2009	RCT	Greece Single center Orthopedic Department	Low back pain for ≥ 1 month with or without unilateral or bilateral sciatica; failure to respond to conservative measures; disc degeneration or herniation on MRI	Cauda equina or spinal stenosis; psychosomatic diseases or any other pathology	Approached: Not reported Eligible: 191 Randomized: 183 (93 vs. 90) Analyzed: 151 (81 vs. 70) at 1 year	A: Caudal epidural injection with betamethasone (2 mg/dL betamethasone dipropionate + 5 mg/dL betamethasone phosphate) (1 ml) + 2% Xylocaine (12 ml) (n=93) B: Caudal epidural injection with 2% Xylocaine (12 ml) + water for injection (8 ml) (n=90)
Snoek, 1977	RCT	Norway Single center Neurology and anesthesiology clinic	Radiating pain in the distribution of the sciatic or femoral nerve; neurologic deficit that correlated with compression of L4, L5, or S1 nerve root; myelographic findings at the appropriate level and side; duration not specified	Acute severe motor paresis; cauda equina syndrome; intolerable pain; previous lumbar spine surgery; contraindications to corticosteroids; doubts about myelography findings	Analyzed: Unclear	A: Interlaminar epidural injection with 80 mg methylprednisolone (2 ml) (n=27) B: Interlaminar epidural injection with saline (2 ml) (n=24)

Author, Year Title	Subject Characteristics	Other Patient Characteristics (expectations of treatment benefit, confidence in clinician, worker's compensation status, ongoing litigation, smoking status, other treatments received)	Number and Frequency of Injections Number of Levels Provider Experience	Imaging Guidance	Type of Comparison
Sayegh, 2009	A vs. B: Age (mean): 51 vs. 48 years Male: 65% vs. 70% Duration of symptoms (days): 53 vs. 51 Baseline pain: Not reported Baseline ODI (0-100): 39 vs. 39	A vs. B: Treatments prior to intervention: Not specified Treatments following intervention: Acetaminophen allowed during first 4 weeks of study, but not NSAIDs Other patient characteristics: Not reported	Number and frequency of injections: 51/183 (28%) received 2nd injection 1-2 weeks after 1st for failure to improve Number of levels: Caudal injection Provider experience: Not reported	No fluoroscopic guidance	Caudal epidural injection with local anesthetic
Snoek, 1977	A vs. B: Age (mean): 44 vs. 46 years Male: 48% vs. 54% Duration of symptoms (weeks): 12 vs. 11 Baseline pain: Not reported Baseline function: Not reported	A vs. B: Treatments prior to intervention: Not specified Treatments following intervention: Not specified Other patient characteristics: Not reported	Number and frequency of injections: Single injection Number of levels: Single level Provider experience: Not reported	Not reported	Interlaminar epidural injection with saline

Author, Year Title	Results
Sayegh, 2009	A vs. B: <u>Function</u> ODI (scale NR): 39 vs. 39 at baseline (p=0.75); 13 vs. 6.2 at 1 week (p<0.0005); 12 vs. 9.6 at 1 month (p<0.0005); 5.8 vs. 14 at 6 months (p<0.0005); 4.9 vs. 13 at 1 year (p<0.0005) <u>Other outcomes</u> Surgery (overall): 16% (13/83) vs. 22% (19/85) at 1 month, RR 0.70 (95% CI 0.37 to 1.3) Surgery (disc herniation group): 17% (7/42) vs. 24% (8/33) at 1 month, RR 0.69 (95% CI 0.28 to 1.70)
Snoek, 1977	A vs. B: <u>Other outcomes</u> Subsequent surgery: 52% (14/27) vs. 58% (14/24), RR 0.89 (95% CI 0.54 to 1.5)

