## A1.11 Should antagonist pharmacotherapy, naltrexone, be used for the treatment of opioid dependence?

## **GRADE** evidence profile

Author(s): Minozzi, Amato Date: 23/03/2006

Question: Should oral naltrexone be used for opioid dependence?

Patient or population: Opioid-dependent patients

Settings: Outpatient

Systematic review: Minozzi et al.; Oral naltrexone treatment for opioid dependence (CLIB 1, 2006)[170].

Quality assessment						Summary of findings					
						No of patients		Effect		Quality	Imp
No. studies	Design	Limitations	Consistency	Directness	Other considerations	Oral naltrexone	Placebo	Relative risk (RR) (95% CI)	Absolute risk (AR) (95% CI)		Importance
Retention	n in treatment <sup>[2</sup>	<sup>39, 240, 241, 242,243]</sup> (Objec	tive follow-up: 2-9	months <sup>d</sup> )							
5ª	Randomized trials	No limitations <sup>b</sup>	No important inconsistency	No uncertainty	Imprecise or sparse data (-1)	35/105 (33,3%)	31/98 (31,6%)	RR 1.08 <sup>c</sup> (0.74 to 1.57)	20/1 000 more (90 less to 140 more)	⊕⊕⊕O Moderate	6
Use of opioids [239, 244, 240, 241, 242, 243] (Objective <sup>6</sup> follow-up: 2-9 months <sup>d</sup> )											
6 <sup>e</sup>	Randomized trials	Serious limitations (-1) <sup>g</sup>	No important inconsistency	No uncertainty	Imprecise or sparse data (-1)	68/139 (48,9%)	69/110 (62,7%)	RR 0.72 <sup>c</sup> (0.58 to 0.90)	180 less / 1 000 (290 less to 60 less)	⊕⊕OO Low	7
Relapsed	Relapsed at follow-up <sup>[24], 242]</sup> ( follow-up: 6 months-1 year)										
2 <sup>h</sup>	Randomized trials	No limitations <sup>i</sup>	No important inconsistency	No uncertainty	Imprecise or sparse data (-2) <sup>j</sup>	26/43 (60,5%)	24/38 (63,2%)	RR 0.94 <sup>c</sup> (0.67 to 1.34)	40 less / 1 000 (250 less to 180 more)	⊕⊕○○ Low	7
Criminal	behaviour <sup>[245, 246</sup>	objective follow-u	up: 6-10 monthsd)								
<b>2</b> <sup>n</sup>	Randomized trials	No limitations <sup>p</sup>	No important inconsistency	Specific population (prison release) (-1)	Imprecise or sparse data (-2)	13/54 (24,1%)	15/32 (46,9%)	RR 0.50 <sup>c</sup> (0.27 to 0.91)	240 less / 1 000 (440 less to 30 less)	⊕○○○ Very low	6

- Outpatient. Country of origin: Israel 2,USA 1, Russia 1, Spain 1
- 2 adequate allocation concealment, the other unclear; all double blind
- Fixed effect model
- Length of treatment
- All outpatient. Country of origin: Israel 2, USA 1, China 1, Russia 1, Spain 1 Based on urinalysis
- Based on urmalysis

  2 adequate allocation concealment, the other unclear; all double blind. ITT analyses not used.

  Both outpatient, one conducted in Israel, the other in Spain

  1 with adequate allocation concealment, 1 unclear, both double blind

  Few patients, result not statistically significant

  All outpatient, conducted in USA, China and Russia 1 each

  adequate allocation concealment, 2 unclear, all double blind

- 1 adequate allocation concealment, 2 unclear, all double blind Number of subjects with at least one side effect
- Both outpatient and both conducted in USA Number re-incarcerated
- Both unclear allocation concealment and open design 2 studies, few patients

Annexes