Author, Year Title Sayegh, 2009	Duration of Followup 1 year	Loss to Followup A vs. B:	Compliance to Treatment Appears complete	Adverse Events and Withdrawal due to Adverse Events A vs. B:	<b>Sponsor</b> Not reported	Quality Rating Fair
Sayegii, 2009	Гуса	A vs. b. 13% (12/93) vs. 22% (20/90) at 1 year		Transient lower extremity numbness: 13% (12/93) vs. 8.9% (8/90) "No patient reported any major immediate or late complications"	Not reported	Fall
Snoek, 1977	Mean not reported; range 8-20 months after injection	Not reported	Unclear	A few patients who felt increased pain of sciatic distribution	Not reported	Poor

Author, Year Title	Study Design	Country Setting	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects (number approached, number eligible, number enrolled)	(experimental & control groups, dose, duration of treatment)
Tafazal, 2009; Ng, 2005	RCT	UK Single center Spine clinic	of lumbar disc herniation or foraminal stenosis; ≥ 6	Acute back trauma; cauda equina syndrome; active local skin infection; previous back operation; periradicular infiltration during previous 12 months; epidural injection in last 3 months; pregnant; allergy to treatment agents; anticoagulation treatment	Approached: Not reported Eligible: Not reported Randomized: 150 (74 vs. 76) Analyzed: 124 (65 vs. 59) at 3 months)	A: Transforaminal periradicular injection with 40 mg methylprednisolone plus 0.25% bupivacaine (2 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance (n=74) B: Transforaminal periradicular injection with 0.25% bupivacaine (2 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance (n=76)
Tauheed, 2014	RCT	India Single center Pain clinic	Ages 18-55 years, weight between 40-70 kg, ASA grade I or II, suffering from sciatica due to disc herniation, and symptomatic for ≥6 weeks; 1 or 2 level disc herniation at L3-L4, L4- L5, L5-S1 on MRI	Large HNP with severe central or foraminal stenosis on MRI, progressive neurologic deficits, cauda-equina syndrome, blood coagulation disorder, valvular heart diseases, hypotension, emotional instability, known history of allergy to local anesthetics, corticosteroids or clonidine or received prior epidural steroid injection or lumbar surgery	Approached: Not reported Eligible: Not reported Randomized: 180 (60 vs. 60 vs. 60) Analyzed: 177 (60 vs. 58 vs. 59) at 12 w	A: Transforaminal sleeve root injection with 60 mg methylprednisolone (n=60) B: Transforaminal sleeve root injection with 60 mg methylprednisolone plus 0.5 mcg/kg clonidine (n=60) C: Transforaminal sleeve root injection with 60 mg methylprednisolone plus 1 mcg/kg clonidine (n=60)

Author, Year <u>Title</u> Tafazal, 2009; Ng, 2005	Subject Characteristics A vs. B: Age (mean): 52 vs. 51 years Male: 60% vs. 54% Duration of symptoms (months): 20 vs. 18 months Baseline leg pain (0-100 VAS): 73 vs. 76 Baseline back pain (0-100 VAS): 44 vs. 48 Baseline ODI (0-100): 43 vs. 47	Other Patient Characteristics (expectations of treatment benefit, confidence in clinician, worker's compensation status, ongoing litigation, smoking status, other treatments received) A vs. B: Treatments prior to intervention: Not specified Treatments following intervention: Not specified Other patient characteristics: Not reported	Number and Frequency of Injections Number of Levels Provider Experience Number and frequency of injections: 13% vs. 15% received subsequent injections, mean number not reported, frequency not specified Number of levels: Single level Provider experience: Senior surgeon	Imaging Guidance Fluoroscopy with contrast verification	Type of Comparison Transforaminal periradicular injection with local anesthetic
Tauheed, 2014	A vs. B vs. C: Age (mean): 39 vs. 42 vs. 41 Male: 63% vs. 72% vs. 67% Duration of pain: 128 vs. 130 vs. 127 days	A vs. B vs. C: Treatments prior to intervention: Not specified Treatments following intervention: Not reported Other patient characteristics: Not reported	Number and frequency of injections: Single injection Number of levels: Two levels, depending upon the level of disc herniation Provider experience: Not reported	Fluoroscopic guidance	Transforaminal epidural injection with clonidine

Author, Year Title	Results
Tafazal, 2009; Ng, 2005	A VS. B: Pain
2000	Leg pain, change from baseline (mean, 0-100 VAS): 26 vs. 19 at 6 weeks, 24 vs. 23 at 12 weeks (p=0.74)
	Back pain, change from baseline (mean, 0-100 VAS): 9.8 vs. 6.4 at 6 weeks, 6.9 vs. 9.9 at 12 weeks (p=0.57)
	Leg pain improved >=20 points (0-100 VAS) (from Ng): at 12 weeks 42% (18/43) vs. 48% (20/43): RR, 0.90 (95% CI 0.56 to 1.50)
	Function
	ODI, change from baseline (mean, 0-100 VAS): 9.3 vs. 11 at 12 weeks (p=0.69)
	Low Back Outcome Score, change from baseline (mean, 0-75): 8.8 vs. 8.5 at 6 weeks, 9.1 vs. 9.4 at 12 weeks (p=0.93)
	ODI improved $\geq$ 10% (from Ng): at 12 weeks 35% (15/43) vs. 55% (24/43; RR 0.63 (95% CI 0.38 to 1.0)
	Change in walking distance from baseline (yards) (from Ng): at 6 weeks 89 vs. 220 (0.12); 200 vs. 240 at 12 weeks (p=0.72)
	<u>Global assessment</u>
	Satisfaction excellent or good (from Ng): at 12 weeks 45% (18/40) vs. 49% (20/4) RR, 0.92 (95% CI 0.58 to 1.5)
	Other outcomes
	Subsequent peri-radicular injection: 13% (8/64) vs. 15% (10/65) at 1 year, RR 0.81 (95% CI 0.34 to 1.93) Surgery a 12 weeks (from Ng): 2.5% (1/40) vs. 0% (0/41): RR, 3.07 (95% CI 0.13 to 73.28) (4 of 5 patients who withdrew at 6 weeks also had
	surgery, not reported by treatment arm)
	Surgery at 1 year: 14% (9/64) vs. 22% (14/65)], RR 0.65 (95% CI 0.30 to 1.40)
Tauheed, 2014	A vs. B vs. C:
	Pain
	Global pain score (VAS, 0-100): At baseline 7.83 vs. 7.60 vs. 7.72, at 1 week 5.41 vs. 4.62 vs. 4.41, at 2 weeks 3.97 vs. 3.61 vs. 2.02, at 4 weeks 4.37, 3.91 vs. 2.23, at 6 weeks 4.46 vs. 4.11 vs. 2.41, and 12 weeks 4.66 vs. 4.24 vs. 2.65 (p >0.05 at all followup)

Author, Year Title	Duration of Followup	Loss to Followup	Compliance to Treatment	Adverse Events and Withdrawal due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Quality Rating
2005	function); 1 year (need for surgery or additional interventions)	14% (21/150)		2 deaths; not stratified by treatment group		Fair
Tauheed, 2014		A vs. B vs. C: 0 vs. 1 vs. 0		No serious adverse events or complication rates reported in any group	Not reported	Fair

Author, Year Title	Study Design	Country Setting	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects (number approached, number eligible, number enrolled)	Type of Intervention (experimental & control groups, dose, duration of treatment)
Thomas, 2003	RCT	France Single center Rheumatology clinic	>18 years of age; radicular pain <3 months; disc herniation of L4-L5 or L5-S1 confirmed by CT or MRI; radicular pain intensity >30 on 0 to 100 VAS	Epidural corticosteroid injection within 1 month; history of spinal surgery; motor or sphincter dysfunction requiring emergency surgery; iodine allergy; anticoagulant intake; depression; employment disruption >6 months; occupational injury	Eligible: Not reported Randomized: 31 (15 vs. 16) Analyzed: 22 (10 vs. 12) at 6 months	A: Transforaminal injection with 5 mg dexamethasone acetate (2 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance (n=15) B: Interlaminar epidural injection with 5 mg dexamethasone acetate (2 ml), with fluoroscopic guidance (n=16)
Valat, 2003	RCT	France Single center Rheumatology clinic	First or recurrent episode of sciatica (pain in one leg, radiation below knee, at least one nerve root compression, sign); duration 15 to 180 days; pain >30 on 0-100 mm VAS	Requiring surgery; structural spinal deformities; symptoms from causes other than herniated disc; spinal injection in past month; prior low back surgery; chemonucleolysis; or nucleotomy; pregnant; allergy to corticosteroid; treated with tricyclic antidepressant or lithium; out of work >1 year; worker's compensation	Eligible: Not reported Randomized: 85 (43 vs. 42) Analyzed: 63 (33 vs. 30) at 35 days	A: Interlaminar epidural injection with 50 mg prednisolone acetate (2 ml) (n=43) B: Interlaminar epidural injection with saline (2 ml) (n=42)

Author, Year Title	Subject Characteristics	Other Patient Characteristics (expectations of treatment benefit, confidence in clinician, worker's compensation status, ongoing litigation, smoking status, other treatments received)	Number and Frequency of Injections Number of Levels Provider Experience	Imaging Guidance	Type of Comparison
Thomas, 2003	A vs. B: Age (mean): 50 vs. 51 years Male: 53% vs. 31% Duration of symptoms (weeks): 6.5 vs. 6.8 Baseline leg pain (0-100 VAS): 74 vs. 72 Baseline RDQ (0-24): 12 vs. 14	A vs. B: Treatments prior to intervention: Not specified Treatments following intervention: Rest and physical therapy (not otherwise specified) Lateral (vs. posterior) herniation: 33% vs. 25% Other patient characteristics: Not reported	Number and frequency of injections: Single injection Number of levels: Single level (L4-5) Provider experience: Not reported	Fluoroscopic guidance with contrast verification of nerve root (transforaminal) or epidural space (interlaminar)	Head-to-head comparison of different approaches for epidural injections
Valat, 2003	A vs. B: Age (mean): 44 vs. 38 years Male: 60% vs. 62% Duration of symptoms (days): 15 vs. 17 Baseline pain (0-100 VAS): 58 vs. 58 Baseline RDQ (0-24): 15 vs. 14	A vs. B: Treatments prior to intervention: Not specified Treatments following intervention: Not specified Other patient characteristics: Not reported	Number and frequency of injections: 3 injections at 2 day intervals Number of levels: Single Provider experience: Not reported	None reported	Interlaminar epidural injection with saline

Author, Year	
Title	Results
Thomas, 2003	A vs. B:
	Pain
	Leg pain (0-100 VAS): 74 vs. 72 at baseline; at 1 month 17 vs. 31(p=0.04); at 6 months 22 vs. 44 (p=0.04)
	Function
	RDQ (0-24): 12 vs. 14 at baseline; at 1 month, 7.9 vs. 9.6 (p>0.05); at 6 months, 5.3 vs.10 at (p=0.05)
	Dallas Daily Activities: 84 vs. 84 at baseline; at 1 month 52 vs. 59 ( $p$ >0.05); at 6 months, 46 vs. 69 ( $p$ =0.05)
	Dallas Work and Leisure Activities: at baseline 99 vs. 96, (p>0.05); at 6 months, 37 vs. 60 (p=0.02) Dallas Anxiety-Depression: at baseline 50 vs. 64; at 1 month 36 vs. 40, (p>0.05); at 6 months 34 vs. 55, (p=0.04)
	Dallas Sociability: at baseline 47 vs. 54; at 1 month 33 vs. 32, ( $p$ >0.05); at 6 months 30 vs. 44, ( $p$ >0.05)
	Other outcomes
	Surgery at 6 months:33% (5/15) vs. 25% (4/16), RR, 1.33 (95% CI 0.44 to 4.05)
Valat, 2003	A vs. B:
	Pain Pain (0-100 VAS): 58 vs. 58 at baseline; 28 vs. 40 at day 20, difference -11 (95% CI -23 to 1.3); 22 vs. 25 at day 35, difference -5.1 (95% CI -19 to
	8.4)
	Success (recovery or marked improvement on four category scale and not requiring NSAID): 51% (22/43) vs. 36% (15/42), RR 1.43 (95% CI
	(p=0.15) at day 20; 49% (21/43) vs. 48% (20/42) at day 35, RR 1.03 (95% CI 0.66 to 1.59)
	Function
	RDQ (0-24): 15.1 vs. 14.2 at baseline; 10.9 vs. 11.7 at day 20, difference -1.8 (95% CI -4.6 to 1.0); 8.5 vs. 9.1 at day 35, difference -2.1 (95% CI -
	5.0 to 0.8) Dallas Daily Activities: 66 vs. 69 at baseline; 41 vs. 49 at day 20, difference -3 (95% CI -18 to 5.7), 31 vs. 40 at day 35, difference -5.7 (95% CI -18
	to 7.1)
	Dallas Work and Leisure Activities: at baseline 73 vs. 78; 50 vs. 62 at day 20, difference -7.2 (95% CI -21 to 6.2); 41 vs. 47at day 35 , difference -
	7.3 (95% CI -22 to 7.1)
	Dallas Anxiety-Depression: 29 vs. 34 at baseline; 21 vs. 30 at day 20, difference -3.2 (95% CI -16 to 9.8); 16 vs. 26 at day 35, difference -5.3 (95% CI -19 to 8.4)
	Dallas Sociability: 29 vs. 25 at baseline; 18 vs. 20 at day 20, difference $-10$ (95% CI $-20$ to $-0.9$ ); 14 vs. 20 at day 35, difference $-12$ (95% CI $-22$ to $-$
	2.5)
	Other outcomes
	Surgery: 2.3% (1/43) vs. 4.7% (2/42), RR 0.49 (95% CI 0.05 to 5.19)

Author, Year Title	Duration of Followup	Loss to Followup	Compliance to Treatment	Adverse Events and Withdrawal due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Quality Rating
Thomas, 2003	6 months	A vs. B: None; 9 patients who underwent surgery excluded from 6 month analysis	Appears complete	Not reported	Not reported	Fair
Valat, 2003	35 days	A vs. B: 23% (10/43) vs. 29% (12/42) at 35 days	Appears complete	A vs. B: Headache: 9.3% (2/43) vs. 5% (2/40)	Ministry of Health	Fair

Author, Year <u>Title</u> Wilson-	Study Design RCT	Country Setting UK	-	Exclusion Criteria Not a surgical candidate;	Number of Treatment and Control Subjects (number approached, number eligible, number enrolled) Approached: Not reported	Type of Intervention (experimental & control groups, dose, duration of treatment) A: Interlaminar epidural steroid
MacDonald, 2005		Single center Surgery clinic	>6 weeks of sufficient intensity to warrant surgery; MRI showing disc prolapse and/or spinal stenosis	cauda equina syndrome; deteriorating neurological function	Eligible: Not reported Randomized: 93 (44 vs. 48) Analyzed: 72 (36 vs. 36) at 3 months	injection with 80 mg methylprednisolone (2 ml) plus 40 mg 0.5% bupivacaine (8 ml) (n=44) B: Intramuscular/interspinous ligament injection with 80 mg methylprednisolone (2 ml) plus 40 mg 0.5% bupivacaine (8 ml) (n=48)
el Zahaar, 1991	RCT	Egypt Single center Surgery clinic	Acute unilateral sciatica with neurological findings or neurogenic claudication without specific neurologic deficits; failure to improve with at least 2 weeks of conservative therapy; findings on MRI or CT consistent with clinical presentation	Surgery for similar symptoms or within 6 months	Eligible: Not reported	A: Caudal epidural injection with hydrocortisone (5 ml), 4% Carbocaine (4 ml), and saline (21 ml) (n=37) B: Caudal epidural injection with 4% Carbocaine (4 ml) plus saline (26 cc) (n=26)

Author, Year Title Wilson- MacDonald, 2005	Subject Characteristics A vs. B: Age (mean): 49 vs. 49 years Male: 40% (entire cohort) Herniated disc: 52% vs. 40% Spinal stenosis: 41% vs. 29% Both: 7% vs. 31% Duration of symptoms: Not reported (>6 weeks for all) Baseline pain: Not reported Baseline ODI (0-100): 44 vs. 40	Other Patient Characteristics (expectations of treatment benefit, confidence in clinician, worker's compensation status, ongoing litigation, smoking status, other treatments received) A vs. B: Treatment prior to intervention: 16% (7/44) vs. 19% (9/48) previous epidural injection, chemonucleolysis, or surgery Treatment following intervention: Not specified Other patient characteristics: Not reported	injections: 16% (7/44) vs. 19%	Imaging Guidance Not reported	Type of Comparison Nonepidural injection with corticosteroid plus local anesthetic
el Zahaar, 1991	A vs. B: Age (mean): 46 vs. 49 years Male: 54% vs. 65% Duration of symptoms (months): 17 vs. 14 Herniated disc: 51% vs. 54% Spinal stenosis: 49% vs. 46% Baseline pain: Not reported Baseline function: Not reported	A vs. B: Treatment prior to intervention: Not specified Treatment following intervention: Advised to take aspirin; no physical therapy or exercise program Other patient characteristics: Not reported	Number and frequency of injections: Single injection Number of levels: Single level Provider experience: Not reported	Not reported	Caudal epidural injection with local anesthetic

Author, Year Title	Results
MacDonald, 2005	A vs. B: <u>Pain</u> Pain relief: Favored intervention A (p<0.004), data not provided <u>Other outcomes</u> Underwent surgery: 41% (18/44) vs. 31% (15/48) at >=2 years, RR: 1.31 (95% CI 0.76 to 2.27)
	A vs. B: <u>Other outcomes</u> Treatment success (>75% improvement in pre-injection symptoms and no spinal surgery): 49% (18/37) vs.50% (13/26) at 13-36 months, RR 0.97 (95% CI 0.59 to 1.62); 58% (11/19) vs. 64% (9/14) in patients with herniated disc, RR 0.90 (95% CI 0.52 to 1.56) Subsequent surgery: 13/37 (35%) vs. 10/26 (38%) at 13-36 months, RR 0.91 (95% CI 0.47 to 1.76); 26% (5/19) vs. 21% (3/14) in patients with herniated disc, RR 1.23 (95% CI 0.35 to 4.30)

Author, Year Title	Duration of Followup	Loss to Followup	Compliance to Treatment	Adverse Events and Withdrawal due to Adverse Events	Sponsor	Quality Rating
Wilson- MacDonald, 2005	At least 2 years		19% (9/19) in nonepidural injection group received epidural corticosteroid injection due to continued symptoms	Not reported	Not reported	Fair
	Mean 20 to 21 months	Unclear	Appears complete	Not reported	Not reported	Poor

ACS=acute coronary syndrome; BMI=body mass index; cc=cubic centimeters; CI=confidence interval; CT=computed tomography; DLG=poly(DL-lactide-co-glycolide); DLR=digital luminescence radiography; EMG=electromyography; ER=emergency room; ESI=epidural steroid injection; F=female; FABQ=Fear-Avoidance Beliefs Questionnaire; FL=fetal length; gD=growth and development; h=hours; HAD=healthcare alternatives development; IL=interlaminar; L=angular momentum; m=months; MED=minimal effective dose; MIL=midline interlaminar; MRI=magnetic resonance imaging; NIAMS=National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases; NIH=National Institutes of Health; NR=no results; OR=not reported; NRS=numeric rating scale; NS=not significant; NSAID=nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drug; ODI=Oswestry Disability Index; PIL=pre illness level; PLC=pityriasis lichenoides chronica; PT=physical therapy; RCT=randomized controlled trial; RDQ=Roland Disability Questionnaire; RR=relative risk; S=Diabetes; SF-36=Short Form (36) Health Survey; SLR=straight leg raise; SR=systematic review; TENS=Toxic Epidermal Necrosis Syndrome; TFESI=transformational epidural steroid injection; tid=three times daily; VA=Veteran's Affairs; VAS=visual analogue scale; w=week; y=year.

#### Please see Appendix C. Included Studies for full study references